(ENCYCLOPEDIA OF INDO-ARYAN RESEARCH) HERAUSGEGEBEN VON G. BÜHLER. III. BAND, 8. HEFT.

MANUAL OF INDIAN BUDDHISM



STRASSBURG VERLAG VON KARL J. TRUBNER 1896.



1505]

GRUNDRISS DER INDO-ARISCHEN PHILOLOGIE UND ALTERTUMSKUNDE

(ENCYCLOPEDIA OF INDO-ARYAN RESEARCH)

HERAUSGEGEBEN VON G. BÜHLER.

III. BAND, 8. HEFT.

MANUAL OF INDIAN BUDDHISM.

H. KERN.

PART I. INTRODUCTION.

1. THE SACRED BOOKS.

The sacred lore of the Buddhists is based on the canonical books, a complete collection of which is technically called Tripitaka (Tipitaka), i. e. the three Baskets: 1. Vinaya, Sūtra (Sutta), and Abhidharma (Abhidhamma). Of all the collections going by that name the Pali Tipitaka, representing the version acknowledged by the orthodox Theras or Vibhajyavādins of Ceylon, is the only one which forms a well arranged whole and is sufficiently known to admit of a critical disquisition into the relative age of its component parts, at least to a certain extent.

The Vinaya, as its very name implies, treats chiefly of Discipline and all questions connected with it. About the development of the Vinaya we find most valuable hints in Prof. OLDENBERG's Introduction to the Mahāvagga2. His conclusions are summarized in the following list of successive events in the ancient literary history of Buddhism: 1. The genesis of the Patimokkha. The earliest beginnings of the Dhamina literature. 2. The formation of the commentary on the Patimokkha, which is included in the Vibhanga. 3. The Vibhanga is compiled; the Mahavagga and the Cullavagga are composed; origin of the main substance of the Suttanta literature. 4. Council at Vesālī (± 383 B. C.). 5. Origin of the legend of the Council at Rājagaha; composition of the closing chapter of the Vinaya. 6. Schisms in the Buddhist community; origin of the Abhidhamma. 7. Council at Pāṭaliputta; the Kathāvatthu.

All these propositions are supported by sound reasoning and seem plausible enough, though a more thorough knowledge of the remains of the old Northern versions than we now possess may necessitate us to modify our views in some particulars3.

The Sutta-Piṭaka, much more extensive and diversified than the Vinaya, may be said, in a loose way, to treat of various subjects more or less related to Doctrine. Its fivefold division into Nikāyas4 already occurs in Cullavagga

I The P. Vinaya books have been completely edited by Prof. H. OLDENBERG

^(1879—83) under the titles of Mahāvagga, Cullavagga, Sutta-vibhanga, and Parivāra.

2 See there p. XV ff.; cp. Introd. to SBE. XIII by Prof. RHVS DAVIDS and OLDENBERG; and Introd. to Dhamunapada, SBE. X, p. XXIX ff. by Prof. MAX MÜLLER.

3 Cp. the criticisms on OLDENBERG'S views by MINAYEF Recherches I, 61—67.

⁴ To wit: 1. Dīgha-Nikāya; 2. Majjhima-Nikāya; 3. Samyutta-N.; 4. Anguttara-N. These 4 Nikāyas are also called Āgamas, which is the usual term with the N. Buddhists. 5. Khudda-N., comprizing Khuddaka-pāṭha, Dhammapada, Udāna, Itivuttaka, Sutta-Nipāta, Indo-arische Philologie. III 8.

XI, 1, 8, but the separate works are not mentioned; we only meet with the titles of the two first Suttas of the D. N. At the same passage we are told that the text of the 5 Nikāyas was rehearsed at the first council with the assistance of Ananda, who bore witness as to the place where, the person concerning whom, and the cause for which each Sutta had been delivered. This account, apart from the legendary character of the first Council, cannot be true, for the opening formula of the Suttantas is evam me sutam, which is impossible but in the mouth of one who derives his knowledge of the Master's teaching from oral tradition; no contemporary disciple can speak in such a manner. It may be remarked that the formula is absent from the Vinaya. In general we may assume that the disciplinary rules were elaborated much earlier than the doctrinal writings?

About the age of the sundry books in the 5th Nikāya little can be affirmed with any degree of certainty. This much, however, is plain that their contents belong to different periods. To begin with the Jātakas, it will hardly be doubted that many of these popular tales are very old, some of them older than Buddhism itself. It is by no means improbable that from the time of the first expansion of the sect those tales, modified according to the exigencies of the creed, were used by the preaching monks for didactic purposes. It appears from the sculptures at Bharhut and Sānchi that the Jātakas were known as an integral part of Buddhist lore at the time of Aśoka³.

Whatever may be thought of the relative age of the different Suttantas⁴ in the four Āgamas, and of the various compositions in the Khudda-Nikāya, the great bulk of the Sutta-Piṭaka in substance probably existed already in the 3d century B. C. The identification of the titles mentioned in the inscription of Babhra (Bairat) is attended with difficulties, but one Sutta at least, the "Lāghulovāda concerning falsehood" is evidently the same as the "Rāhulovāda on Musāvāda" in Majjhima-N. I. C. 414. It has been pointed out by Bühler⁵ that the occurrence of the title pacanekāyika (*pāñcanaikāyika) presupposes the existence of a collection of 5 Nikāyas. It is, however, necessary to add that the remark does not apply to all sects; for aught we know, the division of the Sūtra-Piṭaka in 5 Nikāyas is peculiar to the Theravāda⁶. As to the subdivisions of the Nikāyas, there was some disagreement even among the Theravādins themselves?

The Abhidhamma-P.8 is not mentioned at all in CV. This fact is sufficient to warrant the conclusion that it is posterior to the Council of Vesālī,

² The authenticity of the Council of R. is maintained by ROCKHILL, Life of the Buddha, p. VII. Cp. MINAYEF, Recherches, ch. II and III.

² This is also the opinion of Wassiller, B., p. 17. About the earliest beginnings of the doctrinal literature cp. Oldenberg, Introd. p. XXIV.

4 E. g. the Assalāyana-Sutta (ed. PISCHEL 1880), in which the Indian caste system and the absence of castes with the Greeks are contrasted, cannot have been composed

earlier than the 3d cent B. C., but other Suttas may be much older.

Vimānavatthu, Petavatthu, Theragāthā, Therīgāthā, Jātaka, Niddesa or Mahā-Niddesa, Paṭisambhidā-magga, Apadāna, Buddhavaṃsa, Cariyā-piṭaka. See Saddh. S. p. 27; cp. Childers Dict. p. 507.

³ See S. d'OLDENBURG JRAS of 1893, pp. 301—356; HULTZSCH DMG XL and Ind. Ant. XXI, 225 ff.; BÜHLER Votive inscriptions from the Sanchi Stūpas (Epigr. Ind. II, 87); The inscriptions on the Sanchi Stūpas (WZ VII, 291); On the origin of the Indian Brahma Alphabet, p. 17; A. St. Join On the Sāma Jātaka (JRAS of 1894, p. 211); the N. version titled Syāmaka-Jāt. in Mahāvastu II, 210. On the discrepancies of the Bharhut Jātakas compared with the Pāli version, see Minaver Recherches I, 140 ff.

⁵ Op. c. p. 17.6 Cp. A. Barth Bull. Rel. de l'Inde, 1893—1894, p. 1. (separate copy).

⁷ See CHILDERS s. v. nikāyo. 8 Consists of seven Pakaraņas: Dhammasangaņi, Vibhanga, Dhātukathā, Puggala-

a conclusion moreover strengthened by its character. "The compilers of the Abhidhamma books seem to have taken up such subjects, only as are discussed at full length in the Nikāyas, dealing with them more or less in a purely scholastic and technical manner with some variation in regard to the order and arrangement of the numerous details connected with the Buddhist creed". The edited texts, in fact, consist of a bare enumeration of classifications and definitions, which justifies the use of the term mātrkā as synonymous with abhidharma in Northern writings2. "The period between the Council of Vesālī and that of Pataliputta", to use the words of Oldenberg 3 "saw the origin of the schism, and was also the time of the development of the Abhidhamma literature".

The canonical books of the various sects among the Northern Buddhists - to use a more convenient than wholly accurate term - in so far as they have their counterparts in the Pāli canon and do not belong to the new canon of the Mahāyānists+, are but partially known. On comparing, first of all, the Chinese Vinaya of the Mahīsāsakas, a short analysis of which was communicated to OLDENBERG by BEAL, with the extracts of the Tibetan Vinaya of the Mahāsarvāstivādins4, the editor of the MV. draws the following conclusions 5: "All of the different versions of the Vinaya are based upon one foundation; the arrangement of the material is the same in all; a large portion of the stories interwoven in the text correspond in all6. It has been pointed out above, that of the elements which constitute the Vibhaiga the narrative portions were added last; the addition of these stories was made at an earlier period than that in which the differences of the various schools arose. Even the story of the first two Councils - which is clearly the part of the Pâli Vinaya last composed, is also met with at the exactly corresponding place in the Vinaya of the Mahîçâsakas, and of the Mahâsarvâstivâdinas."

Here it should be observed that both the Mahīśāsakas and Mahāsarvāstivadins are offshoots of the orthodox Sthaviravada, and may be said to form subdivisions of the orthodox community. It is therefore very natural that their Vinaya bears so close a resemblance to that of the Theras. But from this it does not follow that all versions of the Vinaya, those of the different versions of the schismatic Mahāsānghikas included, show the same degree of affinity. Apart from the biassed testimony of their opponents that the Mahāsānghikas had altered the original redaction and made changes in the Vinaya and the 5 Nikāyas8, we can adduce a fact that one sect at least of the Mahāsānghika party made a peculiar application of the term Vinaya. The Mahāvastu, a book belonging to the school of the Lokottaravādins, a sub-

pannatti (or °pannatti), Kathavatthu, Yamaka, and Patthana. - A compendium of the whole is the Abhidhammattha-Sangaha, ed. by Prof. Ritys Davids JPTS of 1884.

Words of Morris in Intr. to his edition of the Puggala-pannatti, p. VIII. ² See e. g. Divy. p. 18; 133; Burnouf Intr. p. 48; 317. Cp. Rockhill, I., of B. p. 160.

3 Op. c. p. XXXIV.

5 According to Csoma Körösi in As. Rev. XX, especially p. 45 ff. Cp. JASB I, 1-6, and HUTH, Die Tibetische Version der Naihsargikapräyaççittikadharmās (1891).

6 Intr. p. XLVII.

⁴ The term Tripitaka, when applied to a collection including Mahāyānist books, is properly a misnomer. In the Vyutpatti § 65 the Tripiṭaka and its subdivisions are duly separated from the Mahāyānist canonical works, the titles of which are given. The Tripitaka is not repudiated by the Mahāyānists; it is recognized by them, and stands to their own canon somewhat in the same relation as the Old Testament to the New.

⁷ Cp. JASB I, 1-6. The division in the Tibetan Dulva is: Vinayavastu, Pratimokṣa-sutra, Vinayavibhanga, Vinayakṣudraka, and Vinayottaragrantha. Cp. Vyutp. § 65. 8 Dīpav. V, 32 ff. Bodhivamsa, p. 96.

division of the Mahasanghikas, shows after the introduction the following title: "Āryamahāsāmghikānām Lokottaravādinām Madhyadeśikānān pāṭhena Vinayapitakasya Mahāvastu ādi." As the badly arranged contents of this book are merely made up of chapters from the legendary life of the Bodhisattva, of Jātakas, of the praehistory of the Sākya race, etc., without any admixture of matter connected with Discipline, it is strange to find it classed as a Vinaya text². For though the Pāli Vinaya contains narrative parts to a considerable extent, no book of it is wholly made up of subjects which otherwise have a place in the Sutta-Pitaka 3. According to Chinese authorities the Mahāvastu is the book that for the Mahāsānghikas represents the Abhiniskramana-Sūtra of the Dharmaguptas, and the Lalita-Vistara of the Sarvāstivādins⁴. This statement is wanting in precision, for it is only in those portions which deal with the history of the Bodhisattva up to his reaching Buddhahood that it may be said to answer to the compositions just named. Taken as a whole, the Mahāvastu is a work the contents of which are substantially the same as found in the collections of the orthodox sects, but which in its arrangement follows a totally different method, or rather no method at all. It differs in another respect also from the orthodox texts by its invocation of a plurality of Buddhas5. It can hardly be doubted that such an invocation, much resembling that of the Vaipulya-Sūtras marks a period posterior to the reign of Asoka. A comparison of the language and composition of the Mhv. with other documents leaves the impression that the time of its redaction is intermediate between the date of the P. canon and that of the Vaipulyas.

In the absence of other texts our notions regarding the arrangement of the Mahāsānghika Scripture must necessarily be very limited. According to Huen Thsang⁶ the old canon of the Mahasanghikas was fivefold, divided into Sūtra-, Vinaya, Abhidharma-, Samyukta-, and Dhāraṇī- (or Vidyādhara-)Piṭaka. We have no means of estimating the value of this statement.

Burnouf somewhere remarks that it is strange not to meet with books of Vinaya in the Nepalese collection of Hodgson, and he tries to explain the fact by assuming that in reality the Vinava is represented by the Avadanas. To this view may be objected that in the Tibetan Do⁸ the Avadānas constitute a part of the Sūtra-Piṭaka, as well as in the Pāli canon?. The absence of Vinaya texts in Hodgson's collection - barring the Mahavastu - may be readily accounted for by the condition of Buddhism in Nepal, where monasticism has long ago ceased to be an institution.

The Sūtra-Piṭaka of the North is preserved in a Chinese trans-

¹ Mahāvastu (ed. Senart) I, p. 2.

² A part of the book is downright a Sūtra, viz. the Avalokita-S., which, however,

is designated as a Parivara or Appendix in II, p. 397.

3 The same applies to the Tibetan Vinaya (Dulva), though its character approaches that of the Mhv., because it is not only devoted to recording the rules of the Order, but contains a great number of Jatakas, Vyakaranas, Sūtras and Udānas. See ROCKHILL, L. of B. p. VI.

4 SENART, Pref. Mhv. I, p. III; BEAL, Romantic Legend p. V; WASSILIEF, B. p. 114.

5 The invocation is: Om namah Śrī-Mahābuddhāyūtītānāgatapratyutpannebhyah sarvabuddhebhyah. The theory of the plurality of coeval Buddhas in the different quarters is common to all Mahāsānghika sects, according to Kathāv. P. A. XXI, 6, and therefore old, if not originally belonging to the creed.

6 Voy. I, p. 158; III, p. 37.

7 Intr. p. 38.8 JASB I, p. 384.

9 It is true that the Dighanikāya preachers did not include the Apadānas in the canon (s. CHILDERS s. v. nikayo), but there is no question of the Apad. being incorporated in the Vinaya. Cp. Ed. Müller, Les Apadânas du Sud, in Trans. 10th Congr. of Or. I, 165. lation ^t. Many titles of Sūtras are also known to us from the Tibetan version, some of them in more or less complete translation, e. g. the important Mahāparinirvāṇa-Sūtra². The subject matter of those old Sūtras has passed, more or less modified, into the Vaipulya-Sūtras, forming part of the new or Mahāyānist canon, about which anon.

The Abhidharma books corresponding to those in the P. canon, seven in number, have survived in a Chinese translation. It is not a little curious that in more than one source most of them are ascribed to well known disciples of the Buddha³. In how far the original version has been meddled with is a question which can only be solved by those who are able to compare the Chinese translation with the Pāli Abhidharma texts. Works like the Abhidharma-Koša by Vasubandhu do not belong to the canonical literature.

The Tripitaka properly so called, has for a large part yielded the material for the Vaipulya-Sūtras, which if not all of them were composed by the Mahāyānists have at least been adopted by them and incorporated in their new canon. Outwardly the Vaipulya-Sütras are distinguished from the older Sūtras by a different manner of composition and by the change of idiom. We regularly find in them sections in a redaction in prose, followed by one in verse, the latter being in substance only a repetition of the former 4 or, may be, in some cases the source of the narrative in prose. The idiom of the prosaical part is a kind of Sanskrit; that of the verses, Gathas, is a veiled Prākrit somewhat clumsily sanskritised as much as the exigencies of the metre permitted. In our opinion, there cannot be the slightest doubt that the prose is a regular translation from a Prakrit text into Sanskrit, a comparatively easy task, whereas a rendering of the Gāthās into Sanskrit would be impossible without entirely remodelling most verses. Why and when has the original idiom been replaced by Sanskrit? At present we can do no more but surmise that the translation was resolved upon in order to meet the wants of the times. It has been the common fate of all Prakrits that they became obsolete, whilst the study and practice of Sanskrit have been kept up all over India as the common language of science, literature and refinement, and as a bond between Aryans and Dravidas. At what time did Sanskrit reconquer its ascendancy? Of course gradually, but we are not in a position to fix the limits of the period with anything like certainty. We would, however, suggest that the necessity of refounding the Scripture made itself felt shortly before or after the Council in the reign of Kaniska.

Some Vaipulyas are, materially, much like the old Sūtras. Whole passages e. g. of the Lalita-Vistara recur almost word for word in the Pāli Scriptures. In the majority of cases works of the same class show more significant peculiarities. In general it may be said that the stock of tradition, common

4 BURNOUF, Intr. p. 103.

The whole divided into 4 Agamas: Dīrgha-, Madhyama-, Ekottarika-, and Saṃyuktāgama. Wassillef, B. p. 115. Whether the Kṣudrāgama, mentioned by Tāranātha p. 42 may be held to be the counterpart of the Khudda-Nikāya, must be left undecided.

² ROCKHILL op. c. p. 123 ff. 3 Wassilef, B. p. 107; Burnouf, Intr. p. 447; Tāranātha p. 296; Vyutp. § 65. The titles and reputed authors are: 1. Jūāna-prashāna, by Kātyāyana (al. Kātyāyanīputra); cp. the Pāli title Paṭṭhāna. 2. Dharmaskandha, by Sāriputra; cp. Dhamma-saṅgaṇi. 3. Dhātu-kāya, by Pūrṇa (al. Vasumitra); cp. Dhātu-kathā. 4. Prajñaptišāstra, by Maudgalyayāna (with Wassilef Amṛtaśāstra by Goṣṭha); cp. Puggala-paññatti; 5. Vijnāna-kāya, by Devakṣema (al. Devasarman). 6. Saṅgiti-paryaya, by Sāriputra (al. Kauṣṭhila). 7. Prakaraṇa-pāda, by Vasumitra. The three last books may or may not be the counterparts of the P. Vibhaṅga, Kathā-vatthu, and Yamaka. Some of these works are also mentioned by Huen Thsang, Voy. I, p. 102; 109; 123. II, 119; 201; 291.

to all Buddhists, increased among the non-orthodox sects by much additional matter. New mythological beings, such as the Bodhisattvas Avalokiteśvara and Mañjuśri, make their appearance; a host of Buddhas of the past, present and future are honoured and invoked along with Sākyamuni, whose image, however, far from being effaced, is clad in brilliant majesty more than ever 1.

All Vaipulya texts we know of are qualified as Mahāyāna Sūtras, and constitute the new or Mahāyānist canon?. Since we learn from Chinese authorities that the Lalita-Vistara, a decided Mahāyāna Sūtra, properly belongs to the Sarvāstivādins, we must infer that the Mahāyānists did not scruple to adopt such works of the Hīnayāna sects as fitted in with their system, though we cannot help believing that the text must have undergone modifications.

The chronological questions connected with the rise of Mahāyānism are too vast to admit of an incidental treatment. Here it will suffice to note that the Mahāyāna Sūtra Sukhāvatī-Vyūha or Amitāyus-Sūtra is stated to have been translated into Chinese for the first time AD. 148-1703. If this notice be exact, and equally the tradition that Nagarjuna, born about the time of the Council under Kanişka, was the founder of Mahāyānism, the Sūtra forenamed must have been one of the very first writings composed or adopted by the new sect. In reality Nagarjuna may have been one of the most talented and influential leaders of the movement rather than its originator.

To the Mahayanists is ascribed the introduction of Dharanis into the sacred texts4. How to reconcile this with the statement by Huen Thsang that the Mahāsānghikas possessed a Dhārani-Piṭaka from the very beginning of their sect? Unless we repudiate the truthfulness of the report altogether, we are driven to the conclusion that the Mahayanists did not invent the Dhāranīs, but only appropriated them as an integral part of their system. There are, indeed, several indications of a certain connection between the tendencies of the Mahāsānghikas and the Mahāyānists, as in the course of this work we shall have occasion to point out. Hence it would be rash to deny the relative trustworthiness of the tradition current in India when the Chinese traveller visited the country. The circumstance that the Dharanis are visibly accrescences of such texts, as the Saddharma-Pundarika⁵, is no decisive argument against the prior separate existence of the formulas.

Of later growth than the Dhāraṇīs, which are exoteric in their character, are the esoteric Tantras. As to the whole Tantric literature, the full development whereof coincides with the decline of Buddhism, we must content ourselves with referring the reader to the authorities on the subject.

Besides the division of the whole of Holy Scripture into 3 Pitakas, the

¹ BURNOUF, Intr. p. 116 ff.

² The titles of these Sutras, few of which have been edited, are given in Vyut. § 65; cp. Wassiller, B. p. 145 ff. Some titles also occur in the list of the 9 Dharmas an elliptical phrase for Dharmaparyāyās — with Hodgson, Ess. p. 13, 49. Cp. The Sanskrit Buddhist literature of Nepal, Rājendralāla Mitra (1882); the Catalogue of the Hodgson Collection by Cowell and Eggeling in JRAS of 1875; do. of the Cambridge Collection by BENDALL.

³ Sukhāvatī-Vyūha, ed. Max Müller and Bunyiu Nanjio, pag. IV. - Dates of translation of other books are given in BEAL, Buddhist Tripițaka, and Bunylu Nanjlo, Catalogue of the Chinese translation of the B. Tripitaka, passim.

⁴ For a fuller account see Burnouf, Intr. p. 541 g, Wassilief, B. p. 142; 177 ff.

⁵ See SBE. XXI, Intr. p. XXI.

⁶ BURNOUF, Intr. p. 522-574; WASSILIEF, B., p. 144, 184 ff.; WADDELL, Buddhism of Tibet, p. 129 ff. Several Tantric works are contained in the Catalogue of the Hodgson Collection by Cowell and EGGELING; cp. BURNOUF 1. c. and the list in Hodgson, op. c. 38 f. On the influence of Sivaite Tantras on the Buddhist Tantrism of Nepal see BARTH, Rel. of India p. 201.

Buddhists of the South and the North have in common another into Angas, according the subject matter. The Pali denomination of these Angas, 9 in number, are: 1. Sutta, a connected narrative or a collection of verses on one subject; 2. Geyya, mixed prose and verse; 3. Veyyakarana, exposition; it contains, according to the system, the whole Abhidhamma-Piṭaka, further the Suttas which have no Gāthās, and all the other words of Buddha not included in the remaining eight Angas; 4. Gatha, unmixed verses; 5. Udana, enthusiastic utterances in prose or verse; in the system: "Suttas connected with stanzas composed under the inspiration of joy"; 6. Itivuttaka, the collection of 101 Suttas beginning with the words: "Thus has Buddha spoken"; 7. Jātaka, stories of one of the former births of Buddha ; 8. Abbhutadhamma, discourses relating to wonderful and mysterious conditions; 9. Vedalla, a kind of Suttas said to be questions put after feeling a pleasant emotion and contentment, whatever that may mean?.

With the N. Buddhists we sometimes find the same number³, but more common is the enumeration of 12 kinds of Dharmapravacanas: 1. Sūtra; 2. Geya; 3. Vyākaraņa; 4. Gāthā: 5. Udāna; 6. Nidāna; 7. Avadāna: 8. Ityukta (wrongly ltivṛttaka); 9. Jātaka; 10. Vaipulya; 11. Adbhutadharma; 12. Upadeśa4. This enumeration agrees with that of the Simhalese if we deduct Nidāna, Avadāna, and Upadeśa. Nidānas and Avadānas are sufficiently represented in the Pali canon, but they are not accounted as separate Angas. As to the Vaipulyas, these "treat of several sorts of Dharma and Artha, that is of the several means of acquiring the goods of this world (Artha) and of the world to come (Dharma)". They may safely be identified with the Pali Vedalla, having nothing but the name in common with the Vaipulya-Sutras. The Upadeśa, professedly treating of esoteric doctrines, is equivalent to Tantra, which lies outside the pale of original Buddhism.

In connection with the preceding survey we cannot omit touching upon the question of the original language of the holy books. Although "the Pâli version" - to use the words of Prof. OLDENBERG - "has hitherto shown itself to be the most original, if not the original version", as regards the contents, "it may with certainty be maintained that in one respect, in reference to the dialect, it differs from the original text", the fundamental constituent parts of which were undoubtedly fixed in the idiom of Magadha. It is now universally admitted that Pali does not represent that idiom5, whatever may be the different views about the original home of Pāli. The most plausible view is that Pāli originated in Kalinga-Andhra6. From an important passage

To the valuable essay "On the Buddhist Jatakas" by S. d'Oldenburg, already alluded to above p. 2, in JRAS of 1893, p. 301 ff. (originally Russian in Zapiski of 1892) is added a copious bibliographical list of works regarding the Jātakas; other Russian papers of the same author on the Bhadrakalpavadana and the Jataka-mala are titled "Buddhist Legends" (1894), and "Remarks on Buddhist Art" (1895).

² The definitions are found in Sumangala-Vilāsinī, vol. I, pag. 23 ff. Cp. CHILDERS s. vv. and the authors there quoted.

³ Saddh. Pund. Ch. II, vs. 45, cp. vs. 44.
4 Wassilief, B. p. 109; Dharma-S. IXII, and note; Hongson, Ess. p. 14; Burnouf, Intr. 51 ff. - The class of Ityukta is apparently represented by the Sutra of the 42 Sections (in BEAL's Cat. p. 188), which has traits in common with the Itivuttaka, though the material agreement, as between Sect. 9 and Sutta 100, is slight.

⁵ Rightly observed already by LASSEN, Indische Alterthumskunde vol. II, p. 488. 6 The question is fully discussed by Oldenberg, op. c. p. XLVII ff. But cp. Westergaard, Ueber den ältesten Zeitraum der Indischen Geschichte, p. 87; and E. Kuhn, Beiträge zur Pali-Grammatik, p. 7, where another hypothesis is propounded. — The significant fact that the word palibodha, common to Magadhī and Pali, is replaced by parigodha in the Girnar version of Asoka Edict V, goes far to prove that the home of Pāli is not to be sought for in W. India.

in Culla-Vagga, (V, 33), where we read that Buddha allows every one to study the Buddha-word in his own dialect, we may conclude that at the time when the sacred texts were spread over India "they were certainly not handed over to the different parts of India in the Magadhi language, but in the vernacular dialect peculiar to the several districts". This being taken for granted, nothing prevents us from supposing that the Northern versions which have come to us in Sanskrit and in a certain sanskritised idiom, although ultimately going back to a Magadhi original, have passed through an intermediate stage, in other words, that Magadhi was replaced by other local dialects. Now the course of events in India and its literary development have been such that we can readily understand how at a certain period it was thought advisable to sanskritise the sacred texts as much as possible. The more scientifically Sanskrit was learnt by the Buddhists, the more correct their writings. Some renowned names in mediaeval Sanskrit literature belong to authors of the Buddhist persuasion. The steadily growing ascendancy of Sanskrit is visible even in Ceylon, for, not to speak of later inscriptions, we learn from Fa Hian' that the Vinaya-Pitaka and other texts of the Mahiśasakas in the island were in Sanskrit.

2. LITERATURE SUBSIDIARY TO THE CANON. WORKS ON LEGEN-DARY AND SEMI-HISTORICAL SUBJECTS. RELIGIOUS POETRY.

The sacred books have naturally given rise to a subsidiary literature in the form of commentaries, manuals, treatises, and the like?. The mass of that literature, both in the S. and the N., is so immense that only a very few of the most noteworthy productions can here be treated of.

Beginning with the South, we first have the Atthakathā, a body of commentaries on the various parts of the Tipitaka³. According to Simhalese tradition the Atthakathā was fixed at the first Convocation, and rehearsed at the two following Councils; it was introduced by Mahendra along with the holy texts into Ceylon, and translated by the same into Simhalese; it was not put to writing before the reign of Abhaya Vattagāmani, in the last century B. C., and retranslated into Pāli by Buddhaghoṣa about A. D. 4204. It is easy to see that those statements are a mixture of dogmatical fiction and truth. This much is well ascertained that there existed a collection of commentaries of semi-sacred character under the name of Atthakathā in the time when the Dīpavamsa was written, about A. D. 300, but we are in the dark about the relative age of its various portions, and we possess as yet no sufficient data for making out what has been added or changed after A. D. 300, either by Buddhaghoṣa or by others. The story in the Mahāvamsa according to which Buddhaghoṣa translated the whole Atthakathā is in conflict with some

r Record (Legge), p. 111. The doubts entertained by Prof. Oldenberg (op. c. p. XIIII) are, apparently, based upon the assumption of the Theravada being the only B. sect in the island.

² The MSS of Pali sacred books and their commentaries in the India Office Library have been described by Oldenberg in JPTS of 1882 (pp. 59—85); a list of the collection in the Bibliothèque Nationale has been published by L. Feer, ib. pp. 32—37; see in that same number of the Journal the lists by Frankfurter, and by L. de Zoysa; the Bibliography of texts in Frankfurter's Handbook XV—XVIII; of translations, XIX.

³ The titles enumerated in CHILDERS s. v. Atthakathā; Saddh. S. p. 56; MINAYEF Recherches I, 258, from the Gandhavamsa.

⁴ Dīp. XX, 20; Mahāvamsa, p. 205 ff. Sum. Vil. I, p. 1. Saddh. S. p. 52 ff. Hardy, E. M. p. 171; cp. M. of B. p. 509 ff.

well established facts. The commentary on the Thera- and Therigatha titled Paramattha-Dîpanî, and some other commentaries have been composed by Dhammapāla of Kāñcipura, and not by Buddhaghosa*. Further it should be noted that Buddhaghosa in his Samanta-Pāsādikā quotes the Dīpavamsa by name. The Atthakatha being professedly older then the chronicle, his work cannot have been a simple translation, or he must have found those quotations in his original and in that case some portions of the Atthakatha must have been posterior to the chronicle.

Notwithstanding some doubts about the absolute correctness of the Simhalese accounts, we may hold that the principal Pāli commentaries on canonical works are due to Buddhaghoşa, as well as the Visuddhimagga 2, a kind of cyclopaedia of the Buddhist creed. Another work that has been characterized as "a short encyclopaedia of the Buddhist theology and cosmology" is the Sārasangaha³. The commentary on the treatise Kathāvatthu⁴ contains much

about the tenets of the various sects.

A dogmatical treatise in the form of dialogues between king Milinda⁵ and the Buddhist sage Nāgasena is the work titled Milinda-Pai ha⁶. Date and source of the work are uncertain, but for various reasons it must be posterior to the beginning of our era, and have been composed in the North of India, though all quotations from Scripture in it are from the Pali canon.

Writings belonging to the class of compendiums, and containing repetitions of passages from more ancient works with more or less apocryphal additions, are the Anagatavamsa or History of future Buddhas8, the Saddhamma-Sangaha, and the Mahābodhivamsa, which is a translation of a Simhalese original?. The same applies to the Rasavāhini, a collection of 103 tales 10, the Dathavamsa 11 and the Chakesadhātuvamsa 12. A much used compendium of ecclesiastical acts is titled Kammavācā 13, whereas the chronicles Dīpavamsa, Mahāvamsa and Sāsanavamsa deserve a special notice on account of their being so highly important for the ecclesiastical history of Ceylon. Interesting notices on Pāli books and authors are contained in the Gandhavamsa 14.

Among the poems in the praise of Buddha we have the Pajjamadhu 15. Another religious poem of didactic character is the Saddhammopāyana 16.

* Cp. Ed. MULLER in the Pref. to his edition of the Param.-Dip. and the authorities quoted above.

² Cp. Hardy, M. of B., p. 512. For an abstract of contents by Carpenter, see JPTS for 1890, and cp. A. C. Warren in Trans. 9th Congr. of Or. I, 392.

3 See K. E. Neumann "Des Särasanghaho erstes Kapitel", text and translation

(Leipzig 1891), p. 6.

4 Kathāvatthuppakaranatthakathā, ed. by MINAVEF JPTS of 1889.

- 5 The Skr. form of Milinda, identified with Menandros, is Milindra in Ksemendra's Avadāna-Kalpalatā No. 57, vs. 15. Not unlikely Minara in Tāranātha, p. 23, is another form of the same name.
 - 6 Ed. by Trenckner (1880); transl. by Prof. Rhys Davids in SBE, XXXV (1890).
- 7 The question has been discussed by Prof. D. Rivs Davids in the preface to his translation. On two Chinese translations of the work see E. Speciit and S. I. Evi in Trans. 9th Congr. of Or. J, 518-529.

8 Ed. by MINAYEF in IPTS of 1886.

- 9 See STRONG in his ed. p. VIII, where the question of authorship and date is dealt with.
- To The four first tales ed. by Spiegel in his Anecdota Palica (1845); others by Konow in DMG of 1889, p. 297 ff.; the 7th Chapter by Pavolini (1894).

 12 Ed. by Rhys Davids in JPTS of 1884; by M. C. Swamy (Lond. 1874).

 13 Ed. by Minayer in JPTS of 1885.

¹³ See Childers s. v.

14 Ed. by MINAYEF in JPTS of 1886. Cp. the same, Recherches I. 257.

15 Ed. by Gooneratne in JPTS of 1887.

16 Ed. by Morris in JPTS of 1887.

Works bearing on philological inquiry, grammars, dictionaries and gram-

matical papers, are here passed in silence 1.

The literary activity of the Northern schools of Buddhists was not inferior to that of the Theravadins, but the ancient texts have only survived in translations or have come to us much altered, if they have not wholly perished. The oldest of the surviving commentaries and treatises are posterior to the Council under Kaniska, and all that Huen Thsang tells us 2 concerning commentaries on Vinaya, Sütra and Abhidharma being composed at that occasion is nothing else but an echo of the universal Buddhist tradition about the legendary first Council. From nearly that period may date the Vibhasa, a commentary on the Abhidharma; it is at any rate anterior to the Abhidharma-kośa by Vasubandhu, who flourished in the 6th century of our era and wrote many other exegetical works on Mahāyāna texts3. The Abhidharmakośa was again commented by more than one author. To Asanga is ascribed a Yogaśāstra or Yogācāryabhūmi-śāstra along with other works of a philosophical character⁴. Dignāga wrote a work on logic, the Pramāṇa-samuccaya. Similar works of a more or less polemical character are numerous, but as long as we cannot examine the contents their enumeration is of little use, the more so as the absurd legends about their authors must deter us from entering deeper into the subject. Some celebrities and their works we shall have occasion to notice in Part V, hereafter 5.

The N. Buddhists have excelled in various kinds of what may be classed as devotional and edifying literature, so that a high rank must be assigned to not a few of their literary productions on account of the tasteful reproduction of subjects derived from the sacred lore or the lives of Saints. Works as the Buddhacarita of Aśvaghoṣa⁶, the Jātaka-mālā of Ārya Sūra, the Avadāna-kalpalatā of Kṣemendra are noble compositions in every respect. The collection of legendary and semi-historical lore, known under the title of Divyāvadāna, though less refined in language and style, is no less attractive. This valuable collection must have been reduced to its present state in a period after Kaniṣka, for the Dīnāra repeatedly occurs in it as the name of an *Indian* coin; yet the constituent parts of it are undoubtedly, for a large part, anterior to A. D. 100, abstraction made of the idiom, which may have been modified. The books of legends called Bhadrakalpāvādana and Avadāna-śataka are only known from extracts and translations?

² Voy. vol. p. 172.

3 Wassilief, B. p. 210; 215; 222. Voy. I, p. 115; II. p. 274. Tar. passim. Cp. Max Müller, India 302; 308 f.

4 Voy. I, 114; 118. It is strange that Huen Thsang fathers upon him also an Abhidharmakośa, perhaps identical with the Abhidharmasamuccaya in Tār. p. 112.

5 Further notices on renowned mediaeval scholastic writers are found in Wassiller, B. p. 200—222. For the commentaries in the Tibetan collections, see Csoma Körösi, As. Res. XX, p. 400 ff. — Dictionaries and similar works which will be referred to in the course of this Manual need not to be specified here.

6 Ed. by Cowell. For the Chinese transl. see Beal, SBE. vol. XIX. The first Ch. has been edited by S. Lévi with a French transl. in JA of 1892. Cf. A. Barth Bull. Rel. de l' Inde of 1894, p. 16 (separ. copy); Leumann, Some Notes on Açvaghosha's

Buddhacarita in Wien. Zeitsch. VIII, 193.

7 See S. d'OLDENBURG in Zapiski of 1894; and for the latter work see Féer, Le livre des Cent Légendes in JA of 1879; his transl. in Ann. Mus. Guimet XVIII. A similar collection in Chinese are the "Contes et Apologues Indiens" (1860), from which STANISLAS JULIEN has published a specimen.

r A good bibliographical list, up to 1883, is found in Frankfurter's Handbook XX, XXI. For a short description of some valuable works in Simhalese, see Hardy, M. of B. p. 518. Several Pali commentaries, treatises etc. are enumerated in Saddh. S. Ch. XI, and in Gandhavamsa.

The published specimens of religious poetry bear the stamp of having been productions in the palmy days of mediaeval Sanskrit literature. The Bodhicaryāvatāra by Sāntideva, a poem breathing a truly pious spirit, ranks foremost¹. Almost as elegant in form, but wholly wanting in originality and warmth of feeling is the poem Sisyalekha by the grammarian Candra-Gomin 2. Hymns to Sākyasimha, Avalokiteśvara etc. are known only from Catalogues 3 or occasional quotations.

3. TIME OF RISE OF BUDDHISM. INDIAN THOUGHT AND IDEALS AT THAT TIME.

Owing to the unsettled state of Indian chronology we cannot with full certainty fix the absolute date of the Nirvāṇa4; yet we may confidently assert that the rise of Buddhism nearly coincides with the close of the Upanisad period. From the very tenets of Buddhism it is evident that the theories of the Vedanta had reached their full development, albeit not in the scholastic form of the Brahma-Sūtras. The practices of the Yoga, which though dogmatically no integral part of the Vedanta are not repudiated or disapproved by the Vedantins, were scarcely less developed in the days of Buddha than later in the time of Patanjali, the author of the manual titled Yoga-sūtra. The doctrine of Karman, of metempsychosis, was already so deeply rooted in the popular conscience that Buddhism has retained it notwithstanding its standing in the most glaring conflict with Buddhist psychology, which denies the existence of soul altogether 5.

The spiritual aspirations and the views of human life as entertained by the more serious Indians at the rise of Buddhism are, at first sight, extremely gloomy. What strikes us most, is the emphatically pronounced dread samvega, as the Buddhists like to call it — of the miseries of life, of old age and death; a dread intensified by the belief in perpetual rebirth, and consequently of repeated misery. All sects - barring the Sadducees of the epoch - agree in the persuasion that life is a burden, an unmixed evil. All accordingly strive to get liberated from worldly existence, from rebirth, from Samsāra. All are convinced that there are means to escape rebirth, that there is a path of salvation, that path consisting in conquering innate ignorance and in attaining the highest truth. But what is the highest truth? Here the views go asunder. Some, as the Vedantins, affirm that truth in the highest sense of the word is the essential unity of the highest soul, paramatman, with the individual soul, pratyagatman or jīvātman. Others, as

² Ed. by Minayer, with a valuable introduction, in Zapiski IV, p. 29—52; Tibetan text added by Iwanowski.

4 The knotty questions connected with Buddhist chronology will be dealt with

hereafter, in Part V.

¹ Ed. by Minaver in Zapiski vol. XV, p. 156-225; partial French transl. by L. DE LA VALLÉE POUSSIN in Museon of 1892. Noteworthy as an indication of the influence of contemporary Hinduistic sentiments is such a passage as we read section 2, vs. 8: parigraham me kurutāgrasatīvāli, yusmāsu dāsatvam upaimi bhaktyā. Cp. A. BARTH in Bull. Rel. de l'Inde, (p. 20 separ. copy).

³ E. g. No. 29-32 in the Catal. of Cowell and Eggeling. Cp. Burnour, Intr. p. 557. Specimens of prayers also published by Minayer Zapiski II, 130, to Avalokitesvara; 233, to Buddha; the latter ascribed to the king Harsadeva.

⁵ Digha-N. I, p. 34; Pugg.-P. p. 38. More about this in the sequel.

6 We avoid using the term "real truth", because reality for the majority of Indian sects is the reverse of truth in the highest sense, of sat, το ἔντως ὄν; it being only a relative, practical truth, and passing into a more illusionary appearance, māyā.

the Sānkhyas, proclaim that the soul is essentially different from matter, by which it is clogged, though it remains essentially undefiled and eternal. The Buddhists, denying the existence of any thing like a soul, necessarily reject the notion of *paramātman*, and see the highest truth in the formula of the 4 Ārya-satyāni.

The Indian view of life, in the mystical and transcendental systems of the Vedānta and Buddhism, as well as in the rationalistic Sānkhya, Yoga, Nyāya, is not seldom considered pessimistic. That qualification is true in a restricted sense, the Indians being pessimists only halfway. Just as they have no objection to the most tragic situations in their dramas, provided the final be a happy one, so they admit of all sorts of dreariness in human life, but at the same time they believe that it is possible, and that by a comparatively

no objection to the most tragic situations in their dramas, provided the final be a happy one, so they admit of all sorts of dreariness in human life, but at the same time they believe that it is possible, and that by a comparatively easy method, to get rid of the nuisance called existence and rebirth: they have only to follow some one of the infallible teachers of the path to salvation, of whom there was no lack in India. There, too, the rule holds good that the supply is proportionate to the demand.

PART II. LIFE OF BUDDHA.

The history of Buddha, such as may be extracted from the canonical writings, is so marvellous that all who are standing outside the pale of Buddhism reject, more or less, its truthfulness. A few of the unbelievers have gone to such lengths as to see in that history the remoulding of an ancient myth. Others, less radical, are of opinion that it will be possible by stripping the tale of its miraculous and mythical elements to find out the historic nucleus. Those are apt to believe that by the aid of their critical manipulations they can produce an limage which is extremely like the original. Without denying the worth of critical disquisitions or entering into the merits of the different reconstructions of the traditional history, we must limit ourselves in the following pages to a condensed account of the principal feats in the career of the Sublime Being whom all Buddhists acknowledge and revere as their Lord, Bhagavat, as the fountainhead of all Dharmas; who, according to his own words, throughout myriads of ages had prepared himself, out of charity, before becoming a Buddha, to free sentient beings from the misery of existence. The history of that Buddha may be said to be true in an ideal sense. To a certain extent we agree with Spence Hardy² that "we must be content with the legend in its received version", though we would not subscribe to what he adds: "with all the accumulations it has gathered in successive ages", because these supposed accumulations are found in the sacred books, which are not separated from the epoch of Nirvana by ages 3.

¹ For more particulars, here out of place, concerning the speculations of the Upanisads and the Sānkhya, we refer the reader to the exposition in Barth's Religions of India, p. 64—86. Cp. OLDENBERG, Die Religion des Veda und der Buddhismus, in Deutsche Rundschau of Nov. 1895; Jacobi, Der Ursprung des Buddhismus, GGA. 1896.

² M. of B. p. 139.

³ There is nothing like a connected biography of the Buddha in the canonical books. The Lalita-Vistara erroneously considered as such, is in reality the narrative of

1. DESCENT FROM HEAVEN. CONCEPTION. BIRTH. CHILDHOOD. ADOLESCENCE 1.

After having exercised the 30 Pāramitās in anterior births the Bodhisattva destined to become an omniscient Buddha was born in the Tusita heaven. At the request of the deities, urging him to release mankind, he made, before giving his assent, 5 necessary examinations: 1. of the time of his appearance; 2. of the continent; 3. of the country; 4. of the race and family; 5. of the mother who should bear him, and the time when her life should be at an end2. He saw that the proper time had arrived; that all Buddhas are born in Jambudvipa, in the country Madhyadeśa3; that the Buddhas are born either in the caste of Brahmans or of Ksatriyas; the latter being predominant, he resolved upon becoming the son of Suddhodana, the king of the Sākya clan in Kapilavastu; finally he saw that the queen Mahā-Māyā should be his mother, and that she would die seven days after his birth4. He left Nandanavana, Indra's paradise, and was conceived in the womb of Mahā-Māyā.

It was then, on the last day of the Asadha festival⁵ in Kapilavastu that Māyā had a dream, in which she saw how the Bodhisattva who in the shape of a white elephant was wandering on the Gold Mountain approached her from the North, and entered - so it seemed - her womb. So the Bodhisattva was conceived⁶.

When the Queen the next morning told her dream to the King, he summoned Brahmans, interpreters of dreams, who declared that she had conceived a son destined to become either a universal Monarch or a Buddha.

During the time of gestation, four celestials guarded the Bodhisattva and his mother, to shield them from all harm. When the time of her confinement drew near, Māyā wished to visit her parents at Devadaha (Devahrada). On

the heroical career of the Bodhisattva from his descent on earth to the time when, after reliantly doing battle and vanquishing the Fiend, he proclaims his Kingdom of Dharma. It has the character of an epic on the Bodhisattva. The same applies to the Chinese texts enumerated by Beal, SBE, XIX, p. XVI ff. The genuine portion of Aśvaghoşa's Buddha-carita is nearly coextensive with Lal. V. The complete biographies, known to us, are compilations of canonical accounts, but as compositions comparatively modern; thus the originally Pali source of Bigandet's Life or legend of Gaudama; the Simhalese Pujāvaliya; the Tibetan Life by Ratuadharmaraja, transl in extract by Schiefner; Rock-HILL's Life of the Buddha, derived from the Byah-Hgyur and Bstan-Hgyur; the Bhadrakalpāvadāna.

The following narrative is mainly based upon Intr. Jat. 1, p. 47 ff. (transl. by RHYS DAVIDS, 1880; by CHALMERS 1895). For comparison's sake references are given to Lal. V.; Mhy. I, 142 ff. and 197 ff., II, 1 ff. HARDY, M. of B. p. 140 ff. BIGANDET I;

p. 20 ff. ROCKHILL, L., of B. p. 14 ff. and other sources of information.

2 It is the Buddha himself who is the authority for his abode in, and descent from the Tuşita heaven; Ang. N. II, p. 130, and, according to CHYLMERS, also Acchariyabbhuta-S. No. 123 of Majjh. N. (JRAS of 1894, p. 386).

3 The Buddhist Madhyadesa, for the boundaries of which see MV. V, 13, 12, lies

to the east of Madhyadesa properly so called. It is, in reality, the Pragdesa. It is geographically impossible that in any period of Indian history Eastern Hindustan was looked upon as the central region of the Aryan Indians.

+ The reason why she must die, is stated Jat. I, p. 52; cp. BIGANDET I, p. 27, and Mhv. I, 199 = II, 3. A different reason adduced Lal. V. 112. A third account, the mythical base whereof is but slightly veiled, is found Buddhac. II, vs. 18.

5 Lal. V. p. 63 the conception takes place at fullmoon day of Vaisākha, the moon standing in Pusya (Tisya); Mhv. gives the same asterism, omitting the name of the month.

6 The conception is represented in the Bharlut sculpture Pl. XXVIII, inscribed

Bhagavato okamti. Cp. MINAVEF Recherches I, 140.

her arriving at the Lumbini Grove, she felt a desire to enter the wood. Seeing a holy Sal iree, she stretched out her hand to take hold of a branch, which bent down of its own accord, and whilst she held it, she was delivered, in standing position and holding the branch. The child was received by the 4 Guardian gods of the quarters, and from their hands by men, but he, descending from their hands, stood erect on the ground, looked in all directions, and, after making 7 steps, exclaimed triumphantly: "I am the foremost of the world". - The child was brought to Kapilavastu.

On the same day with the Bodhisattva were born Yasodharā, the mother of Rāhula¹, Channa (Chanda), Kāludāyin (Kālodāyin, Udāyin), the horse Kanthaka (Kanthaka), and Ananda²; at the same time sprung into existence

the Bodhi tree, and the four treasure vases.

Northern sources name as born at the same time 4 kings who will play a part in the history of the Buddha, viz. Bimbisāra, Prasenajit, Pradyota, and

Udayana 3.

The birth of the Bodhisattva caused great rejoicing in the heaven of the Thirty-three gods. The Seer Kāla (al. Asita) Devala, who happened to witness those rejoicings, and on enquiry was informed of the happy event, went to Suddhodana and expressed the wish to see the child. The infant was brought, but instead of worshiping Devala, put its feet on the matted hair of the Seer, who, rising from his seat bowed respectfully to the child, and the king, following his example, prostrated himself before his son. The Sage prophetically foresaw that the child one day would become a Buddha, but that himself would die before that time. This afflicted him and he wept. In order to secure to one of his relatives the blessing he was be deprived of, he went to his nephew Nālaka4, and recommended him to become a recluse, as in the house of Suddhodana a son was born, who in 35 years would become a Buddha. Nālaka, accordingly, took up the life of a Śramana, heard afterwards the Master, entered the Order, reached Arhatship, and finally extinction 5.

Five days after his birth the Bodhisattva received the name of Siddhattha (Siddhārtha⁶). Among the Brahmans attending the ceremony there were eight renowned soothsayers, who were asked by the King to prognosticate the future destiny of his son. Seven of them were not able to make out whether the Prince would become a universal Monarch or a Buddha. Alone the eigth, young Kondañña (Kaundinya) predicted that the latter alternative would infallibly prove true. This Kondanna was the very same who afterwards as one belonging to the group of Five (Pañcavaggiya; in N. sources: Bhadravargīva) took the vows.

The King, anxious to prevent his son from forsaking the world, asked what would move the Prince to flee from wordly enjoyments. The answer was: four ominous sights: an old man, a sick man, a corpse, and a monk.

¹ Also called Bimbā, Bodhi-V. p. 20, and in N. sources Gopā, e. g. Schiefner Tib. L. p. 236; 245. Lal. V. 155; cp. 270, where she is called Yasovati.

² The cousin of the Buddha and the son of Amytodana, according to N. sources; e. g. Schiefner, Tib. L. p. 264; Rockhill, L. of B. p. 13. Curiously Bigandet I, 36 has the same statement, against the common S. tradition; see Rhys Davids, B. p. 52. In Mhv. II, 157 Ananda's mother is Mṛgī (virtually identical with Kisā Gotamī).

³ Schiefner, Tib. I. p. 235; Rockhill, L. of B. p. 16. 4 So, too, Mhv. II, p. 33, but Naradatta I.al. V. 135; 127. 5 Cp. Nālaka-S. in S. Nip. p. 128.

⁶ In N. works also Sarvarthasiddha. The new born child is brought to the temple, where the idols - or as another account has it - the goddess Abhayā - bow down at his feet; Lal. V. chap. VIII; Mhv. II, 26; ROCKHILL, op. c. p. 17.

Suddhodana forthwith took all possible precautions that none of those sights

should meet the eye of Siddhartha.

While the child grew up, surrounded by a brilliant retinue, under the special care of his aunt and stepmother, Prajapati (the Matron) Gautami, it happened on a certain day that the King went out to the Ploughing festival, and the Prince was brought to the field by the nurses, who prepared for him a couch under the shade of a Jambutree. Attracted by the spectacle of the King handing the golden plough, the nurses left the Bodhisattva alone, who, seeing no one by him, rose up, seated himself crosslegged, and exercised the first degree of Meditation. Meanwhile the shadow of the trees had turned, but that of the Jambutree had not changed. As the nurses returning saw both miracles, they informed the King, who came in all haste and prostrated himself before his son, saying: "This is my second homage to thee, darling".

There is a tale in the North that the Prince, when he first went to school, perplexed the schoolmaster, Viśvāmitra, by his miraculous faculties 1.

On reaching the age of 16 years Siddhārtha was married to Yaśodharā,

the daughter of Suprabuddha², his own cousin.

Many feats of prowess were achieved by the Bodhisattva, when a He was an uncomparable archer, had herculean strength, and was an expert in all arts. In all contests he showed himself superior to all other Sakyas, among whom Devadatta, and it was then that the seed of jealousy was planted in the proud heart of Devadatta3.

2. FOREBODINGS OF THE FUTURE. FLIGHT FROM KAPILAVASTU. RENUNCIATION OF THE WORLD.

Time passed on, and the Bodhisattva lived in luxury and all kinds of enjoyments 4.

On a certain day the Prince ascended his chariot, and drove with his charioteer Channa (Skr. Chanda, Chandaka) to the pleasure gardens. The gods, knowing that the time was approaching when he would attain supreme Enlightenment, resolved to show him the 4 ominous sights. One among the gods assumed the form of an old, decrepit man. The Prince asked Channa:5 "What man is this?" "He is an old man", was the answer, "and every living being is doomed to become like him". With emotion in his mind, the Prince quickly returned home, and Suddhodana on being informed of the reason of that speedy return, felt his anxiety increase, and doubled the guard surrounding the palace.

On another day the Prince saw, under the same circumstances, a sick man produced by the power of the gods. He put the same question, and on

This undoubtedly apocryphal tale occurs Lal. V. Chap. X; cp. Schiefner, Tib. L.

p. 236. It is curious that in Mil. P. p. 236 Sabbamitta, a name synonymous with Viśvāmitra, is mentioned as a preceptor of the Prince.

² Of Mahānāman in Mhv. II, 48; of Daṇḍapāṇi in Lal. V. p. 179. In S. texts Daṇḍapāṇi is the brother of Suppabuddha; Hardy, M. of B. p. 137. The latter is the father of Māyā, in some N. sources, but in Mhv. I, 356 Māyā is the daughter of Subhūti.

Cp. Rhys Davins, B. p. 52.

3 Lal. V. Chap. XII; Mhv. II, 74 ff.; Tib. L. p. 237; Rockhill, L. of B. p. 21.
Cp. Hardy, M. of B. p. 153. D. is in Pāli texts the brother of Yaśodharā and a son to Suppabuddha; Hardy, M. of B. p. 231. His mother is Godhī, e. g. CV. VII, 3, but in

Tib. L. p. 237 Devadutta, wife to Amrtodana.

4 Cp. Lal. V. Chap. XIV; Buddhac. Chap. III, vs. 26 ff. and V, 16 f.

5 The compiler of Intr. Jat. 1, 59 here refers to the Mahapadana.

hearing the answer, turned back in agitation. The King multiplied the means of enjoyments for his son, and again doubled the guards.

Sometime after the Bodhisattva, when driving to the gardens, met with a corpse. The answer, given by his charioteer moved him more than ever. Quickly he returned to the palace, and the King redoubled his precautions.

On a fourth occasion the Bodhisattva on his drive to the gardens saw, by the instrumentality of the gods, a monk. The decent deportment of the person made a deep impression on his mind, and when he heard that the man was an ascetic, he forthwith felt a strong inclination to renounce the world. Now he drove on to the gardens, and there spent the day. After taking a bath he seated himself on the resting stone to be clothed.

At that moment Sakra felt that his throne became hot, a certain foreboding of danger to his dominion. Conceiving that the Bodhisattva at midnight of that very day would leave the palace and carry out the Great Renunciation, he ordered Viśvakarman to go to the gardens, and adorn

Siddhārtha with heavenly attire.

Viśvakarman obeyed the order, came into the presence of the Prince, and disposed in a divine manner the folds of the latter's hairdress. Thus arrayed in all his splendour, the Bodhisattva ascended his chariot. Just at that time he received the message that Yasodhara had been delivered of a son², on hearing which he said: "Rāhula has been born, a bond has come into being." Hence the name of Rahula was given to the child by order of Suddhodana.

When the Prince was entering the town with great magnificence, a young lady, Kisā Gotamī³, was contemplating from the upper storey of her palace the entrance of the Bodhisattva, and exclaimed:

Happy, indeed, is the mother, Happy, indeed, is the father, Happy, indeed, is the wife, Who possesses such a husband!

On hearing those words, the Bodhisattva became meditative, and as his mind was already estranged from evil passions, he perceived that real happiness can only be gained by quenching the fire of love, hatred and delusion. "She has given me a good lesson", quoth he, "I will search for the peace of Nirvana⁴, and this very day leave my family and renounce the world." Then detaching from his neck a collar of great value, he sent it to Kisā Gotamī as a token of gratitude.

I Jat. I, p. 59 adds the remark: "The preachers of the Digha-N. say that he saw all the 4 signs on the same day". This has nothing to do, as ROCKHILL op. c. p. 22 supposes, with the Prince riding on horseback to the fields and seeing some poor ploughing labourers; this scene falls between the third and the forth ominous sight in Buddhac. V, in ROCKHILL's source afterwards.

² In Mhv. II, 159, and Tib. L. p. 240 (cp. Bhadrak. II) it is not the birth, but the conception which took place in the night of the Prince's flight. No date is given Buddhac. II, vs. 46, where Rāhula is marked by the epithet Rāhusapatnavaktra. Cp. the fact that in Tib. L. p. 245 Rāhula's birth coincides with the defeat of Māra at the time of a lunar eclipse on fullmoon day in Vaiśākha. The same moment gave birth to Ananda, the son of Amrtodana. In Bhadrak. IX, Rāhula is born six years after his conception, as in Tib. I.

3 Her story is told Par. Dip. 195 ff.; Therig. 213—223. Cp. Dhp. p. 118; 289; 387; Ang. N. I, 14, 5. In Mhv. II, 157 and Bhadrak. XXXV, she is called Mrgī, the mother of Ananda; in a confused Tibetan account something like Mrgajā, with ROCKHILL, L.

of B. p. 23; not named Buddhac. V, 24.

4 The term rendered by "happy" in the stanza, is nibbuta, and Nirvāṇa is synonymous with nibbuti (nirvrti). See CHILDERS S. vv.

When Siddhartha, after retiring to his apartments, lay reclined on his couch, a crowd of fair damsels began to sing, play and dance to divert him, but he took no pleasure in the spectacle and fell asleep. The damsels, disappointed, lay down to sleep. A short time after the Prince awoke and, looking around, saw the loathsome appearance of the sleeping women. He felt more and more disgusted, and the desire to accomplish the Great Renunciation (Abhiniskramana) arose in him with double force. He rose, called his charioteer, and gave order to saddle his horse?.

While Channa was saddling the courser Kanthaka, the Bodhisattva went to the room of Rāhula's mother. He opened the door and saw Yaśodharā sleeping, with one of her hands upon the head of the child. Fearing that her awakening would be an obstacle to his going away, he silently left the palace³. As soon as he came out, he went to his gigantic white steed, bestrode it, and ordered Channa to take hold of its tail4. The city gate was opened by the power of the guardian deity, and so the Bodhisattva escaped.

At that moment Mara the Fiend appeared in the air, with the intention to prevent the Bodhisattva to become a Buddha, by promising him in a week the dignity of a universal Monarch. But the Prince, not aiming at worldly sovereignty, remained deaf to the Tempter, who, baffled in his design, maliciously followed him, as a shadow the body, waiting for an opportunity 5

It was on the full moon day of Aşādha when the Prince left the city. At a certain distance he turned the face; at the spot where would be erected the shrine of "Kanthaka's Return"? His progress through the country went on in great pomp and glory, a host of heavenly beings attending him with lighted torches, and the sky being replete with flowers from Indra's heaven "as with the drops of rain at the beginning of the rains"8.

After going with such a splendid retinue 30 Yojanas the Prince arrived at the river Anoma?. He sprang with his steed over the river, lighted, and said to Channa: "Here take my ornaments, and return with Kanthaka. I am going to become an ascetic".

Thereupon the Bodhisattva thought: "These long locks of mine are unbecoming a Sramana". He took his sword, cut off his hair, and flung it together with the crest jewel towards the sky, saying: "If I am destined to become a Buddha, let it stand in the air, if not, let it come down on the ground!" The tuft of hair with the jewel went up and remained suspended in the sky, where Sakra received it in a golden casket, to place it in the Shrine of the Crest jewel (Cūļāmani) in the Heaven of the 33 gods 10.

¹ For a fuller description see Jat. I, p. 61 and Lal. V., p. 251, with the misogynic effusion p. 252. Cp. Buddhac. V, 43 ff. Mhv. II, 159.

² N. writings insert an episod describing how the Prince as a dutiful son, before

departing, asks his father's leave; Lal. V., Chap. XV; Mhv. II, 141; cp. Buddhac. V,

vs. 27—38. The episode contains powerful passages, but is hardly appropriate.

3 Intr. Jat. I, p. 62 adds the notice that according to a Jat. commentary "Rahula was at that time seven days old", but rejects that view as not being found in other commentaries. Quite different again several N. traditions, see note above p. 16.

4 The horse measured 18 cubits from the neck to the tail.

- 5 Lal. V, p. 257 it is not Māra, but Chandaka who tries to deturn the Prince from his design. Cp. Mhv. II, 160, and again 165. Cp. Windisch Māra und B. p. 205.

 6 I. e. the date of his conception.

 7 Kanthakanivattana. This shrine not mentioned Lal. V. 277, but another erected
- on the spot where Chandaka took leave, (Chandakanivartana). 8 In Madhyadesa the rains set in about the end of June.
- 9 Slightly different Mhy. II, 164, where the place Anomiya is apparently the same

as Anupiya in the country of the Mallas. Cp. I.al. V. p. 277.

10 The name of the Caitya in I.al. V. p. 278 is Cadapratigrahana. The relic is Indo-arische Philologie III. 8.

Again the Prince thought: "These fine Benares clothes do not befit a Śramana". At that moment the Mahābrahma angel Ghaţīkāra, who in the days of yore had been the friend of the Bodhisattva, when the latter was Gyotipāla ¹, provided him with the right requisites of a Sramaņa, the 3 robes etc. The Bodhisattva put on his new dress, and bade Channa to go back with the salutations to his parents. The charioteer obeyed, but the horse Kanthaka, unable to bear his grief, died of a broken heart. After his death he was reborn as a deity of the same name in heaven?.

3. WANDERING LIFE. ASCETISM. CONTEST WITH MARA. ATTAINMENT OF BUDDHAHOOD.

The Bodhisattva having thus entered upon the life of a recluse spent a week in the mango grove of Anupiya. Thence he travelled in one day to Rājagrha, the capital of Magadha, where he begged his food. At this sight the inhabitants were struck with wonder, not knowing whether he was a deity, a mortal, or any other being. The King, Seniya (Srenya, Śrenika) Bimbisara, observing the Great Man (Mahapurusa) from his palace tower, ordered his servants to go and ascertain the nature of the stranger. The men found the Bodhisattva, who after having got sufficient food had left the city, at the foot of the Pandava Rock, eating, not without an effort, his coarse meal. The messengers returned, and related all to the King, who quickly went to the place where the Great Man was sitting, and offered him his whole kingdom, but the latter rejected that generous offer, saying that he had abandoned all in the hope of attaining supreme Enlightenment, whereupon Bimbisara asked the favour that his kingdom should first of all be visited by the Buddha3.

On leaving the King, the Bodhisattva went forward, and in due course came to Āļāra Kālāma and Uddaka, son of Rāma, two renowned teachers of philosophy. He learned from them the successive degrees of ecstatic meditation (samāpatti), but soon discovering that it was not the way to Enlightenment, he resolved to apply himself to the Great Effort (Mahāpadhāna) 5. To effect his purpose, he went to Uruvelā (Uruvilvā). Now it came to pass that the Pancavaggiyas, i. e. the 5 mendicants, Kondanna and the rest, met with him, and resolved to stay with him, persuaded as they were that erelong he would become a Buddha.

After six years of exertion the Bodhisattva resolved to practise the most profound meditation (dhyāna) and to perform the most rigid penance. By

figured as placed in the Sudhanima Devasabha, and called Bhagavato Cūdamaho Pl. XVI

of Bharhut; cp. Cunningham p. 189 of his text.

I For the history of the two friends, see Mhv. I, 319 ff. and cp. Mil. P. p. 221 ff. Dhp. p. 349. -- In Mhv. II, 195; Lal. V. 276; Buddhac. VI, vs. 60, the B. changes his dress with the kasaya of a hunter or a Devaputra who had assumed the shape of a hunter.

² The death and deification of the steed is more amply told in Mhv. II, 189 ff. According to this source, and Lal. V. p. 282 K. died after his having returned to Kapilavastu. Cp. about his blissful state in heaven Vimāna-V. p. 81.

3 A fuller account of this story is found S.-Nip. p. 71 and commentary. The

poetical version Lal. V. 297 ff. is much longer.

4 About the two teachers, see the Buddha's own account, Majjh. N. I, p. 80 ff. Cp. Lal. V. 319 ff. — In the N. books the course of events is somewhat different; see Lal. V. 294; Mhv. II, 195; Buddhac. X—XII; the N. names of the teachers are Ārāḍa Kālāma, and Udraka (mistakenly Rudraka) Rāmaputra.

5 The system is set forth in the Mahāpadhāna-S. in Dīgh. N.; cp. Ang. N. II,

p. 16, and CHILDERS s. v. padhanam.

carrying his fasting to excess, he became as thin as a skeleton, and at last so weak that one day he fainted and fell down. Some gods said: "The Sramana Gautama? is dead; others, however, remarked: 'Such is the state of Arhats'". And, indeed, not long afterwards he recovered from his swoon.

As he perceived that mortification was not the way to Enlightenment, he resumed his former diet, which caused the Five friars to loose faith in him. Hence they left him, and went to Rsipatana (Isipatana) in the Deerpark near Benares.

At that time there lived in Uruvelā a girl named Sujātā, the Chieftain's daughter⁴. On the fullmoon day of Vaisākha she rose early to make an offering to a holy tree and milked the cows. Seeing many miracles, she joyfully sent her servant girl Pūrnā (Punnā) to clear the place under the holy tree.

Now in the latter part of the previous night the Bodhisattva had seen 5 dreams, by which he got the certainty that in the course of that day he would become Buddha. At daybreak he went in quest of his food and sat down at the foot of the holy tree, lighting up the East with his radiance. Pūrņā saw him shining, the whole tree goldcoloured by the rays issuing from his body. She ran back to her mistress, who, after pouring milk-rice in a golden vessel, went to the tree, and presented the golden vessel to the Great Man. And at that moment disappeared the earthen pot given him by Ghaṭīkāra. He went with the golden vessel to the bank of the river Nairanjana (P. Neranjara), to a place called Well-established (Supatithita), where innumerable Bodhisattvas had bathed on the day of their awaking to Enlightenment. After taking a bath he dressed himself in the garb of an Arhat worn by numberless Buddhas, and, sitting down Eastward, ate all his food, divided into 49 portions, as he would have no other nourishment during the next 7 weeks. Having finished his meal, he flung the golden vessel into the stream with the following asseveration: "If on this day I am to become a Buddha, let this vessel ascend the stream; if not, let it go down". And, lo, it went up to a great distance, when it sunk down to the abode of the Nāgaking Kāla. On striking against the vessels of the three last Buddhas, it produced a sound, by which Kala became aware of the rise of a new Buddhas.

In the evening the Great Man marched toward the tree of Enlightenment. He met on his march with a grasscutter, Svastika (Sotthiya), who offered him 8 bundles of grass. He accepted the offering, and, after taking a survey of the quarters, he went to the East, the seat of all Buddhas, facing the West. There he scattered the handful of grass on the ground, where a seat

² Buddha himself describes his severe penance and subsequent exhaustion in Majjh. N. I, p. 80; p. 245 ff. Cp. I.al. V. 319 ff.; SENART Not. Ep. 3 (statue). — The Dhyāna alluded to is termed appānaka Majjh. N. l. c.; āsphānaka Lal. V. 314; 324; Mhv. II, 125.

² This is the first time the B. is so termed in Jat. Intr., whereas in Lal. V. he is addressed by that name by Ārāda. As to the origin of the name, see BURNOUF, Intr. p. 155. The Buddha is also known as an Āngirasa, the Gautamas being a subdivision of the Āngirasas. One of his other appellations is Ādityabandhu, the Sākyas forming a gens of the great tribe of Sun-descendants. Cp. S. Nip. p. 73: Ādiccā nāma gottena, Sakkiyā nāma jātiyā.

³ Another tradition describes the grief of Māyā on hearing the (false) report of her son's death; Lal. V. 314 ff.

⁴ Her father's title is senānī, senāpati, and otherwise gopādhipa or grāmika (i. e. village head) in Jāt., Mhv., Lal. V., Buddhac. In this last non canonical source her name is Nandabala XII, 106, but Sudātā XVII, 9. The name Balā also occurs Lal. V. 331. The story of her offering, the subsequent march of the B., and his victory is twice told, Mhv. II, 264 ff. and 299 ff. Cp. Lal. V. Chap. XVIII—XXI; Buddhac. p. 106 ff.

⁵ Cp. Mhv. II, 265; twice repeated, with variations, p. 307 and p. 400.

of 14 cubits was formed. Then he uttered the following asseveration: "Let my skin, my nerves and bones waste away, let my life blood dry up, I will not leave this seat before attaining perfect Enlightenment." I.

It was at that moment that Māra² thinking: "Prince Siddhārtha wishes to escape from my dominion", summoned his hosts to do battle. Himself mounted on the elephant Mountain-girdled (Girimekhala) led the attack, which was so dreadful that the gods attending the Bodhisattva were seized with terror and fled. The Great Man alone remained undaunted, putting his trust in the Pāramitās. Thereupon Māra caused violent winds to blow, followed by a rain of rocks, weapons, glowing ashes, charcoal. All in vain³.

Seeing all his attempts baffled, the Fiend approached the Great Man, and summoned him to vacate his seat. "Māra!" was the reply, "you have not devoted your life to benefit the world, to attain wisdom. This seat does not belong to you". Enraged at these words, Māra cast his discus weapon at the Great Man, but it became a garland of flowers. Again the host of Māra renewed the attack, but the rocks they hurled down at him, were turned into nosegays. Sure of his triumph, the Bodhisattva exclaimed: "The seat belongs to me", and turning to the Fiend, he defied him to adduce a witness for his merits. Māra pointed to his followers, who with a roar testified to their master's liberality. In his turn the Fiend asked: "As to you, Siddhartha, who is witness to your having bestowed alms?" Then the Bodhisattva called up the Earth to be his witness, and she replied with such a roaring voice that the hosts of Mara were discomfited, and the elephant Girimekhala fell down on his knees to pay homage to the Great Man. The army of the enemy fled in all directions, whereas the gods exultingly shouted: "Māra is defeated! The Prince Siddhartha has prevailed!" The Nagas and other celestial beings approached the seat of Enlightenment, chanting songs of victory.

The sun was still above the horizon when the Great Man defeated the army of his foe⁴. In the first watch of the night he arrived at the knowledge of his former states of existence (purvanivāsa, pubbenivāsa); in the second watch he acquired the heavenly eye (divyacakṣus, dibbacakkhu); in the 3d the knowledge of the series of causes and effects⁵.

While he was mentally revolving the 12 causes (Nidānas) in direct order and in reverse order⁶, the whole universe shook 12 times to its foundation, and the most extraordinary phaenomena were seen, even as at his birth.

¹ Cp. the stanza in Lal. V. p. 362.

3 According to Lal. V. p. 404 Māra, after his first unsuccessful attack, sends his daughters to tempt the B. He repeats his attempt in a later period, in which the P. texts place it, as we shall see below. Other transpositions occur Mhv. II, 322 and Buddhac. XIII, vs. 2 ff.

4 In Mhv. II, 417 the army is defeated at sunrise.

6 Cp. MV. I, 1; for the stanzas there cp. also Mhv. II, 88; 416 ff.

² Māro pāpimā, the usual name of the Fiend in P., originally, it appears, synonymous with the Mṛṭyuh pāpmā in Vaidie texts, has with the Buddhists become the incarnation of Evil. His connection with Death is further exemplified by his being identified with Maccurāja, in Therag. vs. 411. For pāpimā Mhv. has pāpīmān, along with pāpīyān (e. g. II, 264; 268); the latter form being used in Lal. V. A wellknown synonymous term both in S. and in N. texts is Namuei. His identification with Smara is founded upon his being the ruler of the highest Kāmaloka; hence he is termed Kāmešvara, Lal. V. p. 427; kāmadhātau Mārah pāpīyān adhipatur īśvaro vaśavartī, p. 375. Cp. Buddhac. XIII, vs. 2. See also Windisch Māra und B. p. 184 ff.

⁵ Pratityasamutpāda, P. paticasamutpāda; the system, as developed MV. I, 1; Lal. V. Chap. XXII; Mhv. II, 285 and 346, will be expounded in Part III below. — BIGANDET'S source adds the conception of the 4 Āryasatyāni; likewise Lal. V. 447; Mhv. II, 345. The 4 truths or axioms are fully set forth Majjh. N. I, p. 48.

Amid these wonders the Bodhisattva attained Omniscience, and he uttered the joyful song common to all Buddhas.

4. THE FIRST SEVEN WEEKS OF BUDDHAHOOD. PREACHING OF THE LAW AND CONVERSION OF THE 5 MENDICANT FRIARS. OTHER CONVERTS. TEMPTATION BY MARA. THE THREE KASYA-SERMON ON BURNING. MEETING WITH BIMBISĀRA. CON-VERSION OF SĀRIPUTRA AND MAUDGALYĀYANA.

After reaching perfect Enlightenment, the Lord Buddha remained sitting on the same seat, realizing the bliss of Deliverance, and thinking of his generous acts in his previous existence as Viśvantara (Vessantara²). Some deities, seeing that he did not leave his seat, felt a doubt whether he had fulfilled his daytask, but the Master, knowing their thoughts, rose into the air, performed a magical3 feat, and thus dispelled their misgivings. Thereupon he took his stand a little to the North-East, looking during a week with unblenching eyes at the spot which became the "Shrine of the Unblenching one". Between that spot and his seat he shaped a walk, stretching from East to West, which he spent a week in walking to and fro. That walk became known as the "Jewel shrine of the Walk". In the fourth week the gods made to the Northwest a Jewelhouse. There he spent a week, going through the whole Abhidharma-Pitaka.

After having spent four weeks near the Bodhi tree, he went in the fifth week to the Goatherd's Banyan tree, and sat there scrutinizing the Dharma 4. It was at that time that Māra, who always followed the Master to discover a flaw sat down overcome with sorrow after his defeat. His three daughters, Desire, Pining and Lust⁵, on hearing the reason of his affliction, promised that by their charms they would overcome the Saint. So they approached the Lord and tried to seduce him, but he paid not the slightest attention to them. At last he said: "Go away! Suchlike endeavours may have success with men who have not subdued the passions, but the Tathagata has done away with affection, hate and illusion", and he recited two stanzas (Dhp. vss. 179, 180). Baffled in their attemps the daughters returned to their father.

The Lord, after spending there a week, went to Mucalinda⁶. There he

and forms the subject of dramatic performances in Burma and Tibet; Waddell, Buddh. of Tib. 540-551. Cp. Harov, M. of B. 116 ff. Fa Hian's Rec. 106.

3 Yamaka-fāṭihāriya. Yamaka, a term which has given rise to various remarks, is, if we are not mistaken, a conundrum, a veiled expression for yoga in the sense of

"jugglery, magic"; yamaka, double, being nearly synonymous with yega, conjunction, and wholly with yuga, a couple.

4 The account of the Lord's stations in MV I, 1-5 is different; viz. I. Bodhi tree; 2. The Goatherd's Banyan tree; 3. Mucalinda; 4. Rājayatana tree; 5. Goatherd's Banyan tree; cp. Majjh. N. I, p. 167. In Lal. V. 488 ff. the sequel is: 1. Bodhi terrace (Bodhimanda); 2. the Long Walk, extending over the whole universe; 3. B. looks with unblenching eyes to the Bodhimanda; 4. He goes the Short Walk from the Eastern to the Western Ocean; 5. Mucilinda; 6. the Goatherd's Banyan tree; 7. Tārāyaņa tree.

5 Their names in P. are: Tanhā, Aratī, Ragā; see more about them S. Nip. p. 157; Samy. N. I, p. 124; Ang. N. I, 3. In Lal. V. 490 they occur as Tṛṣṇā, Arati, Rati; in Buddhac. XIII, 3 Tṛṣ, Pṛīti, Rati. The episod is wanting in MV.

6 Lal. V. 491: Mucilinda. It occurs as the name of a mountain, a lake, a Naga, and a tree.

¹ See Intr. Jat. 1, p. 76; the dogmatic interpretation in the comment on Dhp. vs. 153 f. An explanation of the "train of thought" is found in Prof. RHYS DAVIDS, B. pp. 100-112. — The Udāna in Mhv. II, 285 contains in plainer words the same idea.

² His last birth but one. The story of V. is the most favourite of all the Jātakas

was shielded during a rainshower by the coils and hoods of the Naga king. After a week he went to the Rajayatana tree, where he remained another week.

On the last day of the seven weeks, whilst the Lord was sitting at the foot of the Rājāyatana, it came to pass that two merchants, Tapussa and Bhalluka², were travelling from Utkala (Orissa) to Madhyadeśa, with 500 carts. A deity, who had been a bloodrelation of those merchants, stopped their carts, and exhorted them to offer cakes of barley and honey to the Lord. The merchants followed the advice, went to the presence of the Buddha, and said: "O Lord! out of mercy to us accept this food!" 3 The Tathagatha had no vessel to receive those offerings, but the Guardian deities of the four quarters forthwith came from heaven, and presented him each with a bowl of sapphire. He refused. Then they offered him four other bowls of beancoloured stone, which he accepted, putting the four bowls one in the other with the word of command: "Let them become one". He now took the food and ate it.

When the Lord had finished his meal, the merchants, prostrating themselves, made profession of faith with the words: "We take refuge in the Buddha and in the Law; take us, o Lord, from hence forward lifelong as lay devotees (Upāsaka)!" The two merchants thus became the first lay devotees by pronouncing only two articles of faith4, since at that time the Congregation (Sangha) did not yet exist. After their profession of faith they besought the Master to bestow upon them something which in the sequel they might worship. And he gave them a few hairs of his head. These were afterwards deposited by the merchants as relics in a shrine, which they erected in their native city 5.

Then the Tathagata rose up and returned to the Goatherd's Banyan tree. The thought arose in him that the Law he had mastered was too profound and subtle to be preached to others. But Brahma Sahampati, knowing that the world would be lost, if the Buddha continued unwilling to reveal the Law, repaired to the place where the Lord was sitting and urged him to show the way of salvation in so eloquent words that finally his request was granted6.

The Buddha now pondered in his mind to whom he should first reveal the Law. He thought of Alara, but by the suggestion of a deity he became aware that his former teacher had died a week ago. Then he thought of Udraka, but on an intimation by a deity he came to the conclusion that Udraka had died last night?. Now his thoughts turned to the 5 mendicant

r Corresponding to Skr. rājātana. Tārāyaņa of Lal. V. may be a corruption of a supposed Prākrit rājāyana.

87 ff. ROCKHILL, L. of B. p. 37 ff.

² Lal. V. has Trapusa and, like MV., Bhallika, two brothers, as with BIGANDET I, 108. Tapassu in Intr. Jat. is certainly wrong. The event takes place earlier in MV. I, 4, but equally near the Rajayatana. On the redaction of the episod in Mhv. see MINAYEF Recherches I, 158.

³ The formula MV I, 4 is: "that it may be to our weal and happiness for long time!" Lal. V. 495 has anukampām upādāya, exactly as Jāt. Intr.

⁴ They became upāsakā dvevācikā.

⁵ About the conflicting claims of the Burmese and the Simhalese to the possession

⁵ Adout the conflicting claims of the Burmese and the Simhalese to the possession of these relics see Prof. Riivs Davids, Birth stories p. 110, note. It may be added that a town in Bactria raised the same claim; Voy. I, 66. Cf. Minayer Recherches I, 161. 6 More amply and poetically told MV. I, 5; Maijh. N. I, 167 ff. Samy. N. I, 136 ff. I.al. V. 514 ff. The agreement between the S. and the N. tradition is here very close. We only remark that for P. Brahmā Sahampati Lal. V. has usually Sikhī Mahābrahmā; yet Sahāmpati occurs e. g. p. 69; 342 (cp. Vyutp. § 163), and Sahapati p. 49.

7 Cp. for this part of the narrative and the sequel Lal. V. 523 ff. Buddhac. XV,

friars, who had for a while so faithfully attented him. Discovering in his mind that they were living in the Deerpark near Benares, he took the resolution to go thither and inaugurate there the dominion of the Law*. He lingered a few day's more near the Bodhi tree, and departed on the full moon day of Āṣādha².

On his way he met the Ajīvaka monk Upaka, who struck with his prepossessing exterior, asked him to what order of monks he belonged, and who was his master. Whereupon the Lord, proclaiming his own omniscience and superiority, declared his intention to proceed to Benares to inaugurate the dominion of the Law, and to beat the drum of Immortality (Nirvāṇa) in this

world that is groping in darkness3.

On the evening the Master arrived at the Deerpark⁴. When the Five monks saw him from afar, they said one to another: "Brethren 5, here comes the Sramana Gautama, who has returned to a good life and forsaken all earnest striving. We will not receive him with marks of reverence, but as he is of a good family he deserves the honour of a seat". Such was their agreement, but when the Lord came nearer, they involuntarily rose and respectfully welcomed him. Not knowing that he had became a Buddha, they addressed him by his name or by "Reverend". But the Lord said: "Do not, o monks, address me thus; I am a Tathagata. I will preach you the Law by following which you will attain, even in this life, at the highest degree of holiness".

After persuading them to be attentive, the Master preached his first discourse, in which he set forth that one who renounces the world should shun two extremes: the pursuit of worldly pleasures, and the practice of useless austerities⁶; that it is the middle course, discovered by the Tathagata, which leads to wisdom and Nirvāṇa. That middle course, as he proceeded to unfold, is the eightfold Path?. Further he gave an exposition of the 4. Axioms or Certainties (Āryasatyāni): suffering, the cause of suffering, the suppression of suffering, the path leading to that suppression. During that exposition Kondañña (Kaundinya) came to true insight, and understood⁸ that whatever has an origin is destined to have an end. Thereby he acquired the fruit of

- I And: "begin to turn the wheel of the Law". Both translations of dharmacakram pravartayitum are admissible. Yet it must be noticed that the Buddhists usually take the expression in a symbolical sense, and so they did anciently, as is proved by the representations of the wheel at Bharhut, Pl. XIII and XXXI. Cp. Ep. Ind. II, 322. A third meaning results from such epithets as dvadašākāra — an allusion to the 12 Nidānas and perhaps to the 12 Angas - and sūksma, gambhīra; viz. that of "the whole circle of the Law". In such a connection, dharmacakram vartayati or pravartayati may be rendered with "unfolding the whole of the Dharma".
- ² The date of his conception and of his entering upon a wandering life. The "few days" must be a week, for it is eight weeks between full moon day of Vaiśākha to full moon in Asadha.
- 3 See the Gathas MV I, 6; Majjh. N. I, p. 169; Lal. V. 526. -- Concerning Upaka cp. BURNOUF Intr. 389; FEER, Etudes Buddhiques pp. 15-17.

4 The legend about the origin of the name in Mhv. I, 359 ff. presupposes the Prākrit form daya, not the Skr. dava.

- 5 Avuso, properly "Sir, Reverend". It is not exactly indeclinable, as CHILDERS has it, but the vocat. case, also used in addressing a company, of ayasmat (ayusmat); see e. g. S. Vibh. IV, 8, 8. The form of the case agrees with Vaidic-vas, as in adrivas, bhagos.

 6 Cp. Beal SBE. XIX, 174.
- 7 Astāngiko (āryāst*) mārgah, atthāngiko maggo, viz. right views, r. thoughts, r. speech, r. actions, r. living, r. exertion, r. recollection, r. meditation, MV. I, 6, 18; Dīgh. N. I, p. 157; Majjh. N. I, p. 47 sf.; Samy. N. II, p. 106; Lal. V. 540 sf. Kārand. V., 46. Cp. Vyu. § 44; Dharma. S. L.

g Hence his surname Añña- or Aññata-Kon lañña, in ungrammatical Skr. Ajñata-Kaun linya. Cp. Jeta-vana instead of Jeti-vana. A correction has Ajñālā K. Vyu. § 47. the first stage on the path to Nirvana, and at the time he received ordination. The next day Vappa (Vāṣpa) was converted, and on the three following days Bhaddiya (Bhadrika), Mahānāman and Assaji (Aśvajit) successively2. On the fifth day the Master preached to all of them the discourse "On the inanity of all physical and mental phaenomena" (Anattalakkhana-Suttanta), in consequence whereof the 5 monks became in their minds freed from all impurity. Thus there were at that time six Arhats.

In those days there was in Benares a young man, named Yasas (P. Yasa), a wealthy banker's son. Once it happened that he saw the same spectacle of sleeping female musicians as Siddhārtha had witnessed in the night of his flight. Disgusted, he fled the house and directed his steps to the Deerpark. There the Lord saw him, and perceiving his predisposition to become a saint, he called him, established him in the fruition of the first stage on the path to Nirvāṇa, and on the next day in Arhatship³.

Soon afterwards the father of Yasas became a convert as lay devotee. He was the first Upasaka making profession of faith by taking refuge in the threefold formula (tevācika). The mother of Yasas and his wife became likewise lay devotees; not long after 54 friends of Yasas took orders, and attained to Arhatship, so that there were then 61 Arhats all in all.

After the rainy season and its solemn close (pravāranā, pavāranā), the Master sent out the 60 in different directions with the words: "Go forth, O monks, wandering and preaching". He himself went to Uruvela. On his way thither he overcame the temptations of Māra and converted the

Bhaddavaggiyas 5.

At Uruvela there lived three brothers, hermits with matted hair and fireworshippers (Jatilas), known by the name of Uruvela-Kassapa (Uruvilvā-Kāśyapa), Nadī-K., and Gayā-K. By performing many miracles 6, the Tathāgata converted the three brothers, with their disciples. Accompanied by all of them, he went to the hill of Gayāsīrṣa (P. Gayāsīsa), and delivered there his sermon on Burning (Aditta-pariyaya), in consequence of which all his hearers were established in Arhatship?

After staying for some time near Gayāṣīrṣa, the Master wandered with his numerous followers, wending his way to the Supatittha Shrine in the Latthivana (Yaştivana⁸) near Rājagrha, in order to redeem the promise he had made to Bimbisāra.

When the King heard that the Buddha had arrived, he hastened with a great number of Brahman householders to the Yastivana, and prostrated himself before the feet of the Lord - those feet, marked by the figure of a wheel and emitting a flood of light. As the Brahmans stood in doubt whether

3 The scene of the story of Yasas is laid in Kusinārā SBE. XIX, 180, but BEAL'S

Rom. Leg. agrees with MV.

6 Details about those miracles are found MV. I, 15-20; cp. Tib. L. 250 ff. SBE.

XIX, 184.

I Sotapattiphala; see CHILDERS s. vv. maggo and sotapatti; cp. BIGANDET I, p. 153. ² The formula of ordination is: "Come, O monks! well proclaimed is the Law, lead a holy life that an end may be put to suffering!"

⁴ MV I, 11 and 18; ср. Rockhill, I. of B. p. 39. No mention of it in Jāt. Intr. p. 82. 5 Different from the N. five Bhadravargiyas — S. Pañcavaggiyas. Yet a Tibetan source has the same story of the 60 young men of "the happy band" or Bhadravarga; see Rockhill, L. of B. p. 40.

⁷ MV. I, 21. SBE. XIX, 186.

8 Schlefner, Tib. L. p. 254 has: "Rohrhain des festen K'aitja". Whether "Rohrhain" is intended for a rendering of Yastivana is doubtful. If so, Y. and Venuvana would seem to have been identified, or confounded. The name of the Shrine is obviously Supratistha, answering to P. Supatittha. Cf. ROCKHILL, op. c. p. 42, note.

the Great Śramaṇa was the pupil of Uruvelā-Kāṣyapa, or the reverse, the Lord penetrating their feelings, summoned the Sthavira to give testimony anent his conversion, whereupon Kāṣyapa declared that he had renounced the worship of Fire, and exclaimed: "The Lord is my master, I am his disciple". Then he rose into the air, and by that wonder fully convinced the crowd that he had yielded to the Tathāgata. But the Lord said: "Not now only have I subdued Kāṣyapa; in the past, too, he was subdued by me", and on that occasion he told the Mahā-Nārada-Kassapa Jātaka, winding up with a discourse on the 4 Axioms. On the conclusion the King with almost the whole of the assembly was established in the fruition of the first stage on the path to Nirvāṇa, and made profession of faith. Before taking leave he invited the Lord to dinner for next day.

The following morning, when the Master with his disciples entered Rajagrha, there appeared in front of him a young Brahman — in reality Sakra, who had assumed that shape — singing the praises of Buddha, the Law,

and the Congregation in the most lofty strain.

When the King of Magadha had received his guests, he presented to the Sangha whose chief is the Buddha in the most formal way, by pouring water over the Master's hand, the Bambu grove (Venuvana, P. Veluvana). The Buddha accepted the grant, and took up his abode in the grove with his company².

At that time there lived at Rājagrha a heterodox wandering ascetic (paribbājaka), Sañjaya, who had many disciples, amongst whom Ṣāriputra (Sāriputta) and Maudgalyāyana (Moggallāna). On a certain morning Ṣāriputra saw Aśvajit on his begging round, and, impressed by the Sthavira's deportment, he asked him who was his teacher. Aśvajit answered that his Master was the Great Ṣramaṇa of the Ṣākya race, and added that he was not yet able to give a detailed exposition of the doctrine, the essence of which, however, was contained in the following formula.

Of those things (conditions) which spring from a cause The cause has been told by Tathagata; And their suppression likewise The Great Sramana has revealed 5.

Immediately on hearing this verse Śāriputra was established in the fruition of the first stage on the path to Nirvāṇa, and he repeated the formula to Maudgalyāyana, who likewise became a convert. Both left their teacher Sañjaya. Maudgalyāyana attained Arhatship in a week, Śāriputra in a fortnight, and they were elevated by the Buddha to the rank of his two Chief Disciples. That distinction excited the jealousy of the other disciples, but the Master proved by references to parallel cases under former Buddhas how their discontent was unfounded.

¹ MV I, 22; Intr. Jāt. p. 84; S. Nip. vv. 405—424. Ср. Windisch op. c. pp. 234—303. ² Ср. Rockhill, op. с. p. 43; SBE. XIX, 193.

Jen. Rockhill, op. c. p. 43; SBE. XIX, 193.

Sariputra or Sārisuta, also called Upatisya (Upatissa); another name of Maudgalyāyana is Kolita; see MV. I, 24; Dhp. p. 120; Tib. L. p. 255, where the history of the two friends before their becoming pupils to Sañjaya is told. Sāriputta Dhp. l. c. is the son of the Brahmanwoman Sārī; Tib. L. agrees, but confounds the birds sāri and sārikā. The origin of the names Upatisya and Kolita is differently told II. cc. For Śaradvatīputra, synonymous with Śariputra, see Tib. L. l. c. and Burnouf Intr. p. 312. Cp. Rockhill op. c. p. 44 and the references there given.

⁴ Notwithstanding his being an Arhat.

⁵ An able discussion on the purport of this confessio fidei is found in Hodgson's Ess. p. 111.

6 Dhp. pp. 125 ff. Cp. ROCKHILL op. c. p. 115; SBE. XIX, 196.

5. VISIT TO KAPILAVASTU. ORDINATION OF RĀHULA AND NANDA. BUDDHA'S RETURN TO RĀJAGŖHA. CONVERSION OF ĀNANDA AND OTHER ŚĀKYAS. ANĀTHAPIŅDIKA. VIŚĀKHĀ.

During the Tathāgata's stay in the Bambu grove, the news reached Suddhodana that his son had become a Buddha, and was dwelling near Rājagrha'. The old King despatched one of his courtiers with a large retinue to bring his son to Kapilavastu. The envoy departed and arrived at the Bambu grove, when the Master was engaged in preaching. The effect was that the courtier and all his followers attained to Arhatship and asked to be ordained. Their request was complied with, and as Arhats become indifferent to worldly matters, they failed to deliver the King's message.

Suddhodana repeatedly sent other envoys, but the same thing happened to all his messengers nine times over. At last he thought of Udāyin the Black², who was born on the same day as the Bodhisattva and had been his playmate. Udāyin undertook the task, but on the condition that he should be allowed to become a monk. The King agreed to the stipulation, the noble man went off to Rājagṛha, heard the Master preaching and, like his

predecessors, obtained Arhatship 3.

The Master had spent the time of Retreat during the rains near Benares; then went to Uruvelā, where he stayed 3 months. On the full moon day of Pauṣa he went to Rājagrha, remaining there 2 months, so that 5 months had elapsed since he left Benares, and the cold season (hemanta) had past, a week after Udāyin's arrival⁴. Now on the full moon day of Phālguna, when the spring in all its loveliness had set in, Udāyin conceived that it was the fit time for the Buddha to visit his family. He went to the Lord, and painted him in glowing colours⁵ the pleasantness of the spring-season, the right time to undertake a journey. When the Master asked to what purpose he so sweetly lauded travelling, Udāyin answered: "o Lord, your father desires to see you; deign to pay him a visit". "Well", said the Buddha, "I will do so".

Accompanied by a great number of monks, the Tathāgata left Rājagrha with the intention of reaching Kapilavastu in two months. But Udāyin went instantly through the air, and made his appearance before Suddhodana, to whom he announced his son's slow approach. The King, exceedingly pleased, supplied him with a meal, and gave him at the same time a bowl filled with the choicest food for the Buddha. The Sthavira, after throwing the bowl into the air, rose himself up into the sky, caught the portion of food, and presented it to the Master.

Every day the Sthavira brought food in the same manner. He moreover never failed to extol the great qualities of the Buddha in the presence of the Sākyas, on account of which meritorious act the Lord assigned to him the first place among those who knew to propitiate his family.

Meanwhile the Sākyas made preparatories to receive their relative, and at his approach went out to meet him at the Banyan garden. In their pride

3 The account in Tib. L. 262 is slightly different; the letter from the King to his son is evidently a late invention. The interview between father and son in SBE. XIX, 218 ff.

¹ In Tib. sources the event is placed much later; Suddhodana hears the tidings from Prasenajit, King of Kosala; Tib. L. p. 16; ROCKHILL op. c. 51.

² Kaludayin; in N. writings Kalodayin, which may mean: Rising in time.

⁴ This reckoning presupposes a period of Retreat of three months. Cp. CHILDERS s. v. vasso.

⁵ Therag. vv. 527-536, making only 10 stanzas instead of 60, as Intr. Jat. p. 87 has it.

they were unwilling to prostrale themselves before him, but by a miracle the Lord forced them to do so . The King, seeing that miracle, bowed down before his son. That was his third homage.

Then the Lord came down from the sky, and caused a shower of rain, which only wetted those who liked it, and no others. To the astonished crowdthe Teacher said: "Not now only a rain falls on my relatives, formerly also the same took place", and on this occasion he related the Viśvantara Jātaka 2.

The next day the Tathagata entered Kapilavastu to go his begging round. The mother of Rāhula, moved by curiosity, looked out from the high palace to see her former husband, and she beheld him in his monk's habit more glorious than he ever was in his princely state. She glorified him, the Lion of Men, and informed the King that his son was begging in the streets, in the dress of a monk. Suddhodana went to meet the Buddha, and tried to persuade him that begging was unworthy of the descendant of an illustrious royal race, but his son replied: "Yours, o King, is that lineage of kings, but mine is the lineage of Buddhas, from Dipamkara down to Kāśyapa. These and all other Buddhas have been in the habit of living on alms". Then he uttered an edifying stanza, after which the King obtained the fruition of the first stage on the way to Nirvana. A second stanza had the effect that Suddhodana reached the second stage. Afterwards he was established in the fruition of the third stage, on hearing the Dharmapāla Jātaka4, and at the moment when he was dying he attained to Arhatship.

On having become a saint of the first degree, the King conducted the Lord with the assembly of monks to the palace, where they partook of a savoury meal. After dinner all the women came and paid their homage to the Lord, except the mother of Rahula. Then Buddha, flanked by Sariputra and Maudgalyāyana, went to her apartments, and no sooner had she seen him, than she threw herself at his feet. When Suddhodana praised her and dilated upon her virtuous behaviour, the Lord observed that her good conduct was not to be wondered at, since formerly, too, she watched over herself, and he told the Canda-kinnara lataka 5.

On the second day Nanda, the son of Suddhodana6 and the Matron Gautamī was to celebrate his inauguration as crown prince and his marriage to Janapada-Kalyāṇi (the Beauty of the Land). The Buddha entered the house, and led him away to the Banyan garden. Nanda's bride impatiently waited for the return of her bridegroom, but in vain, for on the third day Nanda, much against his will, was compelled by the Buddha to become a monk 1.

- According to Dhp. p. 334 the Lord produced in the sky a jewel path on which he moved to and fro, preaching the Law. In Intr. Jat. p. 88 the wonder performed is the same as the one at the foot of the Gandamba tree. Somewhat different again in Tib. 1. 263. The essential trait in all is the walking in the sky.
 - ² He was thinking of the same immediately after his Enlightenment; see p. 21.
 - 3 The two stanzas are Dhp. vss. 168 f.
- + Jat. Nr. 447; a N. version in Mhv. II, 77. This event took place after Rāhula's Ordination.
- 5 Jat. Nr. 485; represented in a basrelief of Bharhut Pl. XXVII. Other Jatakas referring to Yasodharā in her former births are found Mhv. II, 68-94; 166; the Kinnarī-J. pp. 94-115 is different from the Canda-k. J., but agrees in substance with Divy. 441 ff.; Bhadrak, XXIX; Avad, Kalp. LXIV.
- o In Bhadrak. XXXV Nanda and Nandika are sons to Dhautodana, a name which must be synonymous with Suddhodana.
- 7 Cp. Tib. L. 265, where the bride is Sundarika; with ROCKHILL op. c. 55: Bhadra. In the story of Ananda's temptation with Burander I, 187 J. K. is represented as the wife of Ananda. The story itself recurs Tib. L. 267, but refers to Nanda; so, too, in

On the seventh day the mother of Rāhula, on seeing the Buddha enter the palace, said to her son: "Look, Rāhula, that monk there is thy father; go and ask thine inheritance". The boy went up to his father, and said: "Monk, give me my inheritance". But the Tathagata, wishig to make Rāhula the heir of a spiritual inheritance, ordered Sariputra to confer on the boy the novice ordination (sāmanera-pabbajjā). This was done, much to the spite of Suddhodana, who complained of what had happened, and obtained from his son the boon that in the sequel no one should be ordained without the consent of the parents.

From Kapilavastu the Master returned to Rājagrha, where he took his

abode in the Sītavana².

After the depart of the Buddha, but before his arrival at Rājagrha, whilst he was staying at Anupiya in the country of the Mallas, many conversions took place in Kapilavastu. The chief converts were Anuruddha, brother to Mahānāma, Bhaddiya, Ānanda, Bhagu, Kimbila and Devadatta. With the intention to become monks, these Sakian princes followed by Upali the barber, went in the direction of Anupiya. At some distance from Kapilavastu they doffed their fine dresses and gave them to Upāli, who at first accepted them, but on afterthought resolved to follow the princes. As soon as they came into the presence of the Master, they asked admission into the Congregation, and, in order to curb their own pride, they requested that the barber should be first ordained. Their demand was granted.

At the time when the Lord was at the Sītavana, there came to Rājagṛha a wealthy merchant, Sudatta, surnamed Anathapindika, from Śravastī. He heard from a friend in whose house he was lodging that the Lord Buddha had arisen, which moved him to go the next morning to the Sītavana. Then he heard the preaching of the Law and became a Srotaāpanna. On the following day he bestowed a great donation on the Sangha having the Buddha for its head, and invited them to come to Sravasti.

In order to prepare for a worthy reception, Anathapindika returned to Srāvastī, where he bought from the prince leta the letavana park for 18 Koţis of goldpieces. There he erected a splendid monastery, in the midst a private room (gandhakufi) for the Master, and all around separate dwellings

for the senior monks, cells etc.

On the day when the Lord approached the city, he was received with great pomp, and, on entering the precincts of the monastery, he was asked by the merchant: "What, o Lord, shall I do with this Vihara?" The reply was: "Give it to the Sangha present and future". And Anathapindika, pouring water over the hands of the Buddha, pronounced the solemn formula of

HARDY'S M. of B. p. 205. In Bhadrak. XXXV Sundara and Sundarananda appear synonymous with Ananda. The bride seems to be identical with the Janapada-Kalyānı

Rūpa-Nandā Dhp. Comm. on vs. 150; cp. Therig. vs. 82, and her history Par. Dip. 80 ff.
MV. I, 54. Cp. Intr. Jāt. 91; Tib. L. 265.
P. Sītavana; Skr. also pleonastically Sītavana-smašāna, a cemetery, Divy. 264, 268;

Tib. L. 258.

3 More amply told CV. VII, 1; Dhp. pp. 139 ff. Cp. Hardy M. of B. 227 ff. Tib. L. 264; 266; Rockhill op. c. 53 ff. Cp. Bhadrak. XXXV. From CV. VII, 2 it would appear that Buddha went from Anupiya to Kausambi, but Bigandet I, 183 agrees with the Tib. tradition. - The Skr. names are Aniruddha, Mahanaman, Bhadrika, Bhrgu; Kimbila is wanting.

4 CV. VI, 4; Samy. N. I, p. 210; Intr. Jat. p. 92; Bodhiv. p. 42; HARDY M. of B. 218; Tib. L. 258; ROCKHILL op. c. 47; BEAL SBE. XIX, 201 and 230. The N. form of the surname is Anāthapindada; in the Bharhut sculpture Pl. XXVIII and LVII Anadhapedika; for the inscription below the sculpture see Childers in Academy of

1874, p. 586; 612.

donation. The Master accepted the gift with thanks and celebrated in stanzas

the advantages of a monastery.

In those days Sravasti was the residence of Prasenajit (P. Pasenadi), king of Kosala, and brother in law to Bimbisara2. There lived also a rich merchant, Migāra³, whose son Pūrņavardhana (Puṇṇavaddhana), became the husband of the virtuous Viśākhā⁴, the daughter of Dhanamjaya and Sumanā from Bhaddiya in Anga-lands. When she was seven years of age, it happened that the Buddha visiting Bhaddiya, perceived her predisposition to become a convert. In course of time her family migrated to Sāketa, and from this place she went, at the age of sixteen, to Srāvastī as the bride of Pūrnavardhana. After her marriage she rendered signal services to Buddha and the Congregation; she was the means of converting her father-in-law, who previously was an adherent of the naked Jains, in consequence of which she was surnamed "the mother of Migara". Another merit of hers was the erection of the monastery of Pürvārāma (Pubbārāma) near Srāvastī, which in splendour was inferior only to the Vihāra built by Anāthapindika. Though these occurrences must have taken place several years after the story of Anāthapindika they are here briefly alluded to 6.

6. ĀMRAPĀLĪ. JĪVAKA. BUDDHA'S JOURNEY TO VAIŠĀLĪ. DISPUTĒ BETWEEN ŚĀKYAS AND KOLIYAS. DEATH OF ŚUDDHODANA. ADMISSION OF NUNS INTO THE ORDER. CONVERSION OF KHEMĀ.

Once upon a time, when the Tathagata spent the rainy season near Rājagrha, at the Kalandaka-nivāpa? in the Bambu grove — it may have been the second or third retreat or later - it came to the notice of Bimbisara that there was in Vaisalī a famous courtezan, named Āmrapālī (Ambapālī, Ambapālikā⁸). Being jealous of that city and wishing to emulate with it, he resolved to produce in his own kingdom some courtezan who in accomplishments would be superior to Amrapali. Such a person was found in the girl Sālavatī. After some time she became pregnant by Abhaya, the son of the King. She was delivered of a boy, who according to the custom of courtezans was exposed, but accidentally the Prince discovered the infant, and though unaware that it was his own son, he took the boy to the palace, called him Jīvaka, and gave him a careful education9.

The same stanzas, according to CV. VI, I, were uttered on another occasion, at the donation of 60 Vihāras by a merchant of Rājagrha.

² A sculpture referring to Prasenajit in Bharhut Pl. XIII; cp. CUNNINGHAM p. 90.

3 Skr. Mrgara; Divy. 44; 77; wrongly Mrgadhara Tib. L. 270.

4 In Dsanglun Chap. 28 she is named Anuradha, the asterism following on Visākhā;

5 The name of the place is Bhadramkara in Divy. 123 ff. The father of Dhanamjaya, Mendaka, also excelled in virtue, as in fact the whole family. For the story

of Mendaka or Mendhaka see MV. VI, 34; Divy. I. c.

O For a fuller account see Dhp. pp. 230 ff. MV. VIII, 15; Divy. 44, 77, 466; HARDY M. of B. 220 ff. Tib. L. 270; ROCKHILL op. c. 70 ff. More references in ED, MÜLLER'S Glossary (JPTS of 1888) s. vc. Migāramātā and Visākhā.

7 The N. Kalandaka-nivāpa, e. g. Divy. 262; and Kalandaka-nivāsa.

8 Her history and prehistory is told in Par. Dip. 207 ff., commenting the highly poetical stanzas ascribed to her, Therig. vv. 252—270. Cp. ROCKHILL op. c. 64; Tib. L. 253, where she is represented as the mother of Prince Abhaya by Bimbisāra. In Pāli sources, the Comm. on Therag. vs. 64. and Par. Dip. 207, she has a son, the Thera Vimala Kondañña by Bimbisara; in the former passage her name is indicated by the conundrum Dumayhaya, the king's by Pandaraketu. Abhaya's conversion told Majjh. N. Nr. 58.

9 The story of Jivaka is told MV. VIII, 1; Majjh. N. I, pp. 368 ff. Cp. ROCKHILL I. c.

and Tib. 1.. 253, where J. is the son of Bimbisara, procreated in adultery.

When Jīvaka, surnamed Komārabhacca had reached the years of discretion, he went to Takṣaśilā to study medicine under a renowned professor. After seven years of study he was perfectly skilled in the art and dismissed as such by his teacher. In course of time the young physician had occasion to show his eminent skill; he cured - not to speak of other cases -Pradyota the Cruel, King of Ujjayinī, as well as Bimbisāra, who appointed him to his physician in ordinary.

On a certain day the Buddha happened to be troubled with constipation. Jīvaka was called and by applying a most delicate purgative succeeded in

healing within short the Lord from his disease2.

It was not only by this happy cure that Jīvaka rendered himself useful to the Master; he presented him also two magnificent pieces of cloth he had received from Pradyota in acknowledgment of his medical services. The Lord accepted this gift, and, assembling the monks, gave them permission to wear a dress presented by laymen, but also, if they pleased, a cloth

During his stay in the Bambu grove in the third rainy season, the Lord received a deputation from the Vaisālians, imploring him to deliver them from a frightful pestilence which desolated their country. In vain they had sought relief by recurring to the 6 heretical teachers4, and now they besought the Buddha to save them. The Master willingly acceded to their demand, and proceeded on a road, prepared by order of the King, to the Southern bank of the Ganges. On the Northern side of the river he was most respectfully received by the Licchavi nobles. No sooner had he set foot in the country, than the malign spirits that caused the disease fled away and the sick were restored to health. Having entered the city, the Tathagata uttered the Ratana-sutta 5 and made numberless converts. After receiving many pious gifts he returned to Rajagrha 6.

Three consecutive rainy seasons were spent by the Lord in the Bambu grove; in the fifth he sojourned near Vaisāli in the Kūṭāgāra hall of the Mahāvana?. In that period there arose a dispute between the Sākyas and the Koliyas about the water of the river Rohini, which owing to an unusual drought was not sufficient to irrigate the fields on both sides of the river. The quarrel rose high, and a battle would have ensued, had not the Buddha, perceiving by his divine eye what was going on, hastened through the sky

² Albeit the Lord had conquered death and disease by his having eradicated their ultimate cause, viz. avidya, the consequences of his former karman were not completely

destroyed. Hence he was liable to human infirmities.

3 According to HARDY M. of B. 249, these things occurred in the twentieth year.

4 More about these worthies anon.

5 The visit to Vaisali is told more circumstantially and with some variations in Mhv. I, 253; HARDY M. of B. 236; cp. Tib. L. 285, where the event is placed much later, in the reign of Ajatasatru. — The Ratana-Sutta (ed. CHILDERS, JRAS of 1870, p. 318; Frankfurter, Handb. 85) has its counterpart in Mhv. I, 290 ff (Svastyayana-Gatha).

6 Among the donations mention is made Mhv. l. c. of the Salavana. It was presented by Gosrngi, who had sent a parrot to invite Buddha to dinner; the same is told

Tib. L. I. c. of Amrapalī.

7 The succession of places where B. is said to have spent the Retreat is differently given; we follow the order in BIGANDET. A really historical chronology is out of question. -- Mahāvana is characterized in BIGANDET I, 204 as a forest of Saltrees; thus it appears to be identical with Sālavana, the donation of Gosrngī.

This answers to a Skr. Kaumārabhitya, but in Divy. 270 and 506 modified to Kumārabhūta. Komārabhanda, occasionally occurring in P. texts, looks like a misread Komārabhacca. Rockhill's rendering of Tib. Gdzon-nus-gsos l. c. is quite fanciful; the words clearly point to "therapeutics of children", i. e. Kaumārabhṛtya; see JÄSCHKE Tib. Engl. Dict. s. vv.

to the place where the parties stood ready to fight, and moved them to lay down the arms. The eloquent discourse which he delivered at that occasion

had the effect that he made many converts.

A short time after the event the Buddha got the notice that his father was seriously ill. Without delay he flew with some of his followers through the sky to Kapilavastu. Having come into the presence of the patient, he preached to him the instability of all things, so that Suddhodana reached the fourth stage, that of Arhatship, and, paying for the third time in his life homage to his son, he entered Nirvāṇa.

After the death of her husband, the Matron Gautamī² desired to forsake the world and embrace a religious life. Therefore she went to the Lord, who was then sojourning in the Banyan garden, and asked to become a nun. But the Buddha refused, because he would not admit females into

the Order, and returned to Vaiśālī.

Far from giving up her design, the widowed Queen and many other ladies cut their hair, put on yellow robes and went on foot to Vaisālī. When these ladies, covered with dust, with swollen feet, and bathed in tears arrived at the Kūṭāgāra hall, they were seen by Ānanda, who, having ascertained the object of their journey, went to the Master and pleaded in their favour. At first the Buddha was unwilling to admit women into the Congregation; at last, however, at the instances of Ānanda, who remembered him of the motherly care of Gautami, he gave his consent, but on the condition that the Matron should submit to 8 duties of subordination (garudhamma). Gautamī gladly promised to keep those duties 3, whereupon she with all the other ladies became nuns.

Although the Master thus had ceded to the entreaties of Ananda, he was fully aware of the dangerous consequences attending on the admission of women. "If no women had been admitted into the Order", said he to Ananda, "the Good Law would stand 1000 years, but now chastity and holiness will not last long, and the Law will only stand 500 years". His misgivings proved true by the subsequent events: the ladies, even Gautami, were now and then fretful, and some time afterwards, when the Lord sojourned at Śrāvastī, some nuns moved the indignation of the public by their scandalous behaviour.

From Vaiśālī the Tathāgata went to Śrāvasti, where he spent the 6th rainy season. At the end of the Retreat he removed to Rājagrha. Whilst he was staying in the Bambu grove happened the conversion of Khemā, wife to Bimbisāra. In the pride of her beauty she had never deigned to see the Lord, but on a certain day, when she was taking her recreation in the Bambu grove, she was brought by a contrivance of the King into the presence of the Master, who, to cure her from her vanity, produced by his miraculous power a female beautiful as a Nymph from heaven. While she was gazing on that apparition, he made it pass through the stages of youth, middle age, old age, and death. By that frightful sight Khemā was prepared to hear the lessons of the Master, and on hearing him utter some stanzas⁵ she at once

Dhp. p. 351; Jat. V, p. 412. Cp. HARDY M. of B. 307.
For details concerning G. see Ed. Müller in Par. Dip. p. XI.

³ As to those duties and the whole story of the admission of G. see CV. X, I; cp. HARDY E. M. p. 157; M. of B. 312; ROCKHILL op. c. 61. The institution of the Order of nuns took place in the 7th year according Tib. I. 268, nearly agreeing with the chronology in BICANDET.

⁴ Instances of indecent conduct are related CV. X, 9-27.

⁵ Par. Dīp. p. 133, vss. 66-70; cp. Dhp. vs. 347.

attained the first stage, or as others say, Arhatship. Before her Arhatship she was tempted by Mara, but she happily overcame the temptation².

7. THE HERETICAL TEACHERS CONFOUNDED. BUDDHA GOES TO HEAVEN AND EXPOUNDS THE ABHIDHARMA TO MĀYĀ. DESCENT AT SĀMKĀŚYA. CINCĀ. DISSENSION IN THE CONGRE-GATION. BUDDHA'S STAY IN THE WILDERNESS. RETURN. PARABLE THE LABOURER. FURTHER EVENTS. PUNISHMENT OF SUPRABUDDHA.

Among the opponents of the Lord stood foremost six chiefs of heretical sects (Tīrthikas, Tīrthyas, P. Titthiyas) to wit: Pūraņa Kassapa, Makkhali Gosāla, Ajita Kesakambalin, Pakudha Kaccāyana, Nigantha Nātaputta, and Sañjaya Belatthiputta³. Each of these teachers had numerous adherents, which did not prevent them from being jealous of the success of the Lord the Sramana Gautama, as they were went to call him -, and everywhere, oft by foul means, they tried to thwart him. One of them, Sanjaya had been the teacher of Sāriputra and Maudgalyāyana before they became disciples of the Buddha. Both he and the other Tirthikas had proved powerless against the pestilence in the country of Vaisali, so miraculously removed by Buddha.

During the stay of the Lord near Rajagrha it happened that a wealthy merchant of that place came in possession of a piece of sandal wood4. He had a bowl carved out of that piece, put it in a balance, and raising it to the top of a series of bambus he said: "If any Sramana or Brahman be possessed of miraculous faculty, let him take down the bowl". The 6 heretics, conscious of their lack of miraculous faculty, went in succession to the merchant and tried to get from him the bowl, but he refused. At that time Maudgalyāyana and Pindola-Bhāradvāja saw the bowl and incited each other to fetch it down. Then Pindola-Bhāradvāja rose up into the sky, took the bowl and moved thrice round the city, to the astonishment of the public. When it came to the notice of the Lord what had happened, he rebuked P. Bharadvāja for such a display of superhuman power for the sake of a paltry wooden bowl. "This will not conduce", said he, "either to the conversion of the unconverted, or to the advantage of the converted". And he prohibited the monks in the sequel to display before the laity their superhuman power of working miracles. This prohibition did not imply that the Lord himself should refrain from working miracles, nor that his disciples were bound, under all circumstances, not to display their superhuman faculty. Very soon it would

¹ Par. Dîp. p. 126 ff. with the quotation from Apadana there; cp. Dhp. p. 412.

² See the beautiful verses Therig. 139 ff. Samy. N. V, 4, 2 ff.; cp. CAROLINE FOLEY, Women Leaders of the Buddhist Reformation, p. 3-10. The same story of conversion is told of Nanda; see above p. 28. Apparently another person is Kşemā, daughter to Prasenajit, in Avad. Sat. VIII, 9.

Divy. p. 399.

³ In N. writings, Divy. XII and Mhv. I, 253, the names are Pūraņa-Kāsyapa or Kāsyapa Pūraņa, Maskarin Gosālīputra, Ajita Kesakambala, Kakuda Kātyāyana, Nirgrantha Jnātiputra, and Sanjayin Vairaṭṭṇputra. Their tenets are described in Sāmañnaphala-S. (Dīgh. Juatputra, and Sanjayin variatiputra. Their tenets are described in Sanjania-Banana-S. (1918).

N. I. Nr. 2; transl. Burnouf, Lotus p. 448); cp. Rockhill op. c. p. 99 ff., in the Chinese version by Bunyiu Nanjio there p. 255; on Gosāla Mankhaliputta's doctrine viewed from the Jaina standpoint, see Leumann there p. 249.

4 CV. V, 8; transl. SBE. XX, 78. Cp. Rockhill op. c. 69, where the man's name is Jyotiska, probably the Jotiya of Dhp. p. 231.

5 This person was still living in the last years of Asoka's reign, according to

appear that in order to confound the obstinate Tirthikas, a grand display of

miraculous power by the Lord would be required.

The heretics, finding no encouragement for their tricks from Bimbisāra, went to the King Prasenajit in Śrāvastī, in the hope there to be more successful. The Buddha, knowing what was going on, and remembering that Śrāvastī was the very place where all former Buddhas had shown their greatest miracle, travelled to that capital and took his abode in the Jetavana. A few days after his arrival the great show would be performed in the presence of Prasenajit, the six Tirthikas, and an immense crowd. The Master created in the sky an immense road from the Eastern to the Western horizon, and after ascending it he began performing a series of inimitable wonders; first appeared a reddish gleam, then a flood of light, glittering as gold, which spread over the whole world — phenomena similar to those which the world saw when he took possession of the seat of Enlightenment. From his elevated place he preached the Law to mortals, and the people who heard him came to understand the four Axioms.

The six heretical teachers were confounded, and quite powerless, so that the Lord in full justice could declare: "The fire-fly shineth as long as the Sun doeth not shine, but as soon as the great luminary hath risen, the worm is overpowered by the rays and shineth no more". An attempt of Pūraṇa-Kāṣyapa to annul the effects of the Lord's miracles and teaching was utterly unsuccessful, and in despair he tied a large jar to his neck, threw himself into the river, was drowned, and went, as he deserved, to the lowest of hells, Avīci.

It is a fixed law that all Buddhas after performing their great miracle resort to the heaven of the 33 gods. After producing a shadowy likeness of himself, the Tathāgata vanished, and went to heaven, in order to expound the Abhidharma to his mother Māyā³. Since every day he had to go his begging round on earth, he created a likeness of himself, that had to continue the teaching of the Abhidharma during his own temporary absence.

During three months the Lord stayed in heaven. When he was about to descend, Sakra ordered Viśvakarman to construct a triple ladder, the foot of which was put near the town of Sāmkāśya (P. Sankissa). Flanked by Brahma on the right, and by Sakra on the left, the Tathāgata descended, and came down near Sāmkāśya, on the spot where all Buddhas set their feet when descending from heaven. A celebrated shrine has been erected on

that very spot⁴.

From Sāmkāśya the Buddha went to the Jetavana near Śrāvastī. The Tirthikas, more angry than ever at his increasing fame and the loss of their own profits, now tried to obtain by slander what they could not effect by fair means. For that purpose they induced a young woman, Ciñcā⁵ by name, who was a lay devotee of their sect, to accuse the Śramaṇa Gautama of having had carnal intercourse with her. The wily woman succeeded, by feigned visits to the Jetavana, to arouse the suspicion of the public, and contrived a means to assume the appearance of a person in a state of pregnancy.

¹ Divy. XII; (transl. Burnouf Intr. pp. 162 ff.); cp. Rockhill op. c. 79; Bigandet I, 216 ff.

² Cp. the expression Dhp. p. 338: Titthiyā suriyuggame khajjopanakasadisā ahesum.

J BIGANDET I, 221 ff. Cp. Tib. I. 272.

4 BIGANDET I, 225 ff.; Divy. 401; Tib. I. 273; ROCKHILL op. c. 81; Fa Hian, Rec. pp. 47 ff.; Voy. II, 237 ff. The ladder is represented at Bharhut Pl. XVII, central compartment.

Ciñca-Māṇavikā.
 Indo-arische Philologie III. 8.

In the ninth month she rendered herself at evening time to the place where the Master was in the act of preaching. There, in the presence of the assembly, she accused the Buddha of being the cause of her pregnancy, and required that he should provide a place for her approaching confinement.

The Tathāgata, interrupted in his teaching, answered with a roaring voice: "Sister, whether thy words be true or not true, nobody knows but myself, and thou". And in that very moment, lo! Sakra followed by four angels who were transformed into young mice came to the spot. The mice gnawed through the strings by which the wooden globe designed to give the appearance of pregnancy was fastened, and so the globe fell to the ground, crushing the feet of the wicked Cincā. Hooted at and pursued by the indignant people, she at once disappeared in the midst of flames rising from the depth of the earth, and descended to the bottom of the hell Avīci."

The eighth Retreat was held at the Crocodile-hill² in the Deerpark of the Bhesakalā-forest in the Bharga country³. At that time the Prince Bodhi, having just finished the palace Kokanada, sent a young Brahman to invite the Master with the disciples to dinner. The invitation being accepted, the palace was spread over with white cloth down to the last row of steps, and the Prince went out to meet his guest. The Buddha came near, but stopped at the lowest step and refused to proceed farther. He cast a significant glance at Ānanda, and the latter said to the Prince: "Let this cloth be removed, Prince. The Lord will not tread on a strip of cloth, for he has compassion on the meanest thing". Then the cloth was removed, the Tathāgata went up to the palace, and sat down to partake of dinner with his followers. After finishing his meal, he edified the assembly by a discourse, and lay down the rule that the monks were forbidden to tread on cloth. — From Bharga country the Buddha set out for Śrāvastī⁴.

According to a S. tradition⁵, the Lord spent the ninth Retreat at Kausāmbī, in the Ghositārāma⁶. During his stay in this place there arose deplorable dissensions among the brethren. One of the monks had infringed unintentionally a point of discipline, and was therefore accused by another. The former protested. Some brethren took the part of the defendant, others that of the accuser, and the dispute became more and more vehement. The Master tried repeatedly to allay the strife; he told the beautiful story of

¹ Dhp. p. 338; Jat. IV, p. 187. Fa Hian, Rec. p. 60. Cp. FEER JA of 1895, 200 ff.

² Śimśumāra-giri, P. Sumsumāra-gira. *Sumsumāra* is given as an equivalent of *kumbhīla*, and the corresponding word in Skr. is decidedly *not* Delphinus Gangeticus in Suśruta I, 205, for the animal has feet.

3 Tib. L. 316 has for Bhesakalā "the Deerpark of the Yakṣa Bhayankara"; and for Pāli Bhagga wrongly Vagga. — In Btgander the 8th Retreat immediately follows on the abode in Śrāvastī, but CV. V, 21 the B. comes from Vaiśālī.

4 CV. V, 22.

5 BIGANDET I, 234.

⁶ I. e. Ghoşita's garden. Ghoşita, in N. texts also Ghoşila, is one of the three ministers of Udayana (P. Udena), King of the Vatsa country, in the capital Kauśāmbī (Kosambi). Udayana is a popular personage in Indian fable lore. As to the Buddhist version of his story see Dhp. pp. 155 ff.; Divy. pp. 528 ff.; Tib. L., 269, 276; his three wives were Sāmavatī, Vāsuladattā, and Magandiyā or 'ndikā, N. Syāmavatī, Anupamā (apparently = S. Māgandiyā), the daughter of the heterodox Makandika, and, as known from other sources, Vāsavadattā. It was Ghoṣita who presented the garden to Buddha. Dhp. p. 167; Fa Hian, Rec. p. 96, where Legge's Ghochira should be Ghoṣila; cp. Beat. SBE. XIX, 245. The name of the garden in N. sources is usually Ghoṣāvatārāma; Tib. L. 276, 316. — Concerning the unbeliever Māgandiya sec Mil. P. 313; Majjh. N. I, 502 ff.; Dhp. 162; S. Nip. p. 157.

Dīghāvu, the son of Dīghīti, King of Kosala¹, but all his wisdom and kind remonstrances were in vain. At last disgusted with such a state of things, and judging that good counsels would be squandered on such fools, he left their company, but not before uttering in the midst of the assembly some suitable stanzas². Thereupon he repaired to the village of Bālakaloṇa-kāra, with the intention to devote himself to a hermit's life. After a meeting with the venerable Bhagu he proceeded to the Eastern Bambu park (Pācīna-Vamsadāya), where Anuruddha, Nandiya and Kimbila were living in the most perfect unity and concord. They cordially welcomed their Master, who gladdened them with a sermon, and then went farther to Pārileyyaka. There, dwelling in the Rakkhita grove, at the foot of a Bhadrasāla tree, he felt all the happiness of a solitary life.

Near that place, there lived a noble elephant who had experienced much inconvenience from the herd of elephants in his train. Therefore he had left the herd and came to Pārileyyaka. He approached the Lord, provided him with food and drink, and enjoyed his calm life, now that he lived remote from the crowd of elephants which had given him so much trouble. The Buddha fully understood the feelings of the animal, and gave vent to his feeling of sympathy in a stanza³.

After dwelling for sometime at Parileyyaka, the Lord went to Śrāvastī. In the meanwhile the seditious monks of Kauśambī had received such signal marks of disrespect from the laity in that city, that they resolved upon going to Śrāvastī to have the question settled before the Master. Both contending parties having arrived at Śrāvastī laid the case anew before the Lord, who by pronouncing a lawful decision restored the concord in the Sangha⁴.

During the eleventh Retreat the Tathāgata sojourned near Rājagrha. One day, when he was in the district of the Southern hills (Dakkhināgiri) at the village of Ekanālā, he saw the Brahman Bhāradvāja superintending the labourers in his fields. On perceiving the Buddha, the Brahman said: "O Śramaṇa, I plough and sow, and by doing so find my subsistance. Do thou also plough and sow to live upon". The Lord replied: "I, too, O Brahman, plough and sow, and by doing so find my food". The Brahman, surprised at that answer, said: "I do not see, reverend Gautama, that you have a yoke, ploughshare, goad or bullocks. How can you then say that you, too, are a labourer?" Then the Lord said: "Faith is the seed I sow; devotion is the rain; modesty is the ploughshaft; the mind is the tie of the yoke; mindfulness is my ploughshare and goad. Truthfulness is the means to bind; tenderness, to untie. Energy is my team and bullock, leading to safety and proceeding without backsliding to the place where there is no sorrow".

The Brahman was so much impressed by the parable that he became a convert and made profession of faith.

I MV. X, 2; Jat. III, 212, 489; Dhp. pp. 104 ff.

 $^{^2}$ MV, X, 3; cp. Dhp. vv. 3–6; 328–330; S. Nip. Khaggavisāna-S. vv. 11, 12. Cp. the Khadgaviṣāna Gāthās Mhv. I, 357–359.

³ A detailed account of the dissensions in Kausāmbī is contained in MV. X, 1-4; Dhp. pp. 103 ff. Cp. Jāt. III, p. 489. The Pārileya elephant is made mention of in Jāt. Mālā XIX, 36.

⁴ MV. X, 5; an account with some traits wanting in MV. occurs Dhp. p. 107, agreeing with BIGANDET I, 236.

⁵ Sam. N. VII, 2, 1, and with some variations S. Nip. No. 4; cp. Prof. Rhys Davids, B. p. 134.

In the twelfth rainy season the Lord stayed near the town of Veranjā. A certain Brahman there came to visit him and became a believer. On the invitation of the Brahman Buddha spent at Verañjā the whole season, at the end of which period he took leave of the hospitable convert, and travelled all over the country as far as Soreyya near Takṣaśilā; thence to Sāmkāśya, Kanauj, Prayaga, where he crossed the Ganges, directing himself to Benares. From this city he travelled to Vaisālī, where he took his abode in the Kūtāgāra hall².

The thirteenth Retreat was held in Śrāvastī and Cālikā; the following rainy season was spent in the Jetavana³, where Rāhula, then 20 years old, received the Upasampada Ordination. In the same year the Master visited

Kapilavastu.

During his stay in the Banyan garden he had to endure a grave insult from his father-in-law, Suprabuddha4. One day as the latter was informed that the Tathagata was about to go his begging round in a certain quarter of the town, he went out, after intoxicating himself with liquor, planted himself in the middle of the street, barring the passage to the Buddha and vilely abusing him. The Master, quietly glancing at Ananda, uttered the prediction that in a week Suprabuddha should be swallowed alive by the earth. Suprabuddha laughed at that prediction, and imagined that he might easily avoid his doom by remaining during a week in the tower of his palace, but he should experience that no place on earth can afford shelter to the author of a wicked deed 5. On the fatal day the earth burst open under his feet, and he sunk in the abyss down to the bottom of the Avīci hell as a punishment for his wickedness.

8. THE YAKŞA OF ĀĻAVĪ. APPOINTMENT OF ĀNANDA. CON-VERSION OF ANGULIMĀLA. MURDER OF SUNDARĪ. ANĀTHA-PINDIKA'S DAUGHTER.

The Lord returned from Kapilavastu to the Jetavana monastery?. Hence he proceeded to Alavi, where he succeeded in converting a cruel Yaksa who was in the habit of devouring the children of that place. When the Buddha came in his presence, the monster received him with contempt and threats, but gradually overcome by the meekness and patience of the Master, he felt softer feelings spring up in his breast, and at last he said: "I will ask you, Sramana, some questions. If you are not able to solve them, I shall tear out your heart or fling you into the Ganges". The Lord quietly allowed

4 So HARDY M. of B. p. 152 and p. 339, but, curiously enough, he is called p. 134

the father of Māyā, just as in Tib. L. p. 234.

5 Dhp. vs. 128.

6 Suprabuddha was one of the five persons thus punished for a heinous crime against the Buddha or against one of the latter's disciples, the four others being Devadatta, the

youth Nanda, the Yaksa Nandaka, and Ciñcā; Mil. P. 101.

In Skr. the town is called Vairantī; Vairantya, P. Veranjo, being the Adj. Vairantās, in plur., is the name of the people and country in Avad. K. L., 27.

2 S. Vibh. I, 1; 4.

³ Thus BIGANDET I, 240. In N. traditions Buddha spent the 12th rainy season in the Pūrvārāma; the 13th in the Jetavana; the 14th in the Simsapā grove near Nadikā; Tib. L. 315.

⁷ It is perhaps to this period that we should refer the conversion of the Brahman Pokkharasādi, in N. texts wrongly sanskritized to Puşkarasārin, instead of Pauşkarasādi. See Ambattha-S. and Tevijja-S. (Dīgh. N. III and XIII); S. Nip. p. 112; cp. ROCKHILL op. c. 82 and especially the interesting Śardūlavadāna in Divy. pp. 611-659; BURNOUF Intr. 205 ff.

the Yakşa to put the questions and immediately solved them to the satisfaction of the enquirer, who became a believer and mended his life. After-

wards on the spot of that conversion a monastery was erected2.

From Alavī the Master went to Rajagrha, where he spent the 17th rainy season in the Bambu grove. The time of Retreat being over, he resumed his preaching all over the country, and came, after a short stay at Srāvastī, again to Alavī. The 18th season was spent on a hill near Cālikā; the following again in the Bambu grove; the 20th in the Jetavana3. It was in this year that Ananda was appointed as the Lord's waiter4. Another event of importance in that period was the conversion of Angulimāla or Angulimālaka, a famous robber and murderer in Kosala. Undaunted by all evil reports, the Buddha went to the abode of the robber in the forest, and by his equanimity succeeded in conquering the fierceness of the cruel Angulimala, who not only became a convert, but in a short time attained Arhatship, much to the wonder of the brethren. The Master, however, showed them how Angulimala by eradicating his sinful propensities had so rapidly reached perfection.

During the stay of the Lord in the Jetavana another attempt was made by his heretical opponents to blacken his reputation. They hired some bravoes to murder the nun Sundari. The crime was perpetrated, and the body of Sundari thrown into a thicket near the Jetavana monastery. When the corpse had been found, the Tirthikas intimated that no other but Gautama could be the author of the crime, but by an accident the real culprits were

found out, and the heretics put to shame.

About that time the pious Anathapindika gave his daughter7 in marriage to the son of a friend of his in Anga. As that friend was an adherent of the naked ascetics, Anathapindika, fearing lest his daughter would be shaken in her convictions, gave her a retinue of female attendants to support her in the true faith. When the young wife had come to her new home, she was required by her father-in-law to pay her respects to the naked ascetics. Disgusted at the sight of these heretics, the young woman refused even to look at them, which much exasperated her father-in-law, but by keeping firm and by continually extolling the glorious virtues of the Buddha and the Sangha, she excited in her mother-in-law and other ladies of the town the eager desire to see the Lord and to hear him preach the Law.

The Lord, who in the early morning surveys with his allseeing eye the whole of Jambudvīpa, perceived what was happening in Anga land. At once

I For these questions and answers see Samy. N. X, 12; S. Nip. I, 10. Cp. the account in BIGANDET I, 246, and the variation in HARDY M. of B. 261 ff.

² Ālavī is the Skr. Āṭavī, and undoubtedly the place designated as the "Woodvillage" in Tib. L. 315, with a monastery where B. is said to have spent the 29th rainy season. It was situated between Kosala and Magadha. The monastery may be identified with the Aggalava Shrine near Alavi, Samy. N. VIII and CN. VI, 17, cp. 21, where we

read that the Lord went from Alavi to Rajagrha.

3 BIGANDET I, 248 ff. 4 P. upatthāka, in Skr. Buddhist writings upasthāyaka; in other works upasthāyin,

upasthāyika, upasthātar. Cp. ROCKHILL op. c. 88.

5 A more detailed account in BIGANDET I, 254; HARDY M. of B. 249 ff. Cp. Dhp. 147; 337; 434; Mil. P. 410. The story of A. has so many traits in common with the Alavaka Yaksa's that it is not strange to see how Tib. L. 315 ascribes to Angulimāla — this must be meant by the Tib. Lag-rgyud "Handreihe" — the erection of a monastery at Āṭavī.

6 Ud. p. 43; Avad. K. I., 26, 70, where she is termed a parivrājikā. To her are ascribed Therig. vv. 312—337; her history Par. Dip. 228 ff.

7 Two daughters of his, Subhaddā the Great, and S. the Little, are mentioned Intr. Jät. p. 93.

he flew in the company of 500 disciples through the sky and alighted in the courtyard of the merchant's house. All the inmates rejoiced to behold the Master and his disciples. Attentively they listened to his preaching, and the whole family along with many other people became converts. After leaving Anuruddha in Anga to complete the work of conversion, the Buddha reverted to Srāvastī1.

After the narrative of the occurrences in the 20th rainy season there is in the history of the Master "an almost complete blank". For a period of 23 years a summary of the Buddha's proceedings is wanting, although various incidents may be held to fall within that period. In the Tibetan Life of Sakyamuni there is something like a chronological arrangement of events, but not a few of them belong, according to the Southern compilations, to earlier years.

9. DEVADATTA AND AJĀTAŚATRU. CONVERSION OF AJĀTAŚATRU. DESTRUCTION OF THE ŚÄKYAS.

A new period of stirring events, — whatever may be thought of their historical character — may be said to date from the death of Bimbisāra and the accession to the throne of his parricidal son Ajātaśatru. This took place when the Buddha had reached the age of 72 years.

Already long before that epoch enmity had sprung up in the breast of Devadatta against the Lord, whose growing fame and influence filled him with jealousy³. By his supernatural power he won the favour of the Prince royal, Ajātaśatru, and by that mighty protection he hoped one day to become the leader of the Congregation of monks.

Some time afterwards, when the Lord, sojourning in the Bambu grove, was preaching the Law, Devadatta rose from his seat, and reverentially made the proposal that the Lord, on account of his age, should leave the leadership of the Congregation of monks to him, Devadatta4. But on this request he received, three times over, a flat refusal. From that moment Devadatta harboured evil designs against the Lord.

In consequence of what had happened, the Master ordered the monks that Devadatta should be publicly denounced as one who had proved false, and whose words and deeds were not to be recognized as issuing from the Buddha, the Law, or the Congregation.

The act of denunciation was carried out by Sariputra, accompanied by a number of monks. The exasperated Devadatta went to Ajātaśatru Vaidehīputra and incited him to kill Bimbisāra. "Do you kill your father, and become king", said he, "and I will kill the Lord and become Buddha". The Prince lent the ear to the instigation of the traitor, and was about to carry his murderous plan into effect, when he was detected and brought before

² BIGANDET I, 260.

Samy. N. I, p. 154; Ang. N. II, p. 73 = CV. VII, 2. 5.

4 This happened according to Tib. I. 278 in the 25th rainy season. The reason adduced by D. that the Buddha was so old, is significant, as he himself was of the same

age. Sațienti sat.

A remarkable Northern version of the story, in which Anathapindika's daughter is named Sumāgadhā, occurs in Tib. L. 283.

³ For a fuller account of the growing enmity of Devadatta, his wicked deeds and punishment, we refer the reader to CV. VII, 1-4; Dhp. pp. 139 ff. HARDY M. of B. 318 ff. Tib. L. 278; cp. ROCKHILL op. c. 83 ff. BEAL, SBE. XIX, 246 ff. Cp. also

the King, who magnanimously abdicated and gave over the kingdom to Ajātaśatru ¹.

Then Devadatta went to Ajātaśatru to secure for himself the Prince's support in his design to deprive Gautama of life. Having got the consent of the ruler, the traitor hired 16 men to murder the Buddha. But when the bravoes saw the Lord, they felt themselves so overawed that they fell at his feet, confessed their sinful intention and became converts. One man returned to Devadatta and declared that it was impossible to deprive the Lord of life. Devadatta now took to another means to accomplish his criminal design. He watched the moment when the Lord was walking in the shade below the Gṛdhrakūṭa mountain and hurled down a large piece of rock to crush his enemy. But two mountain peaks came together and stopped that rock, so that only a splinter caused the Lord's foot to bleed. Then the Master, looking up, said to Devadatta: "Foolish man! great is the demerit you have produced for yourself", and to the monks: "Devadatta has here committed one of the deadly sins that bring with them immediate retribution"².

When the monks heard of the nefarious attempt of Devadatta, they were much affected and made loud recitations to protect the Lord, but he allayed their fears, saying: "It is impossible that one should deprive the Tathāgata of life by violence. The Tathāgatas reach extinction in due and natural course".

A last attempt on the Lord's life was made by Devadatta by means of the elephant Nālāgiri, whom they unaddened and then let loose in the carriage road of Rājagrha. No sooner had the infuriated animal come into the presence of the Lord, than he was pervaded by a sense of benevolence issuing from the Buddha, and lowered his trunk. Some edifying words of the Master were sufficient to wholly subdue the elephant, that took up the dust from off the Lord's feet, sprinkled it over his head and quietly retired 3.

After these events Devadatta made an attempt to stir up discord in the Sangha⁴. He persuaded Kokālika, Katamoraka-tissaka, Khandadevī-putta, and Samuddadatta⁵ to go with him to the Buddha in order to request that a life of more severe ascetism should be prescribed for all members of the Congregation, viz. to live as hermits in the woods; to beg lifelong for food, without ever accepting an invitation; to clothe themselves in cast off rags; to dwell at the foot of trees; to abstain from fish and meat. The Master refused to accede to these demands, and declared that he left liberty to those who wished to live in such a manner, but that he would not make those rules obligatory for all monks.

Devadatta, who had expected this refusal, made it a pretext for agitating against the Lord. He gained over to his party 500 Vrjian monks from Vaišālī, who having recently entered the Congregation, were ignorant of the

^{**} We know from other sources that Bimbisāra was murdered by Ajātasatru; Dīgh. N. I, p. 85; Divy. p. 280; Hardy M. of B. p. 318; Tib. L. 284; Rockhill. op. c. 89—91.

2 Anantariya- or ānantarika-kamma, coinciding with five of the six abhithānas. They are mātughāta, pitugh., arahatagh., lohithānām. and saṅghabheda; S. Nip. p. 40; cp. Childers s. vv. pañcānantariyakammam and abhithānam. The corresponding Skr. terms of the 5 Anantaryāni are given Vyu. § 122; Wassilief B. 240 has Ānantarīya. — Any one gnilty of such a crime, should not be orduined, and, if he is a monk, be expelled; MV. I, 64—67.

³ Cp. ROCKHILL op. c. 93; BEAL SBE. XIX, 247.

⁴ Sanghabeda. The tale — supposing it has an historical basis — is misplaced, since all connection between Devadatta and the Master was broken off.

⁵ Evidently the same as the 5 Śākyas Kokālika, Katamoraga, Tişya, Khandadravya, and Sāgaradatta of Tib, L. 266. Whether Khandadevīputta is identical with Khandadeva in Samy. N. I, 5, 10; II, 3, 4, is not clear.

rules, and thus he created a schism. After he had gone with these followers to the Gayāsīrṣa hill, it happened that he was preaching and saw Săriputra with Maudgalyāyana in the assembly. On the erroneous supposition that they had joined his party, he invited Sariputra to deliver a sermon, as he himself felt tired and wanted to sleep. Sariputra and Maudgalyayana now addressed the assembly and prevailed upon the 500 schismatics to return to the Buddha. When Devadatta, roused from his slumber by Kokālika, heard what had happened, the hot blood issued from his mouth.

The wickedness of Devadatta could not remain unpunished, and the Master accordingly declared to his disciples that the man who had thus been swayed by his bad passions was doomed to remain for a Kalpa in states of suffering and punishment. And he, once so wise and virtuous, so bright with glory, went down to the deepest of hells, to be reborn after a Kalpa as a Pratyekabuddha, named Atthissara, or as others say, Devarāja?.

King Ajātaśatru, having killed his father, felt the pangs of conscience. In his anxiety and doubts, he consulted the six Tirthikas, the adversaries of the Lord, but their teachings disappointed him. Then on the advice of Jīvaka, the physician, he went to the great spiritual physician, the Tathagata, and owing to the words of wisdom he heard from the Master's lips he became a convert to the true faith 3.

During the reign of Ajātasatru, in the 7th year, the Sākya-race met with a sad fate. King Prasenajit of Kosala had a son Vidudabha, by Vāsabhakkhattiyā, the natural daughter of Mahānāman, the successor of Suddhodana in Kapilavastu, and of a slave girl. It was by deceit that Vasabhakkhattiya had been affianced by the Sakyas. When the trick afterwards was discovered, and Vidudabha had been slighted by the Sakyas, he resolved to take revenge. With the assistance of the commander-in-chief Digha-Kārāyana he dethroned his father Prasenajit, who fled from Srāvastī and died soon afterwards. Vidūdabha now marched against Kapilavastu, in consequence of which the whole Sakya clan was exterminated. He himself, however, miserably perished, along with his Kosala company, by a sudden flood 4.

In the N. version of the story Vidūdabha is named Virūdhaka, his mother Mālikā⁵, and the commander-in-chief Dīrgha-Cārāyaņa. In spite of other variations, the main features of the tale are the same⁶.

I Apāviko neraviko. There are 4 Apāvas: naraka, hell, purgatory; petaloka, the world of spectres; asuraloka, the world of demons; tiracchana, the state of brute; see CHILDERS s. vv. In Lal. V. 238 the number of Apayas is three.

² Mil. P. 111; Dhp. p. 148; BEAL, SBÉ. 248; ROCKHILL op. c. 107; HARDY M. of B. 328. Fa Hian, Rec. p. 60, saw in Sravastī the very spot where Devadatta went down to hell. How he came in Śravastī is left unexplained in the N. traditions, which, indeed, represent him as continuing his wicked attempts after the conversion of Ajātaśatru. Atthissara means "the Lord of 16" (of course kalās).

3 Sāmannaph. S. in different versions; cp. above p. 32, note. The reappearance there of Pūraṇa-Kāsyapa, notwithstanding his previous death, has nothing in it to surprise us. For what kind of historical value the Buddhist authorities attach to such tales,

is egregiously exemplified by the fact that the six heretical teachers reappear on the scene in the days of Nagasena and the King Menander — as busy and mischievous as ever; Mil. P. pp. 4 ff.

4 Jat. IV, pp. 144 ff. Dhp. pp. 216-225; cp. HARDY M. of B. 283. 5 SCHIEFNER, Tib. L. 287: Mālinī. Both renderings of Tib. Phreng-can are admissible, phreng answering to Skr. mālā.

6 Tib. L. l. с.; Rockнill op. 75-79; 112-122. Mālikā, the flower girl — the spelling in the texts is Mallika - is not unknown to the Pali writings as one of Prasenajit's queens, but she is not the mother of Vidadabha. For her history see Jāt. III, 405 ff. Cp. IV, 437; Ud. V, I; Mil. P. 115; 291; Samy. N. III, I, 8; Dhp. p. 317; M. of B. 285. Another Mallikā is the wife of Bandhula; Jāt. IV, 148; Dhp. 218. A

10. EVENTS IN THE LAST YEAR. AJĀTAŚATRU AND THE VRJIANS. BUDDHA LEAVES RĀJAGRHA. JOURNEY TO PĀTALIGRĀMA. CROSSING OF THE GANGES. AMRAPALI. ILLNESS OF BUDDHA. STAY AT VAIŚĀLĪ. DEATH OF ŚĀRIPUTRA AND MAUDGALYĀYANA. MEAL AT CUNDA'S AND CONSEQUENT SICKNESS. ARRIVAL AT KUSINĀRĀ. INSTRUCTIONS TO ĀNANDA. CONVERSION OF SU-BHADRA. PARINIRVÂNA. CREMATION OF THE CORPSE. PARTITION OF THE RELICS'.

When the Lord had reached his 79th year and was sojourning on the Grdhrakūta near Rājagrha, Ajātaśatru intended making war upon the Vrjians of Vaiśāli. Before carrying his designs into the effect, he sent the Brahman Varṣakāra to the Buddha with his respectful greetings and the humble demand to be informed anent the issue of his undertaking. When the envoy had come into the presence of the Tathagata, and delivered his message, the Master asked Ananda whether the Vrjians were living in concord, and whether they were virtuous and religious in their conduct. On the satisfactory answer of Ananda, the Buddha turned to Varşakara and said: "So long as the Vrjians behave themselves in such a laudable way, their prosperity will increase and not decline". Then Varsakara intimated his conviction that the King of Magadha would be powerless against the Vrjians and departed.

One day, after explaining to his disciples the merits of morality, mental concentration (samādhi) and wisdom, the Master said to Ānanda: "Come, Ānanda, let us go to Ambalatthikā". And the Lord went with a large company of monks to that place. After staying there for a short time, he proceeded to Nāļandā, where he took up his abode in the Pāvārika Mango

grove, repeating the lessons he had given on the Grdhrakūţa.

From Nālandā the Lord proceeded to Pātali-grāma. There he pronounced in the rest house to the laity a discourse on the merits of the five moral precepts. Before leaving the village he predicted that one day it would become the mighty city of Pataliputra, but at the same time that three

great dangers would befall it3.

When the Lord came to the Ganges, the river was brimming. Whilst some were looking for boats, others for rafts, the Buddha vanished and at once stood with the whole company of monks on the opposite bank. He continued his journey to Koţigrāma, further to Nādikā, everywhere repeating his discourse on morality, mental concentration and wisdom. From Nādikā he continued his journey to Vaiśālī, where he sojourned at the Mango grove of Āmrapālī, teaching and exhorting his disciples.

When the courtezan Amrapali heard that the Lord had arrived and was

4 To this period may be referred the question of Visuddhimati to the Master in the Grove of $\bar{\Lambda}$ mrapālī, related Mhv. II, 293.

similar, though not the same figure is Mālinī, reborn as the daughter of Kṛkin, Mhv. I,

¹ Chief source of the following narrative is the Mahāparinibbāna-S. ed. by CHILDERS JRAS of 1874—1876; transl. by Prof. RHYS DAVIDS in SBE. XI, in whose Intr. p. XXXV the parallel passages are collected; Chinese versions mentioned p. XXXVI ff. For the Tibetan versions see Rockhill op. c. p. 123 ff. Cp. Beal SBE. XIX, 250 ff. Bigander II, 1—95; Hardy M. of B. 343 ff. Windischi Māra und B. pp. 43—86.

² Between Rājagrha and Nālandā; see ED. Müller in JPTS s. v.

³ At that time Sunīdha (answering to a Skr. Sunītha) and Varṣakāra were building a fortress to check the Vrjians; MPN. 1, 26; MV. VI, 28; Ud. VIII, 6. Ср. ROCKHILL op. c. 127, note.

staying in her Mango grove, she went with a splendid train in her carriage to near the entrance of the grove, where she alighted to proceed on foot to the place where the Master was. After hearing his edifying lessons, she invited him with the monks to come next day to her house in order to take the meal. The invitation was accepted. On the same day the Licchavi grandees came with the same invitation, and when the Tathagata told them that he refused because he had already accepted the invitation of Amrapali, they could not but acknowledge that they were outdone by the courtezan.

On the following day Amrapali entertained her distinguished guests, and, after the meal, she presented her grove to the Congregation having for its

chief the Buddha¹.

From Vaiśālī² the Master went to a village in the neighbourhood of that city, Beluva, where he spent his last Retreat. There a severe illness befell him, but by a strong effort of his will he recovered soon; yet he felt that now at the age of 80 years his end was approaching. One day after the rainy season he walked with Ananda to the Capala Shrine. He intimated to his disciple that, if he desired he could through his miraculous power remain in the same existence for a Kalpa, but Ananda, whose heart was possessed by Mara, did not understand the hint and did not beseech the Master to remain for a Kalpa. Not only Ananda, the Buddha himself was tempted by Māra, urging him to pass away from existence. The Tathāgata replied that he would not die until his law should be firmly established, and when the Fiend remarked that the Law was already established and widely spread, the Lord answered: "Be content, Fiend, the final extinction of the Tathagata shall take place ere long. At the end of three months hence the Tathāgata will die"3.

After staying at that place some time, explaining to Ananda many matters connected with the Law, the Master proceeded to the Kūṭāgāra hall in the Mahavana. There, too, he was untired in exhorting and teaching the disciples. The same he did in the following stations of his journey.

It was about this time - if we may trust a N. tradition - that Sāriputra died, and immediately after Maudgalyayana4. A S. account assigns to their death nearly the same date, a week after the Buddha had spent the rainy season in Beluva5. According to another N. tradition again, the two chief disciples died shortly after a visit to Devadatta in hell6.

When the Buddha had reached Pāvā, he resided there in the Mango grove of Cunda, the smith. This man invited the Master to do him the honour of partaking of a meal at his house next day. The invitation was accepted, and the smith prepared the meal, consisting of rice with cakes and

former period, though in BEAL op. c. 258 it likewise immediately follows on the meeting

with Amrapālī; cp. Tib. L. 268.

In MV. VI, 30 it is to Koligrāma that the courtezan came to invite the Buddha. The transposition is, perhaps, due to the consideration that he could not properly sojourn in the grove of Amrapall, before having received it in donation. Cp. Beal op. c. 252. The grove is mentioned Fa Hian Rec. p. 72.

2 MV. VI, 31 contains the meeting of Buddha with Simha (Sīha), the generalissimo of the Licchavis, and the conversion of the latter, but this event probably belongs to a

³ Cp. the redaction in Divy. Chap. XVII, where the Lord is represented as staying in the Kūtāgāra hall near the bank of the Monkey tank (Markatahrada). Cp. BEAL op. c. 267; Windisch l. c. + Tib. L. 289.

⁵ BIGANDET II, 9-26. There is much confusion in that account; should we read there Vaisālī and Mahāvana for Śrāvastī and Jetavana? 6 ROCKHILL op. c. 110.

pork. When the Lord had come and was seated, he took for himself the pork, leaving to the disciples the other food. After the dinner he desired Cunda to bury what was left of the pork, because no one in the world could digest such food except the Tathāgata. Soon after it the Budd! a was seized with a violent attack of dysentery. On his way to Kusinārā he felt extremely weak, and, wishing to sit down, he commanded Ānanda to spread out the robe for him, and to fetch him some water to drink. Ānanda went to the stream which had just become muddy by passing carts, and found it to his astonishment clear and limpid? Gladly he returned to the Master, who drank of the water.

At that time it happened that a young Malla, Pukkusa³, a disciple of Āļāra Kālāma's, passed the road from Kusinārā to Pāvā. On seeing the Lord, he approached him, and recorded how on a certain occasion Āļāra had proved by his example what incredible degree of composure and power of abstraction can be reached by one who has renounced the world. The Tathāgata, having heard the story, told a much more wonderful case from his own experience, so that Pukkusa declared that now he had lost his faith in Āļāra, and became a convert to the true faith. Forthwith he directed somebody to fetch a pair of pieces of gold cloth. When the pair was brought, Pukkusa offered both pieces to the Lord, who took one for himself, the other for Ānanda⁴.

After this occurrence the Master proceeded to the river Kakutthā, where he took a bath. Then he crossed the river and went on, preaching, to the Mango grove, and thence to a grove in the Malla country, the Upavartana of Kusinārā, on the other side of the Hiranyavatā. There between the twin Sāl trees a couch was spread by Ananda, with the place for the head to the North, and the Lord laid himself down on his right side, like a lion, with one leg resting on the other.

The last hours before the Lord's Parmirvana were spent by him in useful counsels and instructions to Ananda. Among other topics he spoke of the four places which the pious believer ought to visit with feelings of holy reverence: the place where the Tathagata is born; the place where he has reached perfect Enlightenment; the place where for the first time he proclaims the Law; the place of his final extinction. He dilated on the merits of pilgrimage to those places and declared: "They who shall die on such a pilgrimage, shall be reborn after death in the happy realms of heaven".

On the question of Ānanda what ceremonies were to be performed after his demise, he answered that the disciples should not trouble themselves about it, because there were enough believers in the highest classes of society who would not fail to honour the remains of the Tathāgata, in the same manner as one honours the remains of a Cakravartin. And he described to Ānanda the ceremonies performed after the death of a Cakravartin. He added that

¹ Skr. Kuśinagara, onagarī, and Kuśanagara; see Pet. Dict. s. vv.

² It is doubtful whether this stream is the Kakutthā or Kukutthā, as HARDY M. of B. 356; BIGANDET II, 39, and ROCKHILL op. e. 134 have it. We shall meet with the K. anon as the river where Buddha bathed; cp. Ud. VIII, 5. In Tib. L. 291 it is the Hiranyavatī where he bathes.

³ Cp. Tib. L. 291. The "young" Malla must have been at least 65 years of age, his teacher having died 45 years ago.

⁺ A little further on, vs. 52 of the text, the Buddha is said to be clad with both robes; herewith agrees Tib. L. 291.

⁵ This is the posture of the images which represent Buddha's Nirvāṇa. — Cp. for this passage and the sequel Beal SBE. XIX, 286 ff.

⁶ Cp. Ang. N. II, p. 120.

four kinds of men are worthy of a Stūpa: a Tathāgata; a Pratyeka-buddha; a disciple of the Tathagata; and a Cakravartin 1.

After these instructions Ananda was painfully affected by the thought of his impending separation from the Master, and weeping he went into the Vihāra², but the Lord sent for him, comforted him, and praised his virtues

in the presence of the brethren.

When the Lord had finished his discourse, Ananda emitted the opinion that it would hardly become the dignity of the Tathagata to die in so small a town situated in a waste tract of country, and that one of the 6 great cities, Campā, Rājagṛha, Śrāvastī, Sāketa, Kauśāmbī, or Benares³, would be a fitter place. But the Master showed him that Kusinārā, being in former times the royal city of Kusāvatī, was the most becoming place. Thereupon he ordered Ananda to go and inform the Mallas of Kusinara that in the last watch of the night the final extinction of the Tathagata would take place, and to invite them not to let pass the opportunity of seeing the Tathagata in his last moments. The Mallas, on receiving the message, hastened to the place where the Lord was lying, and were admitted in his presence.

Now there lived at that time a heretical monk, named Subhadra (Subhadda). Having heard that the Sramana Gautama should attain to final extinction in the last watch of the night, he felt a longing to visit the Buddha. He went to the place where Anan la was and asked for admittance. The disciple refused, out of care for the Master, but the latter ordered him to admit Subhadra, who was not come to annoy him, but from a desire of enlightenment. Subhadra accordingly came into the presence of the Lord, and after hearing an edifying discourse, more especially on the eightfold Path and the four stages of the path to Nirvana, became a convert. He was the last disciple whom the Lord himself converted. In a very short time Subhadra reached Nirvana 4.

The last moments of the Tathagata were taken up with exhortations to keep faithfully to the rules of the Order, which after his demise would be to them in his stead. Further he gave indications concerning their future behaviour, and asked them whether there was any one among the brethren who had some lingering doubt as to the Buddha, the Law, the Congregation, or the four stages. There was none, as the Master knew full well beforehand.

Then the Lord spoke these words to his brethren: "Now, monks, I have nothing more to tell you but that all that is composed is liable to decay. Strive after salvation energetically!" These were the last words of the

Tathāgata 5.

Thereupon the Lord entered into the first stage of meditation (Dhyāna); from that he passed into the second, the third, the fourth, successively. Out of the fourth stage he entered into the stage of the infinity of space; thence into the stage of the infinity of thought; thence into the stage of nothingness; thence into the stage of neither consciousness nor unconsciousness; finally into the stage in which consciousness has wholly passed away⁶.

Then Ananda said to Anuruddha: "The Lord is dead, Anuruddha!"

² It is not clear what is here meant with this term.

¹ In Ang. N. I, p. 77 only two: a Tathagata and a Cakravartin.

³ The 6 cities, though not named, also occur in Tib. L. 291. Cp. sannagari, Vartt. on Pāṇini VIII, 4, 42.

⁴ It is likewise said in Tib. L. 293 that Subhadra dies immediately after having attained Arhatship; so, too, Voy. II, 339. Cp. ROCKHILL op. c. 138.

5 Somewhat different in Voy. I, 341.

⁶ These stages are also represented as certain immaterial worlds. Cp. Tib. L. 292.

"No, Ananda, the Lord is not dead: he has reached the stage of complete unconsciousness".

Now the Lord passed from the stage of complete unconsciousness into that of neither consciousness nor unconsciousness; thence into the stage of nothingness; thence into that of the infinity of thought; thence into that of the infinity of space; further into the 4th, 3d, 2d, 1st stage of meditation, successively. Then again he passed into the 2d stage, thence into the 3d, thence into the 4th stage of meditation. And immediately on passing out of that stage he was extinguished.

The death of the Lord was attended with an earthquake and thunderstrokes. Brahmā Sahampati and Sakra, as well as Ānanda and Anuruddha uttered appropriate stanzas. Some of the monks who were not yet completely emancipated from passion wailed and lamented: "Too soon has the Lord died! Too soon has the Light gone out in the world!" But others, more advanced, bore their loss with resignation, because they knew that all

composite things are impermanent.

At the end of the night Anuruddha sent Ananda to inform the Mallas of the Master's decease. When the Mallas, who then were assembled in the Council hall heard the tidings, they, with their wives and children, gave marks of deep sorrow, and ordered the attendants to gather in Kusinārā perfumes, garlands, and all sorts of musical instruments. When the mourning crowd had come to the spot where the body of the Lord lay in the Sala grove, they past the day in paying honour to the remains of the Tathagata with dancing, hymns and music. This was repeated on the following days until on the seventh day the corpse was carried by 8 Malla chieftains, among a rain of heavenly Mandarava flowers, to the shrine called Makuta-bandhana, where the funeral pile was raised.

When four Malla chieftains tried to set the pile on fire, they were not able to do so. In their amazement they asked Anuruddha the cause of that unexpected case. He informed them that the pile would not be set on fire until the arrival of Kāsyapa the Great, who was just travelling on the road from Pava to Kusinara, with a company of monks. And, in fact, Kasyapa was coming, as he had heard from an Ajivaka monk, who had picked up a Mandārava flower, that "the Sramaṇa Gautama" died a week ago. Kāsyapa hastened to the spot where the finneral pile had been raised, and there he with his company ceremoniously walked thrice round the pile, and bowed down at the feet of the Lord 1. No sooner had this act of piety been performed, than the pile caught fire of itself2.

As soon as the fire had consumed the body of the Tathagata, with exception of the bones, and a rain from heaven had extinguished the flames, the Mallas paid honour to the relics with dancing, singing and music, with

garlands and perfumes.

When Ajātaśatru heard the tidings that the Lord had departed this life, he forwarded a claim for obtaining the possession of a portion of the relics. The Licchavis of Vaisalī asked for themselves the same prerogative, likewise the Sākyas of Kapilavastu³, the Bulis of Allakappa, the Koliyas of Rāmagrāma, the Mallas of Pāvā, and a Brahman of Vethadīpa, all of them promising to

¹ BIGANDET II, 88 has some details regarding the feet of Buddha; cp. Mhv. I, 67, where the feet are described as cakravaralaksıtan.

² A Northern account closely agreeing in Mhv. I, 64 ff. ³ These had been exterminated by Vidudabha, but in Buddhist writings the dead are apt to reappear as often as their presence is needed. The revival of the famous six Tīrthikas is a similar case in point.

erect a Stūpa over the relics. At first the Mallas of Kusinārā were unwilling to give away any part of the relics, but by an impressive speech of the Brahman Drona (Dona), who reminded them how the Buddha had always taught forbearance, and by his counsel to divide the relics into eight equal portions, so that Stūpas should rise everywhere to spread the belief in the Buddha, the parties were soothed. They entreated Drona to divide the relics into eight equal portions, and so he did, keeping for himself the urn over which he built a shrine.

After the event came a messenger of the Mauryas of Pippalivana to ask for a portion of the relics. No portion being left, the Mauryas had to content themselves with the coals, over which they erected a shrine?

Thus there were then 8 Stūpas: in Rajagrha, Vaiśali, Kapilavastu, Allakappa, Rāmagrāma, Vethadīpa, Pāvā, and Kusinārā, besides the shrines erected by Drona and the Manryas.

In the preceding pages the principal facts in the legendary life of the Buddha have been commemorated. A disquisition into the historical or other elements entering into its composition lies beyond the scope of this manual, wherefore we must refer the reader to other works 3.

PART III.

THE LAW OF THE BUDDHA.

1. FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES.

When the Buddha had taken possession of the seat of full enlightenment, he evolved from within two formulas, ever since revealed by him to all beings, and represented as the fundamental truths of his teaching. These formulas are the four Āryasatyāni4 and the twelvefold Pratītyasamutpāda.

The four Satyāni, i. e. Axioms or Certainties, summarily denoted by the four terms duhkha, suffering, samudaya, cause, nirodha, suppression, and pratipad or marga, path, way, state it as undeniable that there is suffering; that suffering necessarily has a cause; that in order to suppress the evil one must know the right way 5.

It is not difficult to see that these four Satyas are nothing else but the four cardinal articles of Indian medical science, applied to the spiritual healing

In Divy. 380 the Dronastupa was erected by Ajātasatru. It may be surmised that the term dronastupa has given birth to the Brahman Drona. Burnouf Intr. 372, note, is of another opinion.

² The partition of the relics is told much in the same manner in the N. version; SEE ROCKHILL OP. C. Cp. also BEAL SBE. XIX, p. 325—334, and Fa Hian Rec. chs. XXVIII and XXIV, with Pl. IX.

3 More especially to SENART's Légende du Buddha and Oldenberg's Buddha; his Life, his Doctrine, his Order, in which the problem has been treated, if not with uncontrovertible results, at least with considerable skill and great learning.
4 These are taught by all Buddhas; see e. g. Therag. vs. 492.

5 The theme is worked out in the first sermon addressed to the 5 mendicant friars MV. I, 6, 19; Lal. V. 540; and in other passages, e. g. Majjh. N. I, p. 48.

of mankind, exactly as in the Yoga doctrine. This connection of the Āryasatyas with medical science was apparently not unknown to the Buddhists themselves, for in Lal. V. p. 448 we find immediately after the announcement of the discovery of the two formulas the significant words: "utpanno vaidyarājah pramocakah sarvaduhkhebhyah, pratisthapako nirvanasukhe, nisannas Tathagatagarbhe Tathagatamahadharmarajasane". And again p. 458:

> cirāture jīvaloke klešavyādhiprapīdite | vaidyarāt tvam samutpannah sarvavyādhipramocakah [

The second formula, the Concatenation of causes and effects, the twelvefold Pratityasamutpāda or causal production, otherwise termed "the 12 Nidānas (causes)" is intended to lay bare the root of evil, and stands to the 4 Satyas in the same relation as Pathology, (Nidāna or Nidāna-sāstra), to the whole system of medical science. The terms of the series are: avidyā, ignorance; samskārās, impressions; vijūāna, clear consciousness; nāmarūpa, name-and-form²; sadāyatana, the six organs of sense; sparša, contact (of the senses with exterior objects); vedanā, feeling; trsņā, desire; upādāna, clinging, effort3; bhava, becoming, beginning of existence; jāti, birth, existence; jarāmaraņaņi, śokaparidevanaduhkhadaurmanasyopayásāh, old age and death, sorrow, lamentation, pain, grief, despondency4.

It seems probable that the whole series, in which the difference between the post hoc and the propter hoc is utterly ignored, is, like the corresponding Yoga tenet, stating aridya to be the ultimate cause of duhkhas, an imitation or adaptation of some ancient cosmogonical myth, poetically describing the creation, and the destruction of the world, i. e. the successive stages in the daily phaenomena, beginning when light emerges out of darkness, the world out of chaos, up to the end of day after its troubles. A similar adaptation is the Pratyaya-sarga of the Sankhya, the very name of which suggests some connection with the Pratitya-sanutpada. The connection, however, is not such as to warrant the assumption of a mutual dependence between the Buddhist and the Sankhya series; we only surmise that both systems derive from a common remote source 6,

When we try to connect the twelvefold formula with cosmogonical notions, we arrive at the following conclusions. Azidyā, ignorance, is the

r E. g. in Yogasütra II, 15, Comm.: "yatha cikitsäsästram caturvyüham: rogo, rogahetur, arogyam, bhaişajyam iti, evam idam aji sastram caturvyuham eva, tadyathā: samsārah, samsārahetur, mokso, moksopāya iti. Tatrah duhkhabahulah samsāro heyah; pradhānapurusayoh samyogo heyahetuh; samyogasyatyantiki nivittir hanam; hanopayah samyagdarsanam". Cp. Sarvad. Sangr. p. 180. Hence follows that the activity of buddhi, which as being an evolution of prathana belongs to praketi, ceases at the nivetti of the union; in other words: the activity of the Buddha ceases at Nirvāņa or Nirvṛti (which looks like an intentional substitution for Niviti).

² I. e. all physical and mental phaenomena, the same as fapañea S. Nip. p. 95, and consequently $= m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$. In an idealistic system like Buddhism the phaenomena are, of course, no realities. Since every human body consists of an aggregate of physical and mental elements, of the 5 Skandhas, such a being can be designed as nāmarūpa.

3 The definition Majjh. N. I, p. 266 is: yā vedanāsu nandī, tad uṭūdānam; as to

the 4 Upadanas, see there p. 66; ep. p. 51, and Samy. N. II, p. 3.
4 MV. I, 1; Lal. V. 442 ff. Lotus p. 109; and the references in Childers s. v. paticcasamuppada, and Dharma-S. XLII, note.

5 Yogas, II, 15: "duhkham eva sarvam vivekinah", on which the Comment: "tad asya mahato duhkhasamudāyasya prabhavabījam avidyā; cp. II, 4: "avidyā kṣetram uttareșām".

o It is not in the Pratvaya-sarga, but in the Sankhva theory of creation that there is a partial parallelism to be discovered between the Buddhist and the Sankhya system; as follows:

state of not-knowing, of sleep. An allusion to this state of man is found in Lal. V. p. 458:

> ciraprasuptam iman lokam tamahskandhavagunthitam | bhavan prajñapradipena samarthah pratibodhitum

Man at first awaking enters into a state of half-consciousness; his mind is affected by vague impressions (samskāras) before he has reached the state of clear consciousness. Then the phaenomena appear to him, and the activity of his organs of sense commences. By the contact of the organs with some exterior object (either real or ideal) a certain feeling or sensation is produced. Feeling leads to the wish (trṣṇā) of getting what seems desirable, and that wish, steadily increasing produces a strong clinging and effort to bring about a state of things differing from the present state. So a new state begins, and immediately after the process of becoming, of transition, the new existence springs to light. That existence, having a beginning, must also have an end, which is ushered in, so to say, by all kinds of misery².

The Northern Buddhist know more than one explanation of the process. The next approach to the interpretation just proposed is that prevailing in the Kārmika school³. It can be formulated as follows: from false knowledge spring delusive impressions; from these, general notions; from them, particulars; from them, the six seats of the senses; from them, contact; from it, definite sensation; from it, desire; from it, embryonic existence; from it, actual physical existence; from it, all the distinctions of genus and species among animate things; from them, decay and death. According to another theory 4 the series represents the history of human life, in twelve acts; a history beginning ab ovo or even arelier, and ending with decay and death. The root of all is Avidya, i. e. the error of deeming transient things to be permanent; from error spring Samskaras, affections, temporary dispositions, as love, hate and infatuation; from them springs Vijnāna, incipient consciousness of the embryo; from this proceeds Nāmarūpam, i. e. the rudimentary body consisting of nāman, earth and the other three elements, and rupa, form5. Thence proceed the Sadayatanas, organs of the senses; when the organs come in conjunction with Name-and-Form, there is Sparsa, contact. Thence follows Vedana, feeling, sensation; then arises Tṛṣṇā, longing (for renewal of pleasant feeling and desire to shun what is painful). Hence Upadana, effort, beginning of action; then Bhava, state (of merit or demerit). Then comes Jāti, birth, i. e. the aggregation of the 5 Skandhas. On birth will follow decay, death, etc.

A recent discovery⁶ among the frescoes of the caves of Ajanta has

Avidvā parall. Pradhāna Samskāras Buddhi Vijñāna Ahamkāra " Nāmarūpam Tanmātrāni ,, Sadāvatanam Indriyani.

1 Upādāna also means "fuel".

² For a totally different translation and explanation see Prof. RHYS DAVIDS and OLDENBERG in SBE. XI, pp. 75 ff., and the latter's "Buddha" (Engl. transl. p. 226 ff.); CHILDERS in "Life and Essays of Colebroke" II, p. 453; BIGANDET I, 93. Cp. also BEAL SBE. XIX, 161.

3 Hodgson Ess. p. 79. The Nidanas with exception of the first and last term are called the 10 Karmans, Acts.

4 Mentioned by Govindananda in the Tīkā on Brāhma-Sūtra p. 549. 5 Hodgson I. c.: "thence proceeds an organised and definite, but archetypal body,

the seat of that consciousness". ⁶ By L. A. WADDELL, to whose paper "Buddha's Secret from a sixth century Pictorial commentary and Tibetan Tradition" in JRAS of 1894, p. 367 and Buddh. of Tib. 105-121, we refer the reader.

brought to light a picture which pourtrays the Nidanas in concrete form. This picture, supplemented by its Tibetan versions and its explanation by the Lamas, shows unmistakably a diagram of Human Life. This agrees with the theory known to Govindananda, notwithstanding discrepancies in the rendering of some terms in the Wheel of Life. Whether we may see in it "a complete authentic account of human life from the absolute standpoint of the earliest Buddhist philosophy" does not seem to be beyond all doubt. This much, however, is plain that the purport of the Pratītya-samutpāda is to show how all evil, death &c. ultimately springs from Avidya. Formally this does not differ from the Yoga axiom: "Avidya is the germ from which the whole mass of evil proceeds", but the sentiment underlying the phrase is another. For the Yoga philosopher has a craving for permanency, he is a śāśvatavādin. Hence all that is impermanent and changeable is to him an evil2, and therefore he seeks after true knowledge, the right insight that the purusa is in reality not affected by its union with prakrti, and that it is only avidya, the wrong notion, about that union which is the cause of evil and grief.

The two fundamental formulas, to which may be added the stanza "Ye dhammā hetuppabhavā3, do not presuppose the belief in retribution and rebirth, the Karman theory, nor do they contain anything decidedly opposed to it. The same can not be said of the Buddhist doctrine regarding the Atman,

self, soul, individuality.

A concise and clear exposition of the three principal contending theories regarding Atman is found in Pugg. P. p. 384. These theories are the Sassatavāda, the Ucchedavāda, and the doctrine of Buddha. The first holds that the soul is truly existing, both in this life and in the life to come; the second, that the soul is truly existing, but only in this life; the Buddha teaches that soul is not truly existing, neither in this life, nor in the life to come 5.

The categorical and absolute denial of an atman is evidently in conflict with the common Hindu view of karman, which is based upon the assumption of the existence of a soul. Therefore the Buddhists could not adopt the theory in their own system without-modifications. The shape which the dogma has received under their hands is admirably described by Childers6: "When a man dies the khandhas of which he is constituted perish, but by the force of his Kamma a new set of khandhas instantly starts into existence, and a new being appears in another world, who though possessing different khandhas and a different form is in reality identical with the man just passed away, because his Kamma is the same. Kamma then is the link that preserves the

3 So above p. 25. 4 Cp. Digh. N. 1, pp. 12 ff., 35 ff. and Samy. N. III, p. 205.

Y WADDELL op c. p. 370. Curious is the interpretation of bhava. It is "pictured by a married woman; and the Lamas explain the picture by saying that she is the wife of the individual whose life-history is being traced. Cp. the phrase tanhā janeti purisam Samy. N. I, p. 37. This explains, to a certain extent, how sanjananī and janikā, Skr. janikā (Lal. V. 541) "natural impulse" are nearly synonymous with tanhā, Dh.-Sangani 1059, where bhava, however is not taken in a realistic or material acceptation, as may be inferred from 1312, where bhavatanha is explained as "yo bhavesu bhavacchando", and bhavaditthi 1313 as "bhavissati atta ca toko cati evarupa ditthi".

² The phrase yad aniccam dukkham is Buddhist also, e. g. Sappy. N. II, p. 244.

⁵ CHILDERS s. v. ucchedo has the following remark: "This doctrine was hold in great abhorrence by the Buddhists, being directly antagonistic to the whole spirit and scheme of Buddhism". This is not very clear. If the ucchedavada, admitting the existence of the soul, albeit only for this life, strikes at the root of the transmigration theory, then Buddhism, denying the existence of soul altogether, does so with double force.

Olict. s. v. khandho. Indo-arische Philologie. III. 8.

identity of a being through all the countless changes which it undergoes in

its progress through Samsára"1.

Such a theory, it will be admitted, is beyond the reach of human reason, but that is no argument against its appropriateness in the original system of the creed. For Buddhism is professedly no rationalistic system, it being a superhuman (uttarimanussa) Law founded upon the decrees of an omniscient and infallible Master, and in such a creed mysteries are admissible. A somewhat greater difficulty arises if we wish to reconcile the maxim sarvam anityam, "all is impermanent", with this theory; for if all is impermanent, the Karman can not be productive ad infinitum. Yet, even this difficulty can be got rid of, on the assumption that the phrase is only a certain way of speaking to denote that all is changeable except the ideal link connecting the successive stages of being. It is less easy to account for such examples of punishment as are related of Ciñcā and others, who are represented as being swallowed by the earth and going down to hell before the eyes of all present. Does this belong to the mythology of the creed? If so, why should not the Karman theory pertain to the same category?

The more we try to remove the difficulties, the more we are driven to the suspicion that original Buddhism was not exactly that of the canonical books. If we suppose that the teaching of the founder of the Order was free from mythology and the Karman theory, we get a system intelligible, self-consistent and perfectly apt to lead persons possessing a contemplative bent of mind, by means of a dignified and harmless solitary and cenobitic mode of life, to the blissful state of calm beatitude, called Nirvāṇa², a state only surpassed by the final Nirvāṇa or Parinirvāṇa, when all suffering is

absolutely and for ever at an end3.

Whatever may be our doubts about the original form of Buddhism, it is certain that the dogma in question made part and parcel of the whole system of Dharma before the great bulk of the canonical books were composed. The hypothesis that in course of time some elements were incorporated into the creed to which originally they were foreign, does not involve the belief in a radical change. In our view Buddhism was from the very beginning essentially such as we find it in the Tripitaka; a creed aptly characterized4 in the following words: "As a philosophy, Buddhism thus seems to be an Idealistic Nihilism; an Idealism which, like that of Berkeley, holds that "the fruitful source of all error was the unfounded belief in the reality and existence of the external world"; and that man can perceive nothing but his feelings, and is the cause to himself of these. That all known or knowable objects are relative to a conscious subject, and merely a product of the ego, existing through the ego, for the ego, and in the ego5. But, unlike Berkeley's Idealism, this recognition of the relativity and limitations of knowledge, and the consequent disappearance of the world as a reality, led directly to Nihilism, by seeming to exclude the knowledge, and by implication the existence, not only of a Creator, but of an absolute Being⁶."

1 For a scholastic exposition of the theory see Mil. P. 40 ff. Cp. HARDY M. of B. 396 ff.

² I. e. the upādisesa- or sa-upādisesa Nirvāna, the jīvanmuku of the Hindus, whereas the final N. is anupādisesa; see Childers s. v. The definition of anupādisesā nibbānadhātu in Itiv. p. 38 is wrong, and in glaring conflict with the words in the stanza there: anupādisesā pana sāmparāyikā yamhi nirujjhanti bhavāni sabbaso.

³ Cp. Wassilief B. 94. 4 By Waddell, op. c. p. 384.

⁵ This is Vedānta.

6 Nihilism is tersely expressed in S. Nip. p. 203: "natthi ajjhattaň ca bahiddhā ca kiūčāti fassato"; cp. p. 194: "natthīti nissāya tarassu egham".

2. THE ELEMENTS OF EXISTENCE. KARMAN. THE ROAD TO DELIVERANCE.

Every organized being consists of Name and Form. The former denotes all mental or internal phenomena; the latter, all physical or external phenomena. Nāman generally includes four of the five Skandhas, viz. vedanā, feeling; sañjñā, notion; samskārās, mental dispositions, and vijñāna, clear consciousness, discrimination. Rūpa comprehends the four elements (mahābhūtāni): earth, water, fire, air, and every form springing from them 2.

It appears from this enumeration that Namarupa and the 5 Skandhas are coextensive terms. The definition of the mental Skandhas is attended with considerable difficulties, owing to the ambiguousness of most terms, and the loose way in which they are used. Not to go farther than the Pāli texts, we see how sanna, notion or first perception, and redana, feeling, sensation, form each a separate Khandha and at the same time occur as two subdivisions of the Samkhāra-kkhandha. This, however unlogical, is not inexplicable, provided we do not assign to Samkhāra the meaning of "discrimination", as HARDY has done. The first of the 52 Samkhāras is phassa, touch, contact, whereas the sentiments as fear, joy, shame &c. are likewise Samkhāras; which would be impossible if the term had the meaning assigned to it by HARDY3. Samkharas are, in our opinion, passing impressions, mental dispositions, comprising both intellectual affections and sentiments. Hence the first step in the line of Samkharas is phassa, contact4; the second vedana, feeling; the third sanna, notion (e. g. of different colours); cetana, thought, intention; manasikāra, attention; jīvitindriya, vitality5; cittekaggatā, concentration of the mind; vitakka, consideration; vicara, deliberation; and so on 6.

Viññāna, clear consciousness, has 89 subdivisions, and comprises clear consciousness of what is transmitted by the organs of seeing, hearing, smelling, tasting, touch, and the sixth sense, manas; further the clear consciousness or discrimination of what is good, bad, or indifferent. In the latter case the term denotes spontaneous or instinctive moral discrimination7.

The N. definition of the four mental Skandhas8 is, shortly formulated, as follows: Vijūāna is clear consciousness of what is going on in our interior. From it in combination with the Rüpaskandha springs vedanā, feeling of what is pleasant, painful, &c. $Sa\tilde{n}j\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ is the distinct notion of an object, by which

E Cp. Śatapatha-Brāhmana XIV, 11, 4, 3.

5 Cp. Dh. Sang. 295.

² MV. I, 6, 36. Dh. Sang. 1309 adds a fifth, viz. asankhatā dhātu. In Sany. N. II, p. 3 the four Skandhas are vedanā, sannā, phassa, and manasikāra. The usual N. enumeration of the 5 Skandhas is: rūpa, vedanā, sanījāā, samskāra, vijnāna; sce Burnour Intr. 511, but Samkara on Brāhma-S. II, 2, 18, Dharma-S. XXII, and Sarvad. Sang. p. 20 follow a different order, a circumstance connected with the variance in the definitions of the terms. - A scholastic commercation of the twenty-eight-fold Rüpakkhandha occurs in Vis. M.; see Childers s. v. rüpam. — On the 18 Dhātus, principles or properties of primary substances, see Dharma-S. XXV and the references there. How these are connected and how unconnected with each of the 5 Skandhas, see Dhātuk. P. p. 2; cp. p. 51 f.

³ In Vyu. § 104 sparsa &c. are simply called aitasikā dharmās, mental conditions.

⁴ Somewhat differently Mil. P. 60 ff.

⁶ Abhidhamma-Sangaha, cited by CHILDERS Dict. p. 455 for the whole series; Dh. Sang. 338. Minor differences in the terms and in the order may be left unnoticed. The list in Vyu. 1. c. contains 94 terms; cp. HARDY M. of B. 404 ff. Dharma-S. distinguishes

Cittasanıprayuktasamskāras, 40 in number, from t2 Cittaviprayuktasoamskāras, XXX, XXXI. 7 The enumeration of the subdivisions in HARDY M. of B. 419 ff. Cp. the extracts from Vis. M. and Abhidh. Sang. with CHILDERS p. 577. The list much shorter Vyu. § 105. 8 Sarvad, Sang. p. 20.

we are enabled to recognize the object. Samskāras are affections, temporary mental or moral dispositions, having their motive in vedanā; to them belong the klesas (defiling passions) as love, hatred, &c.; the upaklesas (secondary

kleśas), as pride, conceit &c., piety and impiety².

The aggregation of the five Skandhas constitute the pudgala, puggala, what we would call the individual, but what in the idealistic system of Buddhism is a being without real individuality. Although the Skandhas constitute the Pudgala, it is explicitly asserted that neither separately nor conjointly they are the Pudgala 3.

The cause of the aggregation of the Skandhas, i. e. of birth and rebirth, is Karman. Hence it is said: "It is Name-and-Form which is reborn". We have seen that Nāma-rūpa is coextensive with the 5 Skandhas4. The passage through a succession of existences, transmigration, bears the usual name of Samsāra.

The Karman is supposed to have no beginning, but it can have an end. The means of attaining that end, of destroying the working of Karman is

the Eightfold Path, as the Master set forth in his first discourse 5.

According to the stage one has reached in one's course towards Deliverance, Nirvana, there is a fourfold division. Those who are walking in this path of Sanctification in four stages are called, respectively: Srotaāpanna, Sakrdagamin, Anagamin, Arhat (Pali: Sotapanna, Sakadagamin, Anagamin, Arahā), and with a common designation Srāvaka, Sāvaka, disciple. Each of the stages or path-divisions is subdivided into a higher and a lower degree, the marga, magga, and its phala, result, fruition⁶.

The Srotaāpanna is he who has entered the first stage (srotaāpatti, sotapatti), the neophyte. He has got rid of the first three bonds of human passion, samyojana7; the doors of the states of punishments, apāya, are

shut for him.

The Sakrdagamin is so termed because he will once be reborn in the world of men. He is not only free from the first three bonds, but has in addition reduced to a minimum raga, affection, dvesa; P. dosa, hatred, and moha, infatuation 8.

The Anagamin is he who has freed himself from the first five or lower

Dharma-S. LXVI, note.

2 The number in Dharma-S. I.XIX is twentyfour; other sources as Pugg. P. II, 1-9 give only twenty; see Dharma-S. l. c. note.

3 Mil. P. 25 ff.; cp. 61, where the expression ekacco puggalo may not unaptly be

rendered with "somebody", but in an idealistic, i. e. nihilistic sense.

4 How the process of rebirth is going on, is minutely expounded Mil. P. 43; 72; 77. 5 See above p. 23. The Pāli terms are: sammā-ditthi, s.-sankapta, s.-vācā, s.-kammanta, s. ajīva, s. vāyāma, s. sati, s. samūdhi; in Skr. samyag-dṛṣṭi, s. saikalpa, s. vāc, s. karmānta, s. ajīva, s. vyāyāma, s. smṛṭi. s. samūdhi. Definitions Dh. Sang. 297—304. Cp. Burnour, Intr. 519. The Fivefold Path, pañcangiko maggo comprises Nos. 1, 2, 6, 7 and 8 of the eight; Dh. Sang. p. 21.

6 See CHILDERS s. vv. maggo and phalam; cp. BIGANDET I, 153. For the four saints and their characteristics see Vyn. \$\$ 46, 48; and ep. Beal Cat. p. 191; ep. MINAYEF

Recherches I, 217 ff., where sectarian views also are noticed.

7 Viz. ditthi or sakkāyditthi, heresy or the heresy of individuality; vicikiechā, scepticism, and sīlabbataparāmāsa, observance of superstitious rites. Ang. N. II, p. 238; Dh. Sang. 1002—1005; Dharma-S. LXVIII. There are several classes of Srotaāpannas; the lowest is he who will be reborn 7 times at most, called saptakrabhavaparama, P. sattakkhattuparama, Vyn. § 46; Childers s. v. paramo; kulamkula, P. kolamkula, one who will be reborn 2 or 3 times; Pugg. P. p. 16; Ang. N. p. 233; Vyu. l. e. Cp. Dharma-S.

8 Synonymous with Sak. is Ekabijin, corrupted in Skr. to Ekavicika; Pugg. P. p. 16;

Ang. N. I, 233 ff. Vyu. § 46.

(avarabhāgīya, P. orambhāgiya) bonds and will not be reborn on earth or in a Kāmaloka, but in a Brahmaloka.

The Arhat is he in whom the causes of moral infection² are exhausted, the impurities washed away, the Klesas³ rejected; who has fulfilled his task, laid down his burden, removed all bonds⁴, obtained the four kinds of transcendent faculties⁵. He is no more subject to rebirth⁶.

One who, without having entered the first stage, is in possession of those conditions upon which the commencement of sanctification immediately ensues, is called a Gotrabhū. Such a previous stage, which is, so to say, the court-yard of Holiness, is known to the N. Buddhists by the name of Gotrabhūmi⁸.

Those who are walking in the four paths in their progress towards final beatitude rank as true Aryas in contradistinction to the profanum vulgus, the Prthagianas. Their power far surpasses that of common mortals, which is nothing strange, as the notion that transcendent power is attainable by man is prevalent among Hindu sects; the Yogin more especially is, to vulgar apprehension, a worker of miracles. Further on we shall have occasion to revert to this subject.

The object of the Arya is to reach Nirvana9. It is impossible within a short compass to refer to all the opinions emitted on the subject; it would fill a volume. We will, therefore, limit ourselves to the main points.

In the first place we must distinguish between the secondary Nirvāņa and the final or absolute one. The former Nirvana, attained by Arhats in this life, is virtually the same as the Jivanmukti of the Vedantins. It is specified by the addition of upādisesa or sa-upādisesa in Pāli, upadhiśesa with the N. Buddhists to, i. e. having the residuum of a substratum.

The second or final, absolute Nirvana (nirŭpadhisesa, P. anupādisesa) in case of the Buddha usually styled Parinirvana - can only be reached after death. By it all suffering ceases, completely and for ever, and in so

I To wit the 3 specified above, with kāmarāga, attachment, and paṭigha, antipathy; Majjh. N. I, p. 432; Ang. N. II, p. 238; somewhat different in Dh. Sang. 1460. Cp. Divy. 533; 553; Vyu. § 109. - Five classes of Anagamins are enumerated Pugg. P. p. 16 f. Vyut. § 46; cp. CHILDERS s. v. anāgāmī.

2 Asrava, P. āsava. Their number is three: kāma, bhava and avijjāsava; or four:

the foregoing with addition of heresy; BURNOUF Intr. 823; CHILDERS s. v. asavo.

3 L. e. besetting evil propensities of the mind, to in number; enumerated Dh. Sang. 1548; with some variations in Lal. V. p. 59 (where we have to read rago for rogo); 348 (r. rago, and add mraksa); 349.

4 Not only the lower, but also the higher (ūrdhvabhāzīya, P. uddhambhāgiya); see CHILDERS s. v. samyejana; the list in Dh. Saing. 1460 shows variations. Cp. Vyu. § 109.

5 Patisambhida; more about this term in the sequel.

6 The character and qualities of the four degrees of Saints are fully described in Mil. P. 102 ff. Cp. Vyu. § 46. - The fourfold division of Yogins is: Prathamakalpika, Madhubhumika, Prajnājyotis, and Atikrāntabhāvanīya; Yogas. III, 50, Comm.

7 Pugg. P. p. 13; cp. Childers s. v.

8 Wassilief B. 239.

9 Synonymous terms are Nirvṛti, Nibbuti; Amṛta, Amata, and others. The terms are known also to other Indian sects, with a different shade of meaning. The usual term in the Yoga is Kaivalya; in the Vedanta, Mukti, Moksa; in the Nyaya, Apavarga; in the system of the Saiva-monks, Duhkhanta. In Caraka IV, 5 occur as synonymous with "final rest": santi, amrta brahman, nivana.

10 Vyu. § 95; BURNOUF Intr. 590; CHILDERS s. v. upadiseso, where the remark that nurupadhi is with the S. Buddhists a distinctive epithet of the Arhat, must be coupled with what the same author says s. v. upadhi: "the term nirupadhi may also be applied to one who has attained anupadisesa-nibbāna, has ceased to exist". Now the N. Buddhists use nirupadhi exactly in the latter sense, and yet they are blamed by the author! On the confusion in Itiv. p. 30 see above p. 50.

far it may be extolled as a blissful state, and as eternal, amrta (amata). Does it imply a complete cessation of consciousness? It does, of course, if we draw the logical conclusions from the fundamental principles underlying the creed. But not every one draws logical conclusions, and it would seem that even in the bosom of the Congregation there reigned some uncertainty anent the point in question. This would not be very wonderful. When the brethren repeatedly heard how the Buddha was conscious of all occurrences in his former births, some of them may involuntarily have been led to believe that memory, consciousness survives after death. We may understand how in order to prevent fruitless discussions among the brethren the Buddha is represented to have laid down the rule that the question: "Does the Tathagata exist after death, or does he not?" is one of those questions that must be set aside as useless and remain unanswered2.

Practically, Nirvāņa means a happy death without fear of rebirth. If so, how then can the Buddha be said to have conquered Māra? Because he conquered, not, indeed, physical death, but the abject fear of death. The means of bringing about that result, consists in representing death as something extremely blissful.

3. SPIRITUAL EXERCISES.

The striving for Nirvāna requires a good deal of constant spiritual exercise, meditation and contemplation, such as with insignificant variations

are practised by all Indian ecstatics.

As a preparatory to soaring into the higher regions of thought, must be considered the bhāvanā, cultivation, cherishing of the sentiments of maitrī, benevolence, karunā, compassion, muditā, cheerfulness, and upeksā, indifference, equanimity. These four Bhavanas, identical in name and character with those of all Yogins³, are otherwise termed brahmavihāra, living in the spiritual world, and P. appamaññā, Skr. apramāna4.

Sometimes a fifth Bhavana is added to the four Brahmaviharas, to wit the Asubhabhāvanā, realisation of the loathsomeness of the body, but in this case bhāvanā means conception, realisation; synonymous terms are asubhasaññā and asubhapratyavekṣās. There are 10 Asubhas, notions arising from the contemplation of a dead body; the names in P. are: uddhumātaka, bloated; vinīlaka, blackish; vipubbaka, festering; vicchiddaka, fissured from decay; vikkhāyitaka, gnawed by animals; vikkhittaka, scattered; hatavikkhittaka,

¹ We refer to the exhaustive and masterly article nibbana in Childers Dict. Cp. D'ALWIS, Buddhist Nirvāņa; FRANKFURTER, Buddhist Nirvāņa and the Noble Eightfold Path, JRAS of 1880; RHYS DAVIDS B. 14. - For the Mahāyānist the idea of Nirvāņa is a mere delusion, as we shall see in the sequel.

² Majjh. N. I, 426 ff.; 484; Sany. N. II, p. 222; Mil. P. 145. It is not easy to

5 Majjh. N. I, p. 424; Vyu. § 52, Title; Lal. V. 36; CHILDERS s. v. asubho.

reconcile, unless by sophisms, this agnosticism with the words spoken by the Tathagata in Samy. N. II, p. 232: "Puggalam passami param marana Nirayam upapannan". And how to explain another passage, Samy. N. III, p. 109, where the theory that the "khīṇāsavo ucchijjati, na hoti param marana" is rejected as being heterodox?

³ Definition in Yogas. I, 33.
4 Ang. N. II, p. 130; Nip. p. 89; Vyu. § 72; Lal. V. 35; 371; Jāt. Malā XXXII. CHILDERS is right in deriving the P. term from appamāņa, as appears from mettam cittam bhāvanam appamāṇam S. Nip. l. c.; appamāṇasamādhi = appamaññā Ang. N. I, p. 236; appamānam cetosamādhim II, 54; ep. Majjh. N. I, p. 283. We have also aparimāna S. Nip. p. 26.

injured and scattered; lohitaka, bloody; pulavaka, full of worms; atthika, bones 1.

The ten Asubhas, as well as the four Brahmaviharas, belong to the 40 philosophical Operations or Kammatthanas². These Operations include the tenfold Kasina, a kind of mystic Bhāvanā. The ten objects on which the attention at this operation must be fixed are: earth, water, fire, air, blue, yellow, red, white, light, and ether or space³. The same rite is practised by the the N. Buddhists, who term it krtsnāyatana, object or base of Krtsna. The ten modes of operation are given in the following order: nīla-, pīta-, lohita-, avadāta-, prthivī-, ap-, tejas-, vayu-, ākāśa-, and vijhāna-krtsnāyātana+.

The 40 Operations further comprise the 10 kinds of anusmṛti, P. anussati, recollection, constant thinking: on the Buddha, the Dharma, the Sangha; morality; liberality (cāga, tyāga); the gods; death; the body; the regulation of inspiration and expiration (ānāpānasmṛti); and quietude5.

The exercise of Anapanasmrti consists in fixing the mind intently on one's own breathing, in connection with certain set subjects of reflection; it is a highly valued kind of Samādhi⁶.

Four species of Kammatthana are termed Aruppa, belonging to the 4 incorporeal Brahmalokas: Ākāsānancāyatana, place of infinity of space; Vinnananancay., p. of infinity of clear consciousness; Akiñcannay, p. of nothingness; Nevasaññānāsaññāy., p. of neither consciousness-nor-unconsciousness; in Skr. Ākāśānantyāyatana, Vijñānānantyāy., Ākiñcanyāy., Naivasañjnā-nāsañjñāyatana?. Those who by dint of ecstatic meditation soar into those regions, have reached a very high standpoint, there remaining but one superior: the Sannavedayitanirodha, Škr. Sannaveditanirodha, cessation of consciousness; the same have arrived at the corresponding exalted states of vimoksa, P. vimokha, emancipation, deliverance. There is, of course, not much that is particularly Buddhist in this system. For we know that Ārāla Kālāma was proficient in the state of Nothingness, and Udraka Rāmaputra in that of Neitherconsciousness-nor-unconsciousness, but they were surpassed by the Buddha, who attained to cessation of consciousness?

यसम्बद्धाः नग्ने

1 Dh. Sang. 264. The corresponding terms Vyu. § 52 are: vinīlaka-, vipūyaka-, vipadumaka-, vyadhmataka-, vilohitaka-, vikhaditaka-, viksiptaka-, vidagdhaka-, and asthi-satijna. This list counts one term less than the P. Asubhas, for a detailed account of which see HARDY E. M. 268.

² Enumerated from Vis. M. by Childers s. v. They are included in the more extensive series of 108 Dharmalokamukhas in 1.al. V. 34 ff.

3 See CHILDERS s. v. kasino. In Majjh. N. I, 423 we find the 5 elements, earth, water, fire, air, and ether or space as objects of pathavisamā bhavanā &c. The 5 elements with Vijnana constitute the 6 Dhatus; see Dhatma-S. I.VIII, note. The following bhavanās 1. c. are metta, karunā, muditā, upekkhābhāvanā; then asubha; further aniccasañnā; finally anatana-sati.

4 Vyu. § 72. The vijiāna-k. instead of ākoka-k. recurs in a Pāli source, Sangtti-S.; see Childers I. c. and Harov E. M. 252 ff. for a more detailed description.

5 Ang. N. I, 42. Out of these to the first six are given by Childers s. v. anussati; likewise in Lal. V. 34; Vyu. § 51; Dharma-S. LIV, note.

6 For the details of this mystic operation we refer to Majjh. N. I, p. 425; S. Vibh. I, p. 70; Vyu. § 53 (where the term is anapanabhavanavidhi); HARDY E. M. 267 ff. Cp. Wassilief B. 139.

7 Vyu. §§ 68; 119; Burnouf Lolus p. 811; HARDY E. M. 261. Cp. Majjh. N. I, p. 455. 8 Majj. N. I, p. 456; cp. p. 296; Vyu. § 70; Dharma-S. LIX; the form Sanjña-vedayita° in Mhv. I, 126. — In some passages we meet with a fourfold ectovimutii, mental emancipation; viz. appamānā, ākincannā, sunnatā, and animittā c.; Majjh. N. I, p. 297; Aig. N. I, p. 4; in others, five; see Childers s. v. vimutti. The cittavimukti in the Yoga is threefold; Yogas. II, 27, Comm.
9 Majjh. N. I, p. 165; Lal. V. 295; 306; Buddhac. XII, vss. 63; 83.

It results from the foregoing that the four degrees of Dhyāna, P. Jhāna, abstract meditation, though nominally, excluded from the system of Kammatthānas, are nothing else but the four lower stages of ecstasy. Since the exercise of Dhyāna is confessedly anterior to Buddhism¹, we content ourselves with producing the following passage from the racy description by Childers 2. "The priest — concentrates his mind upon a single thought. Gradually his soul becomes filled with a supernatural ecstasy and serenity, while his mind still reasons upon and investigates the subject chosen for contemplation; this is the first Jhána. Still fixing his thoughts upon the same subject, he then frees his mind from reasoning and investigation, while the ecstasy and sercnity remain, and this is the second Jhána. Next, his thoughts still fixed as before, he divests himself of ecstasy, and attains the third Jhána, which is a state of tranquil serenity. Lastly, he passes to the fourth Ihána, in which the mind, exalted and purified, is indifferent to all emotions, alike of pleasure and of pain". Each of the first three Dhyanas is subdivided into three degrees, the inferior, the medial and the superior meditation; the attainment of the first Dhyana gives the power of working miracles, rddhi, P. iddhi. In general the Dhyanas secure access to the sixteen corporeal (rūpa) Brahmalokas, the peculiar loka being determined by the degree of Dhyana attained. It is, consequently, plain that the 4 Dhyanas represent a lower stage of ecstatic contemplation than the 4 Aruppas.

Sometimes there is question of 5 Dhyanas (pañcangikam jhānam). They differ in no essential point from the 4 Dhyanas, the second stage being

separated into two4.

In connection with Dhyana we have to speak of Samadhi, properly a state of most intense concentration and absorption⁵, but in Buddhist writings There are different sets of Samādhi. The 3 Samādhis are a wider term. Savitakka-savicāra-, Avitakka-vicāramatta-, and Avitakka-avicāra. Three others are Suññata, void, Animitta, groundless, reasonless, and Appanihita, without fixed purpose6, to which correspond 3 states of emancipation7. A set of 4 Samādhis is designated by the qualifications of hānabhāgiya, leading to rejection; thitibhāgiya, l. to firmness; viscsabhāgiya, l. to distinction, and nibbedhabhāgiya, l. to excellence

In Saınadhi one distinguishes two degrees, the inferior called Upacarasamādhi, and the superior, Appanā-S., i. e. initiatory, and penetrating,

thoroughly grasping9.

The original meaning of Samādhi is such that any profound pious meditation can be brought under that head. Hence it is not to be wondered at that the Mahayanists with their ritualistic propensities invented a series of Samadhis

² Dict. p. 169. Original text e. g. in Majjh. N. I, pp. 21; 117; 455; Lal. V. 147; 439; Mhv. I, 228; Vyu. § 67. Cp. Buddhac. XII, vss. 49 ff.

3 The names of these Lokas in the sequel.

4 In Dh. Saing. 83 the degrees are vitakka, vicara, pīti, sukha, and cittass'ekaggatā.

5 Mil. P. 38; Yogas. III, 3; Sarvad, S. 164.
6 Ang. N. I, p. 299; Mil. P. 337, where the 6 Samādhis are qualified as one of the 7 Ratnas of the Buddha. — Cp. Yogas. I, 17 f.

7 Dhp. vs. 92 and Comm.; Childers Dict. p. 270. The three Vimoksas or Vimuktis

in Vyu. § 73 are: Sūnyatā, Animittam, Apranihitam. Cp. Dharma-S. LXXII, note.

8 Vyu. § 55 Nirvedhabhāgīya, synonymous with usmagata, mūrdhāna, ksānti, and laukikāgradharma. Cp. usmagata &c. Wassilief B. 139; 246; Pali usmāgata = samanateja, Jāt. V, p. 208; usnīkata, highly proficient, brilliant, Majjh. N. I, p. 132.

9 HARDY E. M. 257. The same division applies to the Kammatthanas.

¹ See e. g. Jat. I, p. 58; Mhv. I, 228; Lal. V. 147; Buddhac. XII, vs. 49. Cp. Yogas. III, 2.

adorned with more or less sensational names, but with no definite meaning. The number in the Prajnā-Pāramitā is no less than 1081.

Theoretically there should be as many Samāpattis as Samādhis. For the latter does not differ more from the former than a process going on does from its accomplishment. It is, in fact, expressly stated that there are eight Samāpattis, coinciding with the four inferior kinds of Samadhi, i. e. the four Dhyanas, and with the four Āruppa Kammatthānas. The ninth, Nirodha-samāpatti, answers to the Saññavedayitanirodha2.

Reverting to the Kammatthanas, we farther come across the Aharapatikkūlasannā, consciousness of the impurity of material food3. The last of the

list is Catudhātu-vavatthāna, determination of the four elements.

Besides the forty Operations occasionally occur some exercises not included in the list, and yet termed Kammatthanas; e. g. the Suññata-kammatthana, but this is obviously merely another name for Suñnato (sic) samādhi4.

The base or substratum of an idea, and as such the subject for meditation is called arammana, Skr. arambana or, more usually, alambana⁵.

In the foregoing we have had occasion to name several ideal worlds, the corporeal and the incorporeal Brahmalokas. These and similar worlds are spoken of in a way as if they constituted parts of the universe. The Buddhists, being idealists, make no marked difference between cosmical facts based on observation⁶ and the products of fancy. The one and the other belong to their cosmical system, whereof we will try to give a succinct view.

COSMICAL SYSTEM. CLASSIFICATION OF LIVING BEINGS.

The universe consists of innumerable spheres, Cakravālas, each of which has its own earth, sun, moon, heavens and hells? Between those spheres are situated certain hells, termed Lokantarika. The centre of our earth is occupied by Mount Meru or Sumeru (P. also Sineru), around which are the principal mountains, Kulacalas and beyond these the four continents or Mahā-dvīpas, viz. Uttara-Kuru, the country of the Hyperboreans; Jambudvīpa, India, to the South of the Meru; Apara-Godāna or -Godānīya (P. Apara-Goyana) to the West; Pūrva-Videha (Pubba-V.) to the East?.

Each of the spheres consists of three Avacaras, regions, also styled Lokas, worlds, or Dhatus, layers, floors, the lowest being the region of Kama, sensual pleasure; higher is the region of Rūpa, form, divided into four stages of Dhyāna; the highest is the region of Arupa, formlessness to.

The lowest region is the abode of six classes of gods: 1. the four Rulers of the cardinal points; 2. the Thirty-three gods; 3. the Yāmas; 4. the Tuṣitas; 5. the

Enumerated in Vyu. § 21.

² Pugg. P. p. 20; Samy. N. II, p. 216 (nine Vihārasamāpattis); Vyu. § 68; Burnouf Lot. 348; 789; Wassilief B. 140; 240. Cp. Yogas. I, 42-48.

3 HARDY E. M. 96, from Vis. M.

4 Aig. N. I, p. 299.

5 Ārambana in Astas. P. Pāram. passim, e. g. p. 138; 269; Vyu. § 21; cp. anārambana Chāndogyopanisad 2, 9. But ālambana Yogas. I, 10; 38; 42, Comm.

o In an idealistic system where there is no room for absolute reality, as the whole world is a product of imagination; in other words: the world is created by Dhyāna. Cp. Hodgson Ess. 28; Beal Cat. 124.

7 For details see CHILDERS s. v. sattaloka; HARDY M. of B. 1 ff.; Legends 80 ff. BURNOUV Intr. 599 ff.; WADDELL Buddh. of Tib. 77—104.

8 Cp. Dharma-S. CXXV, note.

9 Cp. Vyu. § 154; Lal. V. 170; Divy. 213 ff.

10 Vyu. § 155: kāma-, rūţa-, arūpya-dhātu.

Nirmāṇaratis; 6. the Parinirmita-vaśavartins. These six Devalokas constitute with the world of men, of Asuras, of Pretas, the animal kingdom and the hells, the eleven Kāmalokas.

The second region, that of Rūpa, or more accurately the Rūpa-brahmaloka — for Rūpaloka in a wider sense includes the Kāmaloka — is divided into sixteen sections, the abodes of as many classes of gods who are free from kāma. Beginning from below we have: 1. Brahmapārisajjas; 2. Brahmapurohitas; 3. Mahābrahmas; 4. Parittābhas; 5. Appamāṇābhas; 6. Ābhassaras; 7. Parittasubhas; 8. Appamāṇasubhas; 9. Subhakiṇṇas; 10. Vehapphalas; 11. Asaññasattas; 12. Avihas; 13. Atappas; 14. Sudassas; 15. Sudassins; 16. Akaniṭṭhas³. The abodes of 1—3 are attained by those who exercise the three degrees of the first Dhyāna, severally. The next three by proficients in the second Dhyāna; the next three by the adepts in the third Dhyāna; 10 and 11 are assigned to the fourth Dhyāna, and the remaining five to the Anāgāmins⁴.

The Northern texts contain nearly the same names. The first group is made up of Brahmakāyikas, Brahmapāriṣadyas, Brahmapurohitas, Mahābrahmas⁵; the second, of Parīttābhas, Apramāṇābhas, and Ābhāsvaras; the third, of Parīttaśubhas, Apramāṇaśubhas, and Śubhakṛtsnas; the fourth, of Anabhrakas, Puṇyaprasavas, and Vṛhatphalas⁶. The last five are Avṛhas, Atapas, Sudṛśas, Sudarśanas, and Akanṣṭhas⁷.

Higher than the Rupabrahmaloka is the Arupabr., divided into four

degrees which bear the same names as the Aruppa-kammatthanas8.

The very lowest of the thirtyone abodes of living beings are the hells or places of punishment (naraka, niraya). The principal hells are eight in number and known by the names of Sañjiva, Kālasūtra, Saṅghāta, Raurava, Mahāraurava, Tapana, Pratāpana, and the very deepest, Avīci? Apart from these there is the Lokāntarika hell, already mentioned, and many minor hells. In the old system of the N. Buddhists there are besides the eight hot hells just enumerated as many cold hells: Arbuda, Nirarbuda, Atata, Hahava, Huhava, Utpala, Padma, and Mahāpadma io; in the Pāli canon we meet with the same and a few more: Aṭaṭa, Abbuda, Nirabbuda, Ahaha, Ababa, Kumuda, Uppalaka, Sogandhika, Pundarīka, and Paduma ii. In later N. works the number of hells is still greater ii.

¹ Lal. V. 170; Divy. 200; Burnouf Intr. 212. The gods are longlived, dīghāyuka, but not immortal; Ang. N. II, 33. The same view is common enough among Hindus; e. g. Yajnavalkya Dharmaś. III, 10; Yogas. II, 5, Comm.

² Vyu. § 156 only eight; to wit the worlds of 6 kinds of gods, of terrestrial beings,

and of inhabitants of the atmosphere (antariksavāsin).

3 In Majjh. N. I, p. 329 occur the groups 6-10, followed by Abhibhu; ep. there p. 327.

4 CHILDERS S. v. jhanam.

maheśvarāyatana.

- 5 Thus Vyu. § 157; the second name is wanting in Burnouf Intr. 212. As there ought to be only three names, it is probable that Brahmakāyikas properly is a comprehensive term for the whole group, as in Pāli; see Childers Dict. p. 486. Cp. Dharma-S. CXXVIII.
 - Lal. V. 171 adds.: Asañiñisattvas, agreeing with P. Asañiñasattas; so, too, Dharma-S.l.c.
 The Atapas wanting in Lal. V. l. c. Vyu. § 161 adds Aghanisthas(!) and Mahā-

⁸ An account of the exact duration of life of the gods residing in the Ākāsānañeāyatana &c. is found Ang. N. I, p. 267.

- 9 A detailed description of these hells occurs e. g. Aug. N. I, pp. 141 ff. Mhv. I, 7 ff. Cp. Vyu. § 214.
- 10 BURNOUF Intr. 201; Vyu. § 215; Divy. 67. Somewhat different Dharma-S. CXXII, where Apapa = P. Ababa.

II S. Nip. p. 123. These terms also denote certain high numerals.

12 E. g. in Kāranda-Vyūha. Cp. L. FEER, L'enfer indien, JA 1892 and 1893.

Above the hells is placed the animal kingdom or brute creation. Not unlikely we have to see in this notion the survival of ancient myths, for real animals are living on our planet, the world of men. Higher than the animal kingdom is the abode of Pretas, ghosts, spectres, though these beings are also placed in the Lokāntarika hell!. Still higher is the domain of Asuras, demons, among whom ranks foremost Rāhu, the personified eclipse?. The hells, together with the next three worlds, constitute the four Apāyalokas³, places of suffering. Adding to them the state of men, we get the 5 Gatis or states of existence.

It is difficult for us to realise in how far such theories were matter of serious belief. This much is certain that in the canonical books, both of the South and the North, we repeatedly hear the Master gravely and in a tone of perfect earnest discoursing on his visits to various heavens &c. A visit to the Brahmaloka is vividly described by him in the Brahmanimantanika-Sutta⁵. In another passage he asserts that he had received a visit from Brahma Sahampati⁶. Suchlike declarations are numberless, not to speak of the passages where in the history of the Buddha the gods, especially Brahmā and Indra enter as actors, represented with as much reality as the Tathāgata himself. The Master knows all about the destiny of persons in the life to come, and freely predicts where so and so will be reborn, at the same time expressly—and suspiciously—stating that he does not use his transcendent faculty, as other teachers do, out of greed or in order to deceive the world⁷.

What have we to infer from all this? Whatever may have been the conviction and purpose of those who composed the canonical texts, it can hardly be doubted that the majority of the believers, both among the laity and the monks, have, up to this day, put implicit faith in the contents of their sacred books 8.

Besides this system of 31 worlds and their inhabitants, there is another in which living beings are distinguished according to their higher and lower degree of spiritual excellence; a distinction, it must be observed, of a temporary character. For the lowest beings in the scale may by dint of Karman rise to the highest rank, and the most elevated can descend, with the exception of Buddhas, Pratyekabuddhas and Arhats, who are certain of reaching final Nirvāṇa.

According to this classification the highest beings are: 1. the Supreme Buddhas; then follow: 2. Pratyekabuddhas; 3. Arhats; 4. Devas; 5. Brahmas; 6. Gandharvas, celestial musicians; 7. Garudas, winged beings flying through the sky like lightning; 8. Nāgas, snake-like beings, resembling clouds; 9. Yakṣas⁹;

- T Cp. the tales in the Book of Ghost stories, Petavatthu, and CHILDERS S. v. peto.
- ² Childers s. v. asuro and Vyu., § 171.
- 3 Lal. V. 236 mentions three Apāyas, without specifying them.
- 4 Or six, if the Asuranikaya be added; EURNOUF Lot. 309; cp. Dharma-S. LVII, note.
- 5 Majjh. N. I, p. 326.
- 6 Ang. N. II, p. 20; Maijh. N. I, p. 458.
- 7 Majjh. N. I, p. 464.

8 On the other hand it cannot be denied that in later Mahāyānist or Tantric works we come across instances of thorough going scepticism. In the Vajramandā Dharanī the Buddha declares: "The hells, o Mahjušri, are a creation of ignorant men who foolishly believe in what does not exist; the hells are a product of their fancy"; Burnouf Intr.

p. 544.

9 This word has in B. writings sometimes a wider and older meaning, viz, that of a being to be worshipped or a powerful spirit; Indra e. g. is called a Yakşa; even the Buddha is glorified by Upāli as an āhuneyvo yakkho uttamapuggalo atulo, Majjh. N. I, p. 386. The term is used synonymously with devaputta Sany. N. I, p. 54. In a more restricted sense the Yakşas are the attendants of Kubera as in Hindu mythology; cp. Vyu. § 169.

10. Kumbhāndas, goblins; 11. Asuras, demons; 12. Rākṣasas, giants, monsters; 13. Pretas, ghosts, spectres; 14. the inhabitants of hell¹.

Of all these only the first three will occupy us; the others need no particular notice, the less so, because they belong to Indian mythology in general.

5. ARHATS, PRATYEKABUDDHAS, AND THEIR CHARACTERISTICS.

Arhats are, dogmatically, all those who are walking in the fourth and highest stage of the path leading to Nirvāṇa. They are distinguished by faculties far surpassing those of common mortals. First of all then they possess the four sorts of patisambhidā — in N. texts pratisamvid — regarding r. Artha; 2. Dharma; 3. Nirukti; 4. Pratibhāna. The purport of these terms seems to be: a transcendent faculty in grasping the meaning of a text or subject; in grasping the Law of all things as taught by the Buddha; in exegesis; readiness in expounding and discussing².

Besides the four distinctive faculties just named, the Arhat possesses five kinds of transcendent knowledge, Abhijñā (Abhiññā); to wit: Rddhi (Iddhi), the power of working miracles. Further the Divine ear by which he is enabled to hear and understand all sounds in the universe4; knowledge of the thoughts of others; memory of former existences; and the Divine eye, by which he sees all that is occurring in the world and perceives how beings in different worlds die and are reborn. There is also a sixth Abhijñā, being the transcendent knowledge which causes the destruction of defiling passions⁵.

The Arhat is also endowed with eight Vidyas, branches of knowledge, which, however, are nothing else but the six Abhijñas with the addition of vipassanañāna and manomayiddhi. The latter is properly only one of the 10 Rddhis6.

Vipassanā, Skr. Vipasyanā and more correctly Vidarsanā, is contemplation and the true insight connected with it. It is often mentioned together with Samatha, Skr. Samatha, quietude, as an attribute of Arhats. Accordingly it is said that there are two orders of Arhats, the Sukkhavipassaka, the barely contemplative philosopher, and the Samathayanika, he who is devoted to quietude?.

The power of working miracles requires the aid of the fourfold Padhana

¹ The series in Vyu. § 166 is: Devas, Nāgas, Yakṣas, Gandharvas, Asuras, Daityas, Garudas, Kinnaras, Mahoragas, and Kumbhāndas. Several names of these beings are recorded § 167—175. Cp. Divy. 148. Lal. V. 184 omits Daityas, but adds Sakra, Brahmā, the Lokapālas, monks, nuns, lay devotees of both sexes.

² See the dissertation of CHILDERS s. v. patisambhida. For patibhana cp. Mil. P. 340. Patisambhida, apparently, means speciality, special and distinctive gift. Pratisamvid is wholly inappropriate as has been pointed out by CHILDERS. Cp. Vyu. § 13; Dharma-

S. LI, note.

3 It has 4 subdivisions, pādas; see Childers s. v. iddhipādo; the passage there adduced is identical with Vyu. § 40.

4 The same faculty belongs to the Yogin; Yogas. III, 40.

5 HARDY E. M. 284; M. of B. 38; BURNOUF Intr. 295; Lot. 820. Cp. CV. VI, 6, 2; Lal. V. 184. Slight variations in Vyu. § 14; the miraculous performances due to Abhijña are summarized § 15. Cp. Dharma-S. XX, note.

6 CHILDERS s. vv. vijja and manomayo. For the 10 sorts of Rddhi see HARDY M. of B. 500. Sometimes there is question of 3 kinds of Iddhi-pāṭihāriya, and we know e. g. from Ang. N. I, p. 172, that many monks had the power of performing such miracle. Miracles, pāṭṭihāra, on sacred monuments (actyia) of past Arhats, are not rare; Mil. P. 309. There are 3 species of Prātihārya; rddhi-, ādeśanā- and anušāsanī-prātihārya; Dīgh. N. I, pp. 212 ff. Vyu. § 16.

7 CHILDERS S. v. samatho; Dhp. p. 425; WASSILIEF B. 141; Lal. V. 146; 218; Mhv.

I, 120; Vyu. § 90.

or Sammā-p., right exertion or application, consisting: 1. in the restraint of the senses, Samvara-p.; 2. in the abandonment of sinful thoughts; 3. in the Bhāvanās; 4. in steady perseverance². — Certain spiritual qualities which the Arhats share with the Bodhisattvas will be noticed in the sequel.

The prominent characteristic of the Arhat is Wisdom, Prajñā. It is by Wisdom that he crosses the ocean of existence; hence he is said to be Prajnāvimukta. His inferior, the Anāgāmin, cannot yet reach that ultimate goal, but becomes a denizen of the Brahmaloka, by means of Samādhi, whilst the Sakṛdāgāmin and Srotaāpanna by virtue of Morality, Sīla, occupy places intermediate between the Brahmaloka and the Apayas3.

The Arhat is the Arya par excellence, though all others who are progressing towards Deliverance are entitled to that denomination. In many cases Ārya, Arhat and Srāvaka are controvertible terms. Primarily Srāvaka is a hearer, a disciple of Buddha, but it is not seldom used synonymously with Arhat or Ārya4, and the compound Ariyasāvaka in general denotes a pious believer 5.

In a later period we see the Mahāyānists apply Śrāvaka to denote the primeval Buddhists, but it is with them also a comprehensive term for their opponents, the Hinayanists, whom we may call Old Buddhists.

The Mahāyānists divide all sons of Buddha into three classes, according to the yana, the vehicle they use, the curriculum they go through: 1. the Yana of the Sravakas, the lowest; 2. that of the Pratyekabuddhas; 3. that of the Bodhisattvas⁶. In connection with the whole tenor of the passage in Saddh. P. where the three Yanas are spoken of, it would seem that by the followers of the Sravaka-yana are meant the Sthaviras or Buddhists of the old orthodoxy; with the second class the solitary contemplative philosophers?; with the third, the accomplished teachers and preachers.

Although such a distinction is made, one should know that essentially there is only one Yana, the Buddhayana, because ultimately all beings, at one time or another, shall reach the same goal. Therefore the Tathagata declares that he will lead all beings to final Nirvana, adding: "all beings are my children".8

Both with the Mahāyānists and the adherents of the old creed the Arhats are inferior to the Pratyekabuddhas or private Buddhas. Dogmatically the Pratyekabuddha, P. Pacceka-b. is a being who has attained, like a Buddha,

3 Vis. M. I, p. 22 and p. 26; cp. Vyu. § 26.

4 Thus arya Dhp. vs. 22 is explained p. 180 by Buddha-paccekabuddha-savaka, where savaka takes the place of Arhat. Savaka-bodhi is the knowledge possessed by an Arhat, opposed both to supreme Buddha-knowledge and to Pratyeka-buddhi; see CHILDERS s. v. savako. The same results from Vyu. \$\square{3} 46-48.

5 In Ang. N. I, p. 210 the Ariyasāvakas are exhorted to keep the Sabbath in a

worthy manner; here the word can only mean a pious believer. So, too, the gahapati ariyasāvako; op. c. II, p. 68.

Burnouf Lot. p. 52; 315. Yānīkrta, P. yānikata seems to be synonymous with bahulīkata and bhāvita (c. g. Sany. N. I, p. 114; II, p. 264, MPS. III, 75; Mil. P. 140), and to mean "gone through, studied, steadily exercised", pretty much the same as Skr. abhyasta. — For the 3 Yanas see Dharma-S. 11, and the references in the note; and WASSILIEF B. 7. BEAL compares the Platonic σχημα; Cat. 124.

7 In such passages like Mhv. I, 301, it is not difficult to recognize a hermit under the disguise of the term Pratyekabuddha.

8 Lot. p. 89. Cp. Dharma-S. 1. c.

¹ Ang. N. II, p. 15; S. Nip. p. 74; cp. Dharma-S. XLV; Lal. V. 37; 218; 327. The form Prahāṇa in N. texts is a decidedly blundering rendering of a Prākṛt term into Skr. This is proved by the fact that the verbal expression corresponding to samyak-prahāṇa is samyak pradadhāti Vyu. § 39; cp. I.al. V. 499. ² Ang. N. II, p. 16; Vyu. § 39.

by his unaided powers the knowledge necessary to Nirvāna, but does not preach it. He is not omniscient, and is in all respects inferior to a supreme Buddha. It is a law of nature that he can not live at the same time with a Buddha¹.

6. BUDDHAS AND THEIR CHARACTERISTICS.

The Buddhas are the highest spiritual beings. So the supreme Buddha has said himself, and repeatedly. Now what kind of being is a Buddha? Before attempting to answer this question, we have to take a survey of his characteristics, external and internal.

Among the external characteristics of a Buddha the most remarkable are the 32 Mahāpuruṣa-laksaṇas, which he shares with Cakravartins, Arhats and other eminent persons2. The number of secondary characteristics, Anuvyañjana, is 80. The enumeration and description of those primary and secondary marks, which with insignificant variations are equally found in S. and in N. texts, is too long to be here inserted. It will suffice to indicate the chief sources of information 3. Besides these marks, 216 Mangalya-lakṣaṇas or auspicious marks, 108 on each foot, are attributed to the Buddha4.

It is a remarkable custom of all Buddhas that with their Divine eye they survey the world six times every days. As something peculiar to Gautama Buddha, it is recorded that he measured 12 cubits, or as others have it, 18 cubits in height. This tradition is somehow countenanced by the dimensions of his sacred footstep, Sripada, on the Adam Peak in Ceylon, measuring more than 5 feet in length and 2 1/2 feet in breadth6.

The mental characteristics of a Buddha are divided into three categories, each of them comprising a certain sum of qualities: I. the ro Balas or forces, powers; II. the 18 Avenika Dharmas or peculiar properties; III. the 4 Vaiśāradyas or points of selfconfidence, of assurance.

The 10 Balas are: 1. the knowledge of what is fit or unfit; 2. of the necessary consequences of Karman; 3. of the right road leading to any end; 4. of the elements; 5. of the different inclination of beings; 6. of the relative powers of the organs; 7. of all degrees of meditations and ecstasy, as well as of their power to purify and fortify the mind; 8. of remembering former births; 10. of removing moral corruption 7. On account of these powers a Buddha bears the epithet of Dasabala.

Sometimes we read of a set of four, of five, and of seven Balas⁸. These, however, are not peculiar to Buddhas.

I CHILDERS S. v. pacceko and the references there. From the epithets khadgavisīnakalfa, solitary as the rhinoceros, and vargacarin, mixing with society, associating himself, Vyu. § 45 (vagyasārin, S. Nip. p. 151) we may gather that some Pratyekas are rigid hermits of the wilderness; others more sociable, perhaps living as monks, or at least frequenting villages.

² Mahāpuruṣa lakkhaṇam as a branch of science occurs S. Nip. p. 102; cp. Bṛhat-Samhitā Chap. LXIX. — It need not be told that Mahāpuruşa and Puruşottama are common

epithets of Visnu.

3 See Dharma-S. pp. 53-60; and add Mhv. II, 29 ff. and 213 ff.; Alabaster Wheel of the Law 115 ff.

4 HARDY M. of B. 367.

5 Divy. 95.

6 HARDY M. of B. 364 ff. BURNOUF Lot. 622.
7 See Dharma-S. LXXVI, with the references, and add Mhv. I, 159, f. where we have to read, instead of SENART's conjecture manovibhutā: anodhikatā; i. e. anavadhikatā, illimitedness, and anodhikabatā, illimited powers, almost as the MSS. have it.

⁸ Four in Ang. N. II, p. 141; seven in Dh. Sang. 95—102; three with CHILDERS s. v. balam, and cp. Dharma-S. XLVIII, note.

The 18 Avenika Dharmas, otherwise termed Buddha-dharmas or qualities of a Buddha, are, shortly stated, the following: 1. the seeing of all things past; 2. of all things future; 3. of all things present; 4. propriety of actions of the body; 5. of speech; 6. of thought; 7. firmness of intention; 8. of memory; 9. of Samadhi; 10. of energy; 11. of emancipation; 12. of wisdom; 13. freedom from ficklenes or wantonness; 14. from noisiness; 15. from confusedness; 16. from hastiness; 17. from heedlessness; 18. from inconsiderateness 1.

The 4 Vaisāradyas (Vesārajjas) are: 1. the assurance of the Tathāgata that he has attained omniscience; 2. that he has freed himself from sin; 3. that he knows the impediments (antaráyika-dharma) to Nirvāṇa; 4. that he has shown the right way to salvation?.

It is quite in keeping with Indian habits that the qualities and functions of such a sublime being as the Tathagata are indicated by a host of epithets which more or less assume the character of proper nouns. The most common appellations are Buddha, Jina, Sugata, Tathagata; decidedly titles are Arhat, Sastr, Bhagavat; many other names are descriptive epithets, as Daśabala, Lokavid, Puruṣadamyasārathi, Sarvajña, Ṣaḍabhijña, Anuttara, Narottama, Devātideva, Trikālajňa, Triprātihāryasampanna, Nirbhaya, Niravadya, and the like 3.

Apart from the epithets applying to Buddhas in general, there are special names for the Buddha of the present period; as Sākyasimha, Śākyamuni, Sākyapungava, Sākya, Sauddhodani, Ādityabandhu (in contradistinction to Kṛṣṇabandhu, i. e. Māra), Sūryavamsa, Siddhārtha, Sarvārthasiddha, Āngirasa, Gautama 4.

In the oldest system of historical Buddhism, we have cognizance of, the Buddha of the present period had been preceded by 24 others. Their names are, in Pāli: Dīpamkara, Kondañña, Mangala, Sumanas, Revata, Sobhita, Anomadassin, Paduma, Närada, Padumuttara, Sumedha, Sujāta, Piyadassin, Atthadassin, Dhammadassin, Siddhattha, Tissa, Pussa, Vipassin, Sikhin, Vessabhū, Kakusandha, Konāgamana, and Kassapa⁵. Each of them has his peculiar Bodhi tree, e. g. Dipamkara the Figus religiosa, just as Gautama Buddha.

Many of these Tathagatas are also mentioned in N. writings, but not systematically, and lumped together with others of later invention⁶. The last

The order slightly different in Vyu. § 9. Cp. Dharma-S. LXXIX, note. Avenika or aveniya means "peculiar, exclusively proper"; e. g. Jat. IV. p. 358.

2 Aug. N. II, 9. Sec further Dharma-S. I.XXVII.

³ A remarkable string of epithets is contained in Upāli's hymn Majjh. N. I, p. 386, which would afford matter for a complete Buddhology. Rich also is the list Vyu. § 1, and the enumeration Lal. V. 549-564; very poor in Amara-kośa I, 8, and Divy. 95.

⁴ Gautama without the addition of Buddha is a rather irreverential term for a believer. It is only by his opponents and unbelievers (who need not be unfriendly, though) that he is called "Sramana Gautama". The most reverential term is Bhagavat, Lord. The rendering of this word with "Blessed One" is hardly right, as is proved by the meaning of the shortened bhagos and bhos. Bhagavat is essentially the same word as Slavonic bogatŭ, rich; Russian bogačŭ, a rich man; a meaning naturally passing into that of "a lord". It bears to the shortened Vedic vocative bhages and the still shorter bhos nearly the same relation as French Seigneur to Monsieur, and English Sir. Nothing is more common than that words properly meaning dominus are applied to ecclesiastical worthies; e. g. Dom, Domine, Kuningas, Rabbi etc.

⁵ Their history is recorded in Buddhav, and Jat. Intr. I, p. 3 ff. Properly there are three more Tathagatas, to wit Tanhamkara, Medhamkara, and Saranamkara, but they are not taken into account, because it was Dipamkara who first predicted the future Buddhaship of Gautama Buddha; Dhp. p. 117, and cp. Mhv. I, 237, ff.

6 See in Hodgson Ess. p. 33 the lists drawn from Lal. V. and other works.

Thirty-two Tathagatas are enumerated Lot. p. 113.

seven Tathāgatas, to wit Vipasyin, Šikhin, Visvabhū, Krakucchanda, Kanakamuni¹, Kāsyapa, and Sākyamuni are common to the North and the South, and are designated in N. texts as the Manusi-buddhas. Sometimes we find that the four last Buddhas, Sākyamuni included, received special worship².

Just as there were Tathagatas in the past, so there will be in future. The Buddha of the next following period is Maitreya, P. Metteya, surnamed Ajita, at present still a Bodhisattva living, it would seem, in the Tușita heaven 3.

All Tathagatas are alike, save in a few points of no importance; they differ e. g. in size, and in duration of life; some are born as Ksatriyas, others as Brahmans⁴. The Law proclaimed by all Tathagatas is likewise one and the same, and when it is stated that Gautama Buddha evolved the Law from within himself without the aid of a master, the meaning is that by his intuition he re-discovered the old truths which had been forgotten in the night of dark times 5.

A peculiar sort of Buddhas, wholly anomalous, - for they are eternal and never were Bodhisattvas, - are the five Dhyāni-Buddhas of the Mahāyānists: Vairocana, Aksobhya, Ratnasambhava, Amitābha or Amitāyu(s), and Amoghasiddhi. Their female counterparts, Tārās or Saktis, are: Vajradhātvīsvarī, Locanā, Māmakī, Pāṇḍarā, and Tārā⁶.

It is a common opinion among European savans that the Buddhas preceding Sākyamuni are mythical, the latter alone being historical. That theory, whether true or false, is entirely opposed to the fixed dogma of historical Buddhism. Of course, we may surmise that in original Buddhism the matter stood otherwise. Such a supposition is allowable, provided we do not confound an hypothesis of our own making with the facts of sober history.

Having surveyed the external and internal characteristics of a Buddha, we revert to the question: "What kind of being is a Buddha?" The answer is given by the Lord himself. Once upon a time the Brahman Dona, seeing the Lord sitting at the foot of a tree, asked him: "Are you a Deva?" And the Lord answered: "I am not". — "Are you a Gandharva?" — "I am not". — "Are you a wan?" — "I am not a man". On the Brahman asking what then he might be, the answer was, "Know, o Brahman, that I am a Buddha"?. Here the Buddha denies flatly and categorically that he is a man. This dogma has not undergone any change by the later development of the creed in Mahāyānism. In such an authoritative book as the Lotus the anthropomorphism of the Tathagata has

² Already in the sculptures of Bharhut and Sānchi; in the time of Fa Hian at Sāńkāśya, Rec. p. 51.

4 The Buddha of the present period is by birth a Kṣatriya, by genius and conduct a Brahman; Mil. P. 225.

5 See the discussion Mil. P. 217; cp. 236.

6 See references at Dharma-S. III and IV, where some variations may be observed. The references at Thamas. It and IV, where some variations may be observed. Cp. D. Wright Hist. of Nepal, Pl. VI; a discussion on the origin and character of the Dhyani-Buddhas in Versl. Meded. K. Akad. of 1888, p. 38 ff. Cp. also Waddell Buddh. of Tib. 346—360; Wilson Works II, 11—25.

7 Aug. N. II, p. 38. Cp. such passages as Sany. N. I, p. 67: sadevakassa lokassa Buddho aggo pavuccati; his title devitideva; his power to free the Sun and Moon from Rahu; op. c. pp. 50; 52.

¹ Krakutsanda and Konākamuni in Mhv. I, 2; II, 265; Konagamana on a basrelief of Bharhut, Pl XXIX; Konakamana in the edict of Nigliva (Bühler, Academy of 27 April 1895). — Cp. Dharma-S. VI and note and the translation of the Sapta-buddha-Stotra in Wilson Works II, 5.

³ This may be inferred from the story related by Fa Hian, Rec. p. 25; in the Lotus we meet him on the Vulture Peak. His future appearance is predicted by the Lord in Mil. P. 159.

been reduced to a minimum, and the traits lent to him are not a whit more real than e. g. those of Avalokiteśvara. Consequently, in all periods of the creed the Buddha is only anthropomorphic, not a man. What he may have been in prehistoric Buddhism, must be left to individual taste and fancy; it is no matter of science.

BODHISATTVAS AND THEIR QUALITIES.

The sublime place occupied by the Buddha cannot be reached before his having gone through numerous, nay innumerable existences, and having lived in lower and higher states. A being destined to develop into a Buddha is called a Bodhisattva; he is, we may say, a Buddha potentia, not yet de facto. Properly "Bodhisattva" simply means "a sentient or reasonable being", possessing bodhi, but this faculty has not yet ripened to samyak-sambodhi, perfect sensibleness. He is, in a word, the personification of what the Yogins call buddhisattva, potential intelligence, just as the Buddha, the Samyaksambuddha, personifies buddhi, the highest product of nature in most Indian systems of philosophy based on cosmogony.

In the evolution of a Bodhisattva — usually called his course, caryā one distinguishes three periods; 1. that of the aspiration (abhinihāra); 2. of the prediction (zyākaraņa) by the Tathagata of the period that the aspirant once shall become a Buddha; 3. of the tumultuous acclamation (halāhala) at the approach of his last birth. Others distinguish four periods: 1. that of the intention (manas); 2. of the vow or firm resolution (pranidhāna); 3. of pronouncing that vow (vākpraņidhāna); of the revelation (vivarana)3. Similarly in N. writings, albeit with some variations: 1. Prakrticarya, original course; 2. Pranidhana-c., course of the vow or firm resolution; 3. Anuloma-c., course in accordance with the yow taken; 4. Anivartana-c., the course in which no sliding back is possible!

The Bodhisattvas, like the Buddhas, are honoured with various epithets, the most common being Mahāsattva. A great number of them are honoured by the Mahāyānists. The most celebrated, apart from Maitreya, who is also known in the South, are Avalokitesvara or Lokesvara and Manjusri⁶. It is

² This we may infer from Jat. Intr. p. 47. 3 HARDY M. of B. 88.

4 Mhv. I, 1; 46 ff. The prediction, Vyakarana, of future Buddhahood, takes place in the fourth period; it is repeated by subsequent Buddhas.

5 This we may render with "Noble Being", though it looks as a veiled synonymous term for Bodhisattva. For mahat is synonymous with buddhi = bodhi. Other epithets in

· Vyu. § 23, where the list opens with Avalokitesvara; in Dharma-S. XII only eight

are named, and, strangely, with omission of Avalokitesvara; see the note on l. c. for synonymous names, and other divisions. Several Bodhisattvas are honoured by Santideva in Bodhicary., chiefly Manjughosa; Zapiski IV, pp. 158; 161; 225. On Lokesvara, al. Matsyendra cp. Versl. Meded. K. Ak. of 1888, pp. 14; 42. See further WADDELL JRAS. of 1894, p. 51; Buddh. of Tib. 356 on Avalokita.

The Madhyamikas, reasoning upon the old Buddhist axiom sarvam śūnyam, arrive. most logically, at the conclusion that the Buddha himself is as void and nothing as all the rest; Burnouf Intr. 481; Wassilier B. 348; Schmidt, Ueber das Mahayana, 207. Implicitly this absolute Nihilism is contained in the passage S. Nip. p. 203, already cited; cp. Majjh. N. 1, 297; BIGANDET II, 239. In absolute truth, Paramartha, there is neither nirodha nor bhāva; Santideva, Zapiski IV, p. 219. In other words: there is no such thing as Nirvāṇa; it is a mere delusion; the very idea of Nirvāṇa springs from Avidya; BEAL Cat. 125.

hardly to be doubted that these worthies, like so many other Buddhas and Bodhisattvas, have been canonized after the time of Aśoka.

The being who one day should appear as Gautama Buddha, went through numberless births during incalculable ages before he attained Buddhahood, after receiving the prediction to his future destiny from 24 Buddhas. The prehistory of Sākyamuni, the Buddha of the present Bhadrakalpa, in connection with the predictions of former Tathagatas, is recorded in S. and in N. Buddhist texts without material discrepancies2. Memorable occurrences and great feats (apadāna, avadāna) in his prehistory, are related in a number of tales called Jatakas and Avadanas. Such stories occasionally occur inserted in other works, but there exist also separate collections of Jatakas and Avadanas, some of them reckoned to belong to the canonical books, others composed or rather retouched by profane authors. The P. Cariyā-Pitaka contains 35 stories exemplifying as many proofs of Pāramitā furnished by the future Gautama Buddha; a Skr. composition by Ārya Sūra, the Jātaka-Mālā, describes 34 Jātakas or Bodhisattvāvadānas³. There is certainly some connection between the number of stories in Sūra's composition and the epithet Catustrimšajjātakajūa of Buddha, although in the absence of a fixed date to be assigned to the origin of the epithet, the cause of the coincidence is not traceable. As to the 35 tales of the Cariva-Pitaka, we suspect that the number has something to do with the number of years passed by the Bodhisattva Siddhartha-Gautama before he attained perfect and complete Enlightenment. However that may be, it is not easy to see how both numbers can be commeasurable with the 10, or, if we reckon the subdivisions, 30 Pāramitās.

The Bodhisattvas are endowed with many eminent moral and intellectual Their most striking characteristic is compassionateness. They represent, in general, active virtue and highmindedness, in contradistinction to the Arhats, who are inactive. One might mark the difference between the two classes of persons by saying that the Bodhisattvas are compassionate, the Arhats unpassionate or passionless.

The 10 Pāramitās or Pāramis, perfect virtues, are, in Pāli, the Pāramitās of dāna, almsgiving; sīla, morality; nekkhamma, renunciation of the world; paññā, wisdom; viriya, energy; khanti, forbearance; sacca, truthfulness; adhitthana, resolution; metta or metti, charity; and upekkha, indifference, equanimity; each of them divided into three degrees.

Along with their eminent moral virtues the Bodhisattvas possess such intellectual qualities as are conducive to enlightenment, and which are therefore termed Bodhipākṣika dharmas, Bodhipakṣadharmas, P. Bodhipakkhika, °pakkhiya, or Bodhapakkhiya, thirty-seven in number 5. These qualities are

¹ The exact number is 4 Asankhyeyas and 100000 Kalpas; Saddh. S. p. 1; Bodhi-v.

p. II; less definite Mhv. I, 1: "in innumerable Asankhyeyas, numberless Kalpas."

2 Jät. Intr. pp. 4 ff. HARDY M. of B. 89 ff. It should be observed that according to the theory of the Lokottaravadins the primeval Buddha, near whom the future Śakyamuni made his vow to become a Tathāgata, is likewise named Śākyamuni; Mhv. I, I. This seems to mean that the later Śākyamuni is an Avatāra of the primeval Śākyamuni,

This seems to mean that the later Sākyamuni is an Avatāra of the primeval Sākyamuni, i. e. Ādibuddha or Svayambhā; a tenet fully developed in the school of the Aiśvarikas; see Hodgson Ess. 46; 76. Cp. Waddell Buddh. of Tib. 126; 130; 348.

3 On similar other collections see S. d'Oldenburg's paper "On the Buddhist Jātakas", already quoted above p. 7, note; and Iwanowski's in Zapiski VII, 289.

4 Childers Dict. p. 335. Vyu. § 34 has likewise 10 P., but with substitution of Dhyāna, Upāya, Bala, and Jīāna for Metiī, Nekkhamma, and Upekkhā; Praṇidhāna answers to the synonymous Adhiṭthāna. A list of 10 P. also in Dharma-S. XVIII, but of 6 in XVII; see the notes there, and add Kāraṇda-Vy. II, 9; cp. on Kṣānti-, Vīrya-, Dhyāna-and Praṇā-Pāramitā Bodhicary. Chapp. 8—11, in Zapiski IV, pp. 178 ff.

5 Childers s. v. bodhapakkhiyo; Lal. V. 8; 218; Dharma-S. XLIII.

not, as is the case with the Pāramitās, peculiar to the Bodhisattvas, for they belong likewise to the Arhats. They comprize seven categories.

I. The four kinds of Smrtyupasthana¹, presentness of memory, thoughtfulness: 1. in regard to the body; 2. to sensations; 3. to rising thoughts; 4. to Dharma.

II. The four kinds of application, right exertion, Sammappadhana,

N. Samyak-prahāņa, already enumerated.

III. The four parts of miraculous power, Rddhipāda, Iddhipāda; as above.

IV. The five Indriyas, mental energies, faculties: faith, energy, memory or thoughtfulness, concentration of mind, and wisdom2.

V. The five Balas, mental powers, not differing from the Indriyas, unless

in intensity 3.

VI. The seven constituents of Bodhi, Bodhyanga, Sambodhyanga, to wit: memory, investigation (dharmavicaya), energy, contentment (priti), calm, concentration of the mind, contemplation (samādhi), and indifference or

VII. The Eightfold Path, Astāngika-mārga of the Āryas; already

treated of.

Occasionally we come across other qualifications or faculties of Bodhisattvas, but they do not belong to the system of 37 Bodhipaksika dharmas, and are partly common to Bodhisattvas and Arhats⁵. To the latter amiable qualities, which should by no means be confined to those saintly persons, may be reckoned the four Sangrahavastus (Sangahavatthus), elements of friendly treatment; to wit: liberality in almsgiving; affability; officiousness, and cooperation6.

In N. texts the whole career of a Bodhisattva is divided into ten degrees or stages, Bhūmis, the system of which is amply described in Mahāvastu⁷. The most usual names are Pramuditā, Vimalā, Prabhākarī, Arcismatī, Sudurjayā, Abhimukhi, Durangamā, Acalā, Sādhumati (or Madhumati), and Dharmameghā8.

The prerogatives or immunities of being a Bodhisattva are numerous9,

and proportionate to their duties.

Before leaving this chapter we ought to note that the Bodhisattva births

P. Satipatthana, a compound of sati and upatthana, as already observed by CHILDERS; ep. parimukham satim upatthapetvā Ang. N. II, p. 38; pratimukham smrtim upasthāpya Divy. 20. See further Sany. N. I, 180; Lal. V. 218; Dharma-S. XLIV. Synonymous terms are kāyagatānusmrti etc. Lal. V. 36; kāyagatā sati, kāyānupassanā, etc. belonging to the Kammatthanas.

2 Dharma-S. XI.VII and the references; Vyu. § 41. The four Indriyas are the same

with exception of wisdom; Ang. N. II, p. 141.

3 Dharma-S. XLVIII; Vyn. § 42. The four Balas are the same, except wisdom;

Ang. N. l. e.

4 Dharma-S. XLIX and note; Vyu. \$ 43; Majjh. N. I, p. 61; Mil. P. 83. Sometimes only 6 Sambojjhangas are mentioned, memory being excluded; Ang. 1, p. 53; herewith cp. the Yogangas of the Yoga. — As to the rendering of Samādhi cp. the synonymy of Samādhāna and Dhyāna in Bodhicary., Zapiski IV, p. 208.

5 To the Bodhisattvas are ascribed 10 Vasitās, mights; Vyu. § 27; Dharma-S. LXXIV.

6 The P. terms are: dāna, peyyavajja or piyavacana, atthacariyā, and samānattatā, Aig. N. II, p. 32; in Dharma-S. XIX: dāna, priyavacana, arthacaryā, and samānārthatā;

so, too, in Lal. 39; 183; 218; but priyavākya and arthakriyā. Slightly different in Mhv. 30, 105, in Lat. 39; 105; 125, our prysoning and armaerya. Singiny direction in Miles. 3: dona, tatharthacarya, priyavadya, and samānasukhaduhkhatā, i. e. taking part in another's joy and sorrow. — The addition of the words "appertaining to kings" in Childers p. 447 is a mistake, as is evident from Aug. N. l. c. and Divy. 95.

7 I, 76 ff. The names are: Durarohā, Baddhamānā, Puspamanditā, Rucirā, Citta-

vistarā, Rūpavatī, Durjayā, Janmanideša, Vauvarājya- and Abhiseka-bhumi.

8 For references see Dharma.S. LXIV; cp. Dašabhūmīšvara in JRAS. of 1875, p. 4,

— A list of 13 Bhūmis occurs Dharma-S. LXV.

9 Enumerated Jat. Intr., vss. 252-258.

of Sākyamuni are frequently called his Avatāras, at least with the N. Buddhists. In the creed of the N. Church, or of some sects, it is admitted that those Avatāras had occurred not only in former times, but that the Buddha retained his power of Avatāra, of appearing on earth as a Bodhisattva, even after his complete Nirvāṇa. Such an Avatāra of Śākyamuni was the prince Ķuṇāla, the virtuous son of Aśoka. If we remember that in the Lotus Sākyamuni declares his Nirvāņa to be only a feint for the benefit of mankind, we cannot refrain from supposing that the masters of the Mahayana had no belief in an historical Nirvāṇa?. In fact, we have seen that with them the very idea of Nirvāņa is a delusion.

8. MORALS.

The moral code of the Brahmanist Indians has professedly its roots in the Veda, tradition, the custom observed by good men, and one's own conscience3. Buddhist morality is dogmatically founded on, and referrible to the Buddha, for we read: "Bhagavammulaka no dhamma Bhagavamnettika Bhagavampatisaranā"4. Yet it does not necessarily follow from this dogma that the Buddha was supposed to have invented the whole of morality. On the contrary, the Master himself repeatedly extols the morals and virtues of the ancient Rsis. "The Dharma", says he, "is the ensign of the Rsis" 5. The exemplary life and the great feats of generosity, self-sacrifice &c. of the Bodhisattvas might be adduced as an implicit evidence of what the Buddhists themselves believed about the moral faculties of mankind in prebuddhist times. But more significant is the explicit statement by the Master that the Brahmans of yore were distinguished by the highest moral qualities⁶. Even the fact that the true, the genuine Brahman is not seldom represented as the noblest of creatures, in contradistinction to those who are merely Brahmans by birth, Bhovadins, or as the unbelievers call them, Brahmabandhus, would have been impossible if the type had wholly failed in common life.

In spite of the fore-mentioned dogma, Buddhism has wisely adopted many articles of morality and pious customs flowing from the sources of the Brahmanist code. When the Master commands that the pious Buddhist householder, gahapati ariyasāvaka, ought to perform the five Balis: to the family, the guests, the Pitaras, the king, and the gods, it is manifest that he draws from the Smrti; and when he appeals to conscience, ātman, notoriously a non-entity in Buddhism⁸, he authorized a view thoroughly at variance

with the fundamental maxims of the creed.

This is distinctly stated by Kşemendra in Avad. Kalp. Nr. 59; an older reduction of this charming tale occurs, as is well-known, in Divy. 403 ff.

² SBE. XXI, p. 307, ff. — It is a fact that the half pantheistic, half theistic views of the Mahāyānists were condemned by their opponents; Wassieller B. 263.

3 Manu II, 6.

4 Majjh. N. I, p. 310.

5 Aug. N. II, p. 51. An exemplary Rsi was the hermit Asita Devala, notwithstanding his following heterodox rules (būliraka mārga); S. Nip. pp. 128 ff. Cp. Mhv. II, 30, where the text, after due correction, runs thus: "ṛṣipravrajyām pravrajito mūlaphalapattrabhakso unchavrttih." He had exercised the 4 Dhyanas and had realized the 5 Abhijnas.

6 Brahmanika-dhamma-S. in S. Nip. p. 50. The statement is the more remarkable because the same Sutta otherwise is a piece of wholesale and unscrupulous, silly slander; cp. HARDY Legends 42 ff. Though the Brahmans themselves are often calumniated, their gifts to the monks are always welcome and highly praised; Itiv. p. 111. Praise of the true Brahman, S. Nip. p. 116.

7 Ang. N. II, p. 68.

⁸ Ang. N. I, p. 149: "Attā te, purisa, jānāti saccam vā yadi vā musā." The addition

Morals.

The other sources, the smrti-sile tadvidam and the acarah sadhunam of Manu, have not been lost sight of by the Buddhists. To these categories belong the duties qualified as panditapaññatta and sappurisapaññatta, and consisting in almsgiving, in ahimsā, and in supporting father and mother i.

It is hardly accidental that almost all passages where moral duties are enjoined are either wholly or partly in metrical form, and this circumstance in combination with the fact of those passages containing so much that is contrary to the fundamental articles of the creed, leads us to the inference that the sect originally had no moral code at all, except the prohibitions and duties prescribed to the members of the Order, which only partly coincide with the laws of society in general.

If we wish to form a just estimate of the character of Buddhist morals, such as laid down in the final redaction of the canonical books, we must bear in mind: 1. that the prescriptions were intended to supply the wants both of the ecclesiastics and of the laity; 2, that the Arhats are, to a certain extent, above common morality. The Sage, muni, has no attachment, does nothing what is pleasant nor what is unpleasant². Those who are wise abandon their children³. A man who leaves his poor wife, the mother of his child, in order to become a monk, and obstinately refuses to take care of her and the child, is held up to the admiration of the world as having done something very grand. Still at other times we read that one's wife is the best friend, and that a wife is the most excellent of goods, though repeatedly women are described as horribly wicked creatures with hardly any exception+. Such inconsistencies are endless.

There is no formal discrepancy between the two great branches of the Church as regards their moral code; but as a rule it may be said that with the N. Buddhists moral activity comes much more into the foreground than with their brethren in the South. Not the Arhat, who has shaken off all human feeling, but the generous, self-sacrificing, active Bodhisattva 5 is the ideal of the Mahayanists, and this attractive side of the creed has, more perhaps than anything else, contributed to their wide conquests, whereas S. Buddhism has not been able to make converts except where the soil had been prepared by Hinduism and Mahāyānism6.

All moral laws, either in India or elsewhere, may roughly be brought under two heads: 1. prescriptions the infringement of which is followed by penalty; 2. injunctions the fulfilment of which is meritorious and somehow meeting with its reward. The former commandments are everywhere the strongest, because they are absolutely necessary for the maintenance of society. Therefore they are expressed in the form of prohibitions, as only forbidden acts are liable to punishment. The usual Indian term for this category of obligations is yama, the second being known by the name of niyamas, i. e. obligations only binding for certain classes of persons, or under certain circumstances, though meritorious for all. The principle underlying the

of sakkhi, O witness! proves that the whole metrical passage, clumsily joined to the prose, has been taken from some Dharmasastra; the lines occur in a slightly different reduction in Manu VIII, 84 ff.

Ang. N. l, p. 151.

² S. Nip. p. 153: "Sabbattha munī anissito na piyam kubbati no pi appiyam."

³ Therag. vs. 302. 4 Ud. 1, 8; Samy. N. I, pp. 37; 43; Ang. N. II, p. 80; Mil. P. p. 205. 5 In the picture of the Supreme Buddha the traits of the Arhat and the Bodhisattva have been blended into an harmonious whole. In Vedanta phraseology, one might say, he combines the traits of the neuter brahman and the masculine brahman.

⁶ Cp. TAW SEIN Ko in Ind. Ant. XXII, 165; Tar. 262.

division of the commandments into two categories is visible also in the Buddhist Decalogue, the Dasasīla, otherwise termed the ten Sikṣāpadas (Sikkhāpadas), precepts of training. The ten Sīlas are all of them binding on the members of the Order; the first five on lay devotees. These forbid: 1. the destruction of life; 2. theft; 3. unchastity; 4. lying; 5. the use of intoxicating liquors; the other five prohibit: 6. eating at forbidden hours; 7. attending worldly amusements; 8. the use of unguents and ornaments; 9. the use of a large or ornamented couch; 10. the receiving of money.

The prohibitions 1-5 are couched in the same terms for all persons, but there is some difference in the application. Thus chastity, brahmacarya, means in the case of monks and nuns absolute abstinence from sexual intercourse, just as in the case of Brahmacarins; for lay devotees it means refraining from adultery2. Theft is, in common life, taking anything that has not been given, but a monk commits a thievish act even if he smells at a flower 3.

If persons appertaining to the laity keep besides the first five precepts the three next following, at least on Sabbathdays, it is a highly meritorious act for which heaven will be their reward4.

The moral obligations of those who have renounced the world extend beyond the Daśaśila. In their morality three degrees are distinguished, the inferior, the middling, and the superior degree. The first two degrees are coextensive with the Dasasila in its strictest interpretation; the third consists in refraining from pagan sacrifices, prognostications, astrology and such-like professions. No one, unless unacquainted with Brahmanic literature, will fail to perceive that this superior morality is nothing else but the rule of life of the Dvija in the fourth Aśrama, when he is a Yati or Mukta. It is difficult to explain why and at what time all such minute prescriptions were deemed necessary for Sramanas, as their vow of embracing a spiritual life would seem to be sufficient. The only plausible explanation is that all those superfluous details were bodily or with some modifications taken from Dharmasūtras and Dharmaśāstras, in which they were appropriate, because Brahmans and other Dvijas are not obliged by any vow to observe the rules specified.

Buddhism deserves credit for its having discountenanced the coarser forms of superstitious rites and degrading ascetic observances7.

The sons of Sakya have as a rule respected the moral feelings and

¹ See Childers s. v. sīlam, and his references. Cp. Yogas. II, 30; 32.

² The five precepts for the laity are more amply expounded S. Nip. p. 69. 3 Samy. N. I, p, 204; Jat. III, 308.

4 A sabbath thus observed is termed atthangiko uposatho; S. Nip. p. 70; Ang. N. I, p. 215; in the Gathas ascribed to the Buddha we find the phrase: "Etam hi atthangikam āhūposatham, Buddhena dukkhantagunam pakāsitam". It is curious to hear the Master speaking in the 3d person.

5 An elaborate exposition of all this is given in Sāmañnaphala-S. and Subha-S. of the Digh. N. Cp. Burnour Lot. 463 ff. Even the practice of medicine is forbidden to a Buddhist; S. Nip. p. 172. Sacrifices are totally discredited p. 190; but Ang. N. II, p. 43 only bloody sacrifices; it is added that unbloody sacrifices (nirarambha yanna) are approved

by the Masters, and agreeable to the gods; p. 44.

6 The metrical parts betray here and there their unbuddhistic origin; cp. above

p. 69, note. 7 E. g. the *sīlavrataṭarāmarsa*, P. sīlabbataparāmāsa, the abuse of moral vows or observances; Vyu. § 104; Majjh. N. I, p. 433; Ang. N. I, 242; Dh. Sang. 1005. Not all Sīlavratas are condemned, as appears from S. Nip. p. 196; Dhp. vs. 271; Bodhi-v. p. 76; only some (Mrv. I, 292), of the bad and foolish sort, like the gevrata, Lal. V. 259; govratin, govatika is an ascetic who feeds upon grass like a cow; Majjh. N. I, p. 387; MBharata V, 3359; kukkuravatika is one who lives like a dog, a cynic; Majjh. N. l. c. 8. Morals.

social customs of their heterodox country-men and contemporaries. Far from being indifferent to public opinion, they anxiously avoided giving offence. Repeatedly we read how people are scandalized by the behaviour of some monks; how the question whether such a behaviour is or is not lawful is brought before the Master, and how his decision is always conform to public opinion?. In all such cases the Buddha is simply the echo of the public voice. This deference for the opinion of the world, lokādhipateya, P. lokādhipateyya, goes so far that the Congregation, for aught we know, never straightforwardly attacks the family and matrimony. Therefore we cannot wonder if we meet in the canonical books with a detailed exposition of the various duties which children and parents, man and wife, master and servant, friends, ecclesiastics and lay men have to observe towards each other. A treatise in which the Buddha teaches a young layman the duties that were generally acknowledged in the Indian Smrtis, is the Sigālovāda, known in a S. and a N. redaction³. Of moral lessons in poetical form there is no lack. Collections containing beautiful maxims, partly universally Indian in character, partly more specifically Buddhist, are the Dhammapada, the Mangala-Sutta, and the Udanavarga4.

As a whole the moral teaching of the Church bears witness to a certain breadth of view, not superior, indeed, to what is professed by individuals of other persuasions in India, but certainly to the usual catechism of other Indian creeds, which are moreover mixed up with offensive superstitions. A striking example that exclusiveness in matters of trifling importance cannot be laid to the charge of Buddhism is the answer given by the Lord to Devadatta, when the latter urged him to command that the monks should during their whole life abstain from fish and meat⁵. We know that the Tathāgata declined the demand.

Highly characteristic, too, is the discourse between the Buddha and the Licchavi Duke Siha, at Vaisāli. On that occasion the Master declares that in a certain manner he teaches the value of action (kiriyavāda), and in another respect the value of non-action (akiriyavāda); that in a certain sense he teaches annihilation (ucchedavāda), &c. Whereupon the terms used are subjected to a process of twisting and distortion to such an extent that all definiteness is lost.

^{*} Exceptions as the attack directed against the easte system in Assalāyana-S. are comparatively rare. The Vajrasūci with its contents stolen from Sankara deserves notice only as a literary curiosity.

² Instances occur passim in the Vinaya; see e. g. MV. V; CV. III. Cp. Yājñav. I, 156. 3 Text in Grimblot's "Sept Suttas Palis"; transl. by Childers in the Contemporary Review of 1876. The N. text is only partially known from a Chinese version; Beal Trip. 112. — A detailed account of the duties of laics occurs in Minayer Recherches I, 163—174, with copions references.

⁴ The Mangala-S. cd. and transl. by CHILDERS in JRAS. IV, 2 (New s.); Udānavarga transl. from Tibetan by ROCKHILL. The Dhp. belongs properly to the Theravāda sect, as we may infer from Jātaka-M. p. 98, l. 24. It is intended chiefly for members of the Order.

⁵ The eating of fish and meat is allowed if it is pure in three respects, to wit: if one has not seen, nor heard, nor suspected (that it has been procured for the purpose); MV. VI, 3t, 14; CV. VII, 3, 15; Maijh. N I, 368 ff. From the express prohibition of eating the flesh of men, elephants, horses, dogs, snakes, lions, tigers, bears, hyenas, we must infer that the flesh of other animals was no forbidden food; MV. VI, 23.

must infer that the flesh of other animals was no forbidden food; MV. VI, 23.

6 MV. VI, 31; cp. I, 38; Tib. Leb. 268. The Buddha is both a Kiriyavādin and an Akiriyavādin, Ang. N. I, p. 62; Sam. N. I, p. 38: kammam tassa (sattassa) parāyaṇam is no contradiction. He does not teach sabbam atthi, nor sabbam natthi; Samy. N. II, p. 76; he declares to proclaim nothing else but what the loke panditās teach; III, p. 138.

In the same discourse there is a trait of liberal-mindedness which should not be left unnoticed. When the Licchavi Duke, who was an adherent of the Jain sect, had become a convert to Buddhism, the Master administered to him the following charitable counsel: "For a long time, Sīha, your house has been a place of refreshment for the Nirgranthas. Therefore you should deem it proper that alms shall be given to them when they come to you".

It does not follow that the sons of Śākya much liked the Jains, nor that they were latitudinarians in matters of doctrine. On the contrary, heresy, mithyādṛṣṭi, is considered by them, like by many other religious communities, a most damnable sin, to be punished by the torments of hell. Whether erroneous views outside the community were considered all equally wicked, is questionable. At any rate some heterodox sects were more abhorred than others; the gymnosophists of the Ājīvaka sect e. g. had the reputation of being most impious. On a certain occasion the Buddha is asked by a wandering mendicant of the Vatsa clan whether any Ājīvaka after death can become a denizen of heaven, not to speak of Deliverance. The answer is: "In the 9r Kalpas, Vātsya, I have remembrance of, I do not remember of any Ājīvaka having become a denizen of heaven, except one, and he was one who acknowledged the effect of Karman (kammavādin) and the value of actions (kiriyavādin)".

It is difficult to characterize the peculiarity of the Buddhist code of worldly morality, and that for two reasons. Firstly, because its articles do not differ from the standard recognized in India; secondly, because the spirit penetrating the prescriptions is different according to the divisions of the Church. Buddhism properly being a monastic institution, and the laity but accessory, it is natural that social customs and obligations were tolerated, after some pruning, if they were not in flagrant conflict with the dogma of the Church. Current moral tales were collected and received into the canon, after some remodelling and by transforming such tales into Jātakas and Avadānas³. By this contrivance the stories have acquired a strongly pious tinge, which is less accentuated or wholly wanting in the corresponding tales in the Mahā-Bhārata, Pañcatantra, Brhat-kathā, &c.

The second difficulty arises if we try to reproduce the different shades of moral sentiment we discover, on comparing the S. Buddhists with their brethren in the North, and further the Hīnayānists with the Mahāyānists. Hīnayānism has gradually been ousted by its opponents, and it may be said without exaggeration that the Buddhism of medieval India bears the stamp of the Mahāyāna. Now it cannot be denied that charity and mercifulness are more predominant or at least more highly extolled in Mahāyānist texts than in other books, and so it is not to be wondered at that those virtues or sentiments have been looked upon as distinctive of Buddhism, even by Brahmanical authors, like Bāṇa. The ideal of the Mahāyānists, however lofty, is unhappily marred by the fact that to exemplify their own charity and mercifulness they begin with abjudicating those virtues to all other creeds.

¹ Ang. N. H. p. 227.
² Maiib N. J. p. 482 — The doctrine of the Air

Majjh. N. I, p. 483. — The doctrine of the Ājīvakas — according to their opponents — is: natthi kammam, natthi kiriyam, natthi viriyam; Ang. N. I, p. 248; ep. Dīgh. N. I, p. 53.
3 The circumstance that the Jātakas refer the occurrences to the times of the

³ The circumstance that the Jātakas refer the occurrences to the times of the King Brahmadatta of Benares, shows that the home of these tales is the country near Benares, and not Magadha, where Buddhism arose.

⁴ Wassilief B. 124.

Even towards their brethren of the Hīnayāna their feelings were far from charitable .

As a whole the Buddhists have shown themselves to be less exclusive and more liberal-minded than the adherents of other Indian religions inasmuch as in propagating their faith they did not attempt to hinduize their converts. By acting upon so sound a principle they have elevated their creed to the rank of a universal religion.

PART IV.

THE CONGREGATION. MODES OF WORSHIP.

1. INDIAN MONACHISM. DISCIPLINARY AND ASCETIC RULES.

In many countries and at various times there were men who dissatisfied with the condition of society around them, or disappointed in their dearest expectations, fled the bustle, troubles, deceptive pleasures and wickedness of the world in order to seek in solitude or in the company of sympathizers quietude and peace of mind. Nowhere the conditions are so favourable for the development of anachoret and monastic life as in India. Climate, institutions, the contemplative bent of the national mind, all tended to facilitate the growth of a persuasion that the highest aims of human life and real felicity cannot be obtained but by seclusion from the busy world, by undisturbed pious exercises, and by a certain amount of mortification.

The time-honoured Indian institution of the four Asramas contained all the germs of monachism; more than that, it attorded the example of a saintly mode of life. To begin with the Brahmacariu, he is obliged during the whole course of his study to observe absolute chastity; must refrain from intoxicating drink; take care not to burt any living being; avoid the use of perfumes, unguents and finery; hold himself aloof from dancing, singing and other mundane amusements; show good breeding in all his manners; be clad in a deer hide and a red, reddish yellow or yellow robe. Moreover he has daily to beg his food? These and similar obligations recur in the disciplinary code of the Buddhists and other mendicant Orders.

A Brahmacārin may, if he choose, remain a student for life at his teacher's, or after the teacher's death with the family of the latter, which, however, according to some authorities, is not necessary. Such a Brahmacārin for life does essentially not differ from the Bhikṣu in a more restricted sense, otherwise called Yati, Mukta, Sannyāsin, Parivrājaka, i. e. the Ārya who has entered the fourth Āśrama. The rules of conduct for a Bhikṣu or Sannyāsin have, up to minute details, served as the model for ordained monks of various sects.

We can understand that Brahmacarins for life, thirsting after true know-

 $^{^{\}rm r}$ Huen Thsang qualifies them as "heretics" and accuses them of complotting against his life; Voy. I, 246.

² See e. g. Apastamba I, 1; Gautama II; Manu II.

³ Apast. II, 9, 21, 6; 8; Gautama III, 3, 7; Manu II, 247.

⁴ Apast. II, 9, 21, 9-17; Gautama III; Manu VI, 41-86.

ledge, followed the lessons and guidance of some renowned teacher; that even Bhiksus did the same; and it is highly probable that persons of that type constituted the nucleus of distinct sects, which after the death of the revered master, or already during his life time developed into congregations with their disciplinary regulations, into monastic Orders with distinctive outward characteristics.

Although the epoch of the rise of Buddhism cannot be determined with certainty, we know that in the days of Asoka the Congregation, Sangha, was a well-organized body in possession of canonical books. Hence we infer that it had already existed for a considerable space of time, as well as the rival Orders of the Nirgranthas and Ajīvakas. Considering that the oldest books of the Buddhist canon incessantly speak of the Nirgranthas and Ajivakas, and of the frequent bickerings between them and the sons of Sākya, we have no reason to doubt that the three Orders were founded almost simultaneously. Nor need we be sceptical when we read that the custom of regularly keeping the Sabbath, Uposatha or Posatha and of preaching on those days was introduced in imitation of other sects?. This is not the only instance. In general it may be said that the whole organization of the Sangha and a good deal of the rules for monks and nuns, - if we may trust the canonical writings, - were introduced by imitation or by accident. The Master is less a legislator than an upholder of the law; he invents few new ordinations, he only ratifies the public opinion, and decides in highest instance when there have arisen questions among the brethren. The dogmatical fables, invented to account for the introduction or ratification of this or that rule, have no historical value, of course, but they certainly reflect the theory prevalent in the Congregation, and that theory could not but have its root in experience. Some of those stories are based upon a misunderstanding or distortion of the plain text of the rules, so that there can be no doubt about their having been invented considerably later than the disciplinary rules to which they refer4.

The disciplinary and penal code of the Sangha, undoubtedly one of the oldest, if not the very oldest part of the canonical compositions, barring some additional articles, is the Patimokkha, Skr. Pratimoksas. This fundamental code of discipline is common to all Buddhists, in different redactions, which, however, agree in all essential points. The Pali version is unquestionably the oldest and, accordingly, the shortest. The Patim. for monks contains 227

In would-be Skr. Posadha, an impossible form, because the o for ava, being secondary, never could produce the change of the dental into the Murdhanya, which moreover had disappeared from the Prakrt. - Posatha occurs Jat. IV, 342; cp. DMG. 48, 63.

³ A great part of the disciplinary rules of the Order are represented as being due to the incessant misbehaviour of six monks, who, like the six Tirthikas, always enter

in company and are equally irrepressible. Instances occur passim in S. Vibh.

4 E. g. Pātim. Pācittiya 43; S. Vibh. II, p. 94.

5 About the meaning of this title there is some doubt. In SBE. XIII, p. XXVIII it is asserted that pātimokkha means "Disburdening, Getting free." Unhappily patimuncati, pratimuñcati is not pamuñcati, and denotes exactly the reverse, viz. "to put on, fasten, bind." It never has another meaning in Pali, nor in older Sanskrit. If we compare the phrase pātimokkhasamvarasamvuta Ang. N. II, p. 14, where the word cannot but denote "a covering accoutrement, a protecting armour", with the standing explanation yo tam pāti, rakkhati (Childers p. 363; Minayer Prātim. S. I), though erroneous in accessories, we conclude that Pratimoksa was taken in the sense of cuirass, or rather "something serving as a (spiritual) cuirass." Cp. the term kavaca, used in a later period by Buddhists in a similar acceptation, and dharmakancuka; the Bodhisattva is sannahasusannaddhavarmitakavacita, Lal. V. 217.

articles all in all; the Chinese version of the Dharmagupta sect numbers 250,

the Tibetan 253, that of the Mahāvyutpatti 2591.

It has been ordered by the Lord that the Pratimoksa is to be recited twice a month, on the Sabbath of the 15th (or 14th) of the halfmonth, in an assembly of at least four monks2. At the end of each section the reciter asks whether any of the brethren present has transgressed one of the articles. If so, the transgression must be openly confessed; if not, the recital proceeds. It is usual that the monks confess their sins to each other before the ceremony. Thus the disciplinary code is at the same time a formulary of confession.

Quite distinct from the Prātimokṣa in origin and purport is the summary of ascetic rules known as the Dhutangas, the complete observance of which is only possible for monks who, like the brahmanical Vanaprasthas or Vaikhānasas, lead the life of hermits in the woods. The number of the Dhūtāngas or Dhutagunas is 13 in the South, 12 in the North 3. We will treat of them

severally in the order followed by the Pāli sources.

I. Pāmsukūlika, seil. aiga4, is the rule that the dress is made of rags taken from a dust or refuse heap. This rule is not observed by all monks, no more than several of the following practices. So far as we can judge, there always were in the Sangha cenobites and hermits, Aranyakabhikşus, the latter more rigid and partizans of an austere mode of life, Dhütagunavadins or Dhutavadas, as whose head in the times of the Buddha is considered Kāśyapa, P. Kassapa the Great This predilection for a solitary life is strongly expressed in many poetical songs, as if inspired by the fresh air of the woods6.

II. Traicīvarika, P. tecīvarika is the precept enjoining the possession of no more than three robes at a time. This rule is binding on all the brethren,

abstraction made of occasional exceptions.

III. Paiņdapātika, P. piņdapātika is the rule to live on food obtained by begging from door to door. Those who are strict observers of the rule make no use of food distributed by tickets (salāka-bhatta), of food given to the Convent (saigha-bhatta), of invitations for dinner. The last is contrary to the custom of the Buddha and his attendants, who regularly receive and accept invitations.

IV. Sapadāna-cārikā, explained to mean a proceeding from door to door

in due order when begging?.

Translations of the whole Patim. have been published by MINAVEF (in Russian), and by Proff. RHYS DAVIDS and OLDENBERG in SBE. XIII; of the part for monks by DICKSON in JRAS. VIII (New s.), and of the Chinese version by Beal, Cat. pp. 204 ff.; of the Tibetan version of the Naihsargika section by HUTH.

² MV. II, 4, 2.

3 HARDY E. M. 9, 73, 97 ff. 120; BEAL Cat. 256; for other references see Dharma-S. 1.XIII, note. On the 28 merits of the Dhutangas see Mil. P. 351. Synonymous or nearly so with Dhutanga is san lekha, anstere observance, Vyu. \$ 245; sallekha, Pugg. P. p. 69; Majjh. N. I, 42; MV. VII, 3.

† This and the following terms, when masculine, denote the persons observing the rule; Pugg. P. p. 69; in Dharma-S. the masc. refers to guna.

5 Dipav. IV, 3; V, 7; Samy. N. II, 156; Divy. 61; 395. The sect which is said to observe more rigidly than any other the Dhutangas, are the Kassapiyas, Kāšyapīyas. - Dhutavādin in a less technical sense occurs Jāt. I, 130.

6 Marked instances are the Khaggavisāņa-Š. (Nr. 3 and Nr. 12 of S. Nip.); Therag. vss. 518-526. The rigorists have much in common with the Pratyekabuddhas; cp. above

7 The Skr. form is sāvadānam, an adverb (as in P.) qualifying the manner of begging of an ascetic; it occurs e. g. Mhv. I, 301; Vyu. § 263, but it is not expressly included in the list of Dhutāngas. In Vis. M. (cp. Childers s. v.) safadāna is interpreted by an avakhandana, and dāna "cutting" with avakhandana; but dāna = khandana,

V. Aikāsanika, ekāsanika, the rule of eating at one sitting .

VI. Pattapindika, an article wanting in the N. enumeration, and enjoining

"eating from one vessel only".

VII. Khalupascādbhaktika, P. khalupacchābhattika, the prohibition of taking a meal after it has become improper to do so; this has been interpreted as partaking of food already refused and superfluous2.

VIII. Āranyaka, P. Ārannaka, living as a hermit in the woods. IX. Vrksamūlika, P. rukkhamūlika, residing at the foot of a tree. X. Ābhyāvakāsika, P. abbhokāsika, living in an unsheltered place3.

XI. Smāśānika, P. Sosānika, living in or near a cemetery.

XII. Yathasan starika, P. yathasanthatika, said to be "taking any seat that may be provided"; evidently wrong, for samstara is what is spread; santhata, spread. The nuns are forbidden to observe this, a prohibition which would have no sense if the term had the meaning assigned to it. The word denotes "spreading a night-couch where one happens to be" 4.

XIII. Naisadyika, P. nesajjika, enjoining a sitting posture while sleeping⁵.

The articles IV and VI are absent from the N. list, which on the other

hand has a special Nāmatika (anga), the use of felt for clothing.

In theory it is meritorious, but not obligatory for all monks to observe all of the Angas. The articles VIII—XI do not apply to nuns, XI—XIII are expressly forbidden to them; Srāmaņeras and Srāmaņeris are excluded from the observance of II, for the simple reason that they are not yet members of the Order. Lay devotees are allowed to keep the rules V and VI, but none of the others.

The 12 articles of the N. list are more logically arranged than the 13 Dhutangas in Pāli; it is plain that the first six are rules for all members of the Sangha, whereas the last six are intended for the Ārañnakabhikkhus?.

2. ADMISSION TO THE SANGHA. NOVICES. ORDINATION.

Persons desiring to renounce the world and embrace the life of a monk or nun, may be admitted without any distinction of rank or caste, with a few necessary restrictions; e. g. murderers, robbers, persons with contagious diseases, slaves, soldiers and the like are excluded. The act of admission is termed Pravrajyā, P. Pabbajjā, properly denoting in general "leaving the world, adopting the ascetic life." The ordination properly so called is the Upasampadā, by which one becomes a monk or nun with all the privileges belonging to the Order. The Upasampadā may be conferred on all who have previously been admitted to the Pravrajya, except those who have

whereas avakhandana (apakhandana) = apadāna. The rule is superfluous, being included in the foregoing.

¹ Cp. ekāsunabhojana Majjh. N. I,437. The form Aikāsanika occurs Astas. P. Pāram. 387.

² For the scholastic interpretations we refer to CHILDERS D. p. 310.

3 In Brahmanist works abhrāvakāšika, Rām. I, 43, 14; Manu VI, 23. It is quite possible that the P. term answers to this word, as has been suggested by OLDENBERG; Dharma-S. p. 49.

4 Cp. Astas. P. Pāram. 387. Analogous words are yātratatrašaya and yatrāstamitašīyin

in Brahmanistic Literature.

5 Cp. Therag vs. 904; 1120. - Vyu. \$ 49 has the form Naisadika.

6 In CV. X, 10 the wearing of namataka is forbidden to the nuns; the use of felt for a covering is allowed; V, 11; 19.

7 Some prescripts of the most nugatory character for this class of persons are found CV. VIII, 6.

committed heinous crimes, eunuchs, hermaphrodites and the like¹. As to nuns, there are 24 blemishes disqualifying them for the Upasampadā. For the admission of Śrāmaneras, i. e. young novices, or rather seminarists, is required the consent of their parents and an age of full seven years.

The broad distinction between the first admission, Pravrajya, and the Ordination, Upasampada, is clear enough, but if we descend into the details, the matter becomes embarassing. It would seem that at the rise of Buddhism the two terms denoted nothing else but what they originally mean, the former: "leaving one's home to become an ascetic"; the latter: "accession" to the Order. It appears from Scripture that the Buddha, after converting the Five mendicant friars, further Yasas and other young men, gathered around him sixty Disciples, who in a very short space of time passed through the four stages, and asked the Master for the Pravrajya and the Upasampadā simultaneously, whereupon the Lord received them3. After a while the Disciples who had been sent abroad to propagate the Law, returned with persons who wished to receive Pravrajyā and Upasampadā from the Master himself. This circumstance led the Buddha to the consideration that it would be well if henceforth the monks were permitted to confer themselves Pravrajyā and Upasampadā on candidates. He accordingly gives to the monks that permission, and adds: "Let him (who has to receive P. and U.) first have his hair and beard cut off; let him put on the Kāsāyas, adjust his upper robe so as to cover one shoulder, bow down before the feet of the monks, and sit down squatting; then let him raise his joined hands and utter: I take refuge in the Buddha, in the Law, in the Congregation". Thrice. "I ratify, o monks, the P. and U. with this threefold formula". Afterwards, however, this regulation was cancelled; in so far as he ordered not to confer the Upasampada, unless by a ceremony in which a formal motion (ñatti) is followed by three questions5. Even in this amended form the Upasampada is nothing else but the solemn consecration of the Pravrajya, as the story l. c. shows⁶. Cases of persons receiving simultaneously P. and U.-are not rare; even in the days of Asoka the lay devotee Bhanduka received P. and U. in the same moment, and Arhatship to boot?. As twenty years is the lowest age at which a man is qualified for U, it follows that a boy who has become a Sramanera at eight, will have to wait 12 years before he can receive Upasampadās. It seems questionable whether in the beginning of the spread of

^{*} The circumstances disqualifying persons for admission and ordination are minutely expounded MV. I, 39-76; cp. HARDY E. M. 17 ff. Vyu. § 271. Not a few of these disqualifications are merely theoretical; e. g. ennichs and hermaphrodites are excluded from the Upasampadā, as if these physical disabilities were unknown at the Pravrajyā. More than absurd is the exclusion of Snakes; MV. I, 63.

² CV. X, 17; Vyu. § 271.

³ Jat. Intr. p. 82; MV. I, 6; 7. Cp. above p. 24.

⁺ MV. I, 12, An extended form of the Trisarana gamana is: "Buddham śaranam gacchāmi dvipadānām agryam; Dharmam s. g. virāgāṇām agryam; Sangham s. g. gaṇānām agryam." Vyu. § 267.

⁵ Natthicatuttha; MV. I. 28; Skr. jñapticaturtha-(karmopasampanna) Vyu. \$ 270. In border countries the conferring of U. is allowed in a chapter of four monks, besides the Vinayadhara; MV. I, 10, 12.

o It results from MV. I, 76 that the U. need not be preceded by a formal Prav. For the Senior who confers the U. asks the canditate: "Are you a human being?" etc. Such a question is always foolish, but excessively so if the candidate has already been examined before.

⁷ Dipav. XII, 62 f.

⁸ CHILDERS D. p. 532; cp. p. 305, where the Sāmaņera is compared with "a deacon". A deacon of 8 years is something strikingly original. HARDY E. M. 45 has much better understood the character of a Sāmaņera.

Buddhism children were admitted, notwithstanding the tale of Rāhula's Pabbājanā^{*}. However that may be, the Śrāmaneras are no more Bhikşus than the Brahmacārins, their model, are. They are Śramaņoddeśas, Śramaņoddesakas, P. Samanuddesas, i. e. aspirants for the state of monk, persons destined to become monks, in a word, seminarists2. One of their duties is to learn the ten Sikṣāpadas 3.

Converts from other, heterodox sects, who desire to receive P. and U., are subjected to the same formalities, and have moreover to pass a probation time, Parivāsa. Only two classes of persons, viz the Jatilas, fire-worshippers with matted hair, and the Sākyas, received Upasampadā — there is no question of Pravrajyā — without a probation time being imposed, the former because they believed in the retribution of moral actions, and the latter because the Master wished to grant a privilege to his kinsmen⁴.

The passages and testimonies adduced seem to warrant the conclusion that the real Ordination or Consecration takes place by the Upasampada, whereas the Pravrajya is the act by which the candidate formally declares his intention to take the vows. The regulations prescribed in Scripture have been collerted in the manual for various Kammavācās, Skr. Karmavācanās, ecclesiastical resolutions 5.

In a chapter of at least 10 monks - under certain circumstances only 5, as already remarked above - the candidate, after having provided himself with the requisite alms bowl and robes, and chosen his spiritual leader or tutor, Upajjhaya, is examined by the president of the chapter6 concerning his eventual disabilities, his name and the name of his spiritual tutor. These things being ascertained, the candidate requests to be ordained, whereupon the president formally proposes to the chapter to assent to this request, twice repeated. If the assembly by their silence give the consent, the president exhorts the newly ordained brother to stick to the four Necessaries, and to avoid during his whole life the four capital sins. All things above the Necessaries, though not absolutely forbidden, are to be considered superfluities.

It would be difficult to point out a single case in Buddhist writings, sacred or profane, that the sons of Sakya kept to the four Necessaries, except the hermits. As to the four capital sins, which we shall meet again under

5 The manual has been published under the title Kammavakyam, syn. with Kammavacam, by Spiegel, with Latin transl.; partly by Dickson with English transl. in JRAS. VII, 1 ff. (New s.). Cp. Bowden in JRAS. of 1893; HARDY E. M. 44; BIGANDET II, 272; MV. I, 28; 30; 76; 77. A "collection of Kammavācās" in Frankfurter's Handb. pp. 142—150. Cp. also the Kalyānī Inscription of A. D. 1476, text and transl. by Taw SEIN Ko, Ind. Ant. XXII.

6 Wrongly called Upajjhaya in BIGANDET 1. c., contrary to MV. I, 76; cp. 25. 7 The 4 Nissayas (MV. I, 30; 77) are: pindyālopabhojana, living on a pittance of begged food; pamsukūlacīvara, rags from a dustheap; rukkhamūlasenāsana, lodging at the foot of a tree; pūtamuttabhesajja, cow urine as medicine. The corresponding Skr. terms of the Nisrayas are: pāmsukūla; pindapāta; vrķsamūla, and pūtimuktabhesajya, an unsuccesful attempt at rendering pūtimutta into Skr. Vyu. § 266.

The more so because, MV. 1, 50, the Lord expressly forbids to confor the Pravrajyā on a boy under 15 years of age. In Tibet a Srāmanera can receive a socalled 2d consecration in his sixteenth year; in China it is not unusual that at the same age the ceremony of hair-shaving is performed; the Cūlākanta-mangala in Siam is a rite performed in the 9th, 11th, 13th, or 15th year. All these customs may be called "pagan accrescences"; Köppen Rel. des B. II, 265; HARDY E. M. 18; GERINI, Chūlākantamańgala, 2; 29.

² S. Vibh. II, p. 139; the femin. is Śramanoddeśikā, Div. 160. ³ MV. I, 56. The rule now followed in Ceylon (HARDY E. M. 23) is exactly the counterpart of the Brahmanic Upanayana. 4 MV. I, 38.

another head, the vow of the ordained monk to abstain from all sexual intercourse as long as his life lasts, is contrary to the theory that one may, under circumstances, leave the Order .

The ordination of nuns is performed almost in the same manner as that of monks. She who proposes the candidate is titled the Pavattinī².

Regarding the Upasampadā ceremony in the old N. Church we possess few accounts, but sufficient to show that it was, essentially, the same as in the South. In course of time some modifications have been introduced which we must leave unnoticed 3.

3. CLOTHING AND EQUIPMENT. LODGING. FOOD AND MEDICAMENTS.

The complete, distinctive dress of the monks consists of three pieces, Tricīvara, P. Ticīvara; to wit: P. Antarayāsaka, Uttarāsanga, and Sanghāṭī 4. Another name for the habit after the colour, is Kāṣāya, P. Kāṣāya, Kāṣāva, tawny, reddish yellow. In the South the usual colour is yellow5; in India, at least in the Middle Ages, it was reddish.

The description of the garments, as well as the identification of sundry other names, is attended with some difficulty. The Antaravasaka is a lower garment, something like a petticoat, hanging low down, and bound to the loins with a girdle, kāyabandhana. As synonymous is given Nivāsana, but the description of this garment by Huen Thsang is somewhat different?. The Uttarāsanga is the garment covering the breast and shoulders, and reaching somewhat below the knees. Something like it is the Sankaksika, according to Huen Thsang, but the P. Sankacchikā occurs elsewhere as another garment, and not belonging to the official dress8. Whether the Patinivasana, Skr. Pratinivasana, may be identified with the Uttarasanga is a matter of doubt9. Sanghāṭī, properly meaning "a doublet" may have had a similar history as this English word. Once we meet the expression "the (two) Sanghāțis folded together" to denote a third garment after Nivasana and Pratinivasana 10. We infer that the Sanghātī, a kind of cloak, is so called because it is folded and composite 11.

- 1 HARDY E. M. 46.
- 2 CV. X, 17.
- 3 For Pravrajyā and Upasampadā in Tibet, see Waddell, Buddh. of Tib. 178; 185; cp. Hongson Ess. 139; 145.
- 4 MV. I, 76; VIII, 13; 15; 20; 23; CV. X, 17. In Skr. the same, only Antaravāsaka; Vyu. \$ 272.
 5 The red Kāṣāya was not wholly unknown; see e. g. Therag. vs. 965.
- 6 BIGANDET II, 274. This agrees with the "ample plaited petticoat, named Samt'abs" in Tibetan; WADDELL op. c. 201.
- 7 According to Voy. II, 3 the three robes are Sanghāti, Uttarāsanga and Sankakṣis, but p. 69 Sanghāţi, Sankakṣikā and Nivāsana! The exact shape of the Nivāsana MV. I, 25 cannot be determined.
- 8 CV. X, 17. The Sankakşikā is likewise distinguished from the three Cīvaras; also Vyu. l. c., where also a Pratisankaksikā is mentioned.
 - 9 MV. I, 25; CV. VIII, 11; 12; Vyu. l. c.
- 10 MV. l. c. Cp. the expression pandudukūlam sanghat[t]īkrtya sīvayati sma Lal. V. 334. In the same work p. 231 the Sanghātī is not reekoned as a Cīvara: sanghātī-pindapatra-civaradharanena sthitam.
- 11 LEGGE, following EITEL, defines the S. as the "double or composite robe, reaching from the shoulders to the knees, and fastened round the waist"; Rec. p. 39, note. WADDELL op. c. 200 says the S. is "the Lower patched robe, and fastened by a girdle at the waist.

It is a peculiarity of the official habit that robes should be made out of patches imitating the ricefields of Magadha¹.

Raiments occasionally used by monks and nuns are the Varṣāśāṭī, P.

Vassikasāţikā, rain-cloak; and the Snātraśāţaka, P. Udakasāţikā2.

It is meritorious for the laity to provide members of the Order with clothing. A great distribution of robes to the local Sangha is held immediately after the Pravarana, at the close of the rainy season. The ceremony of that distribution, elliptically called Kathina3, is common to both divisions of the Church.

The use of foot covering is, in most cases, for the monks a luxury, and in the South almost unknown. In Scripture various descriptions of possible and impossible shoes are expressly forbidden; sandals and plain slippers not absolutely so 4. Umbrellas are a superfluous article, except in particular cases. The use of fans, and of a plain sort of chowries, is allowed⁵.

Besides the three robes the monk's equipment, Pariṣkāra, P. Parikkhāra, consists of an alms bowl or pot, patra, P. patra; a girdle; a razor, vāsi; a needle, sūci, and a water-strainer, parisrāvaņa, P. parissāvana⁶. The pot is sometimes carried in a kind of net or purse, thavikā, perhaps only by hermits⁷. The razor serves for shaving the hair and the beard. As a rule the brethren shave one another once a fortnight.

In the North the ascetics are in the habit of carrying as a distinctive a staff, khakkhara. The walking stick of an ascetic is also known in the South, under the name of kattara 8.

According to universal Indian custom they use tooth sticks, dantakāṣṭha, P. dantakattha or dantapona.

Another article, the rosary, now so common in all Buddhist communities, does not date from very old times. Whether it was invented in India, is an

open question. It is certainly not of Buddhist origin.

On the residence of the monks the sacred tradition affords much, apparently trustworthy, information. We are told that the Retreat during the rainy season, the Vassavāsa or Vassa, Skr. Vārsika, was instituted in imitation of the same institution with the heterodox sects 10. During that time the monks are forbidden to travel, and have to arrange for themselves places to live in. There are two periods for entering upon Vassa, Vassupanāyikā 11, a longer and a shorter one, the former beginning at full moon of Aṣāḍha; the

4 More details in MV. 2-8. Cp. BIGANDET II, 286; HODGSON Ess. 19.

6 See CHILDERS s. v. parikkhāra and his references. Many more Parikkhāras are

enumerated JPTS. of 1887, p. 27.
7 CV. VIII, 6; Jāt. Intr. p. 55. The Māgadhī-Prākṛt form is thaiyā, Skr. sthagikā

Ananda was the first who skilfully contrived to put together such a robe; MV. VIII, 12. The number of patches varies according to the difference of sects; WASSI-LIEF B. 267.

² Vyu. l. c.; MV. VIII, 15; 20; 23; CV. II, 1; X, 17.

³ Kathina, hard, denotes "raw cotton." The regulations regarding Kathina are minutely set forth MV. VII, 1, and explained in SBE. XIII, 18; XVII, 148 ff. WASSILIEF B. 85; 88; BEAL Cat. 216. Among the N. writings there is a Kathināvadāna, HODGSON Ess, 19. The spreading out of the raw cotton is termed Kathināstaraņa, P. Kathinathāra; Vyu. § 266.

⁵ CV. V, 22; 23. Commonly the leaves of the Tala palm are used as fans. Hence the name of Talapoins given to the monks in Transgangetic India; YULE-BURNELL, Glossary s. v.

⁸ Vyu. § 273; Voy. II, 33. For (kattara)yatthi, °danda see Childers s. v. MV. V, 6; CV. IV, 4; V, 132.

9 CV. X, 31; Voy. II, 55, note.

10 MV. III, 1.

¹¹ Skr. Varsopanāyikā, Divy. 18; 489; Vyu. § 266.

latter one month later; both ending with the full moon of Karttika. With the N. Buddhists the usual period of Retreat was three months, from the first of Sravana to the first of Karttika2.

It is not clear where in the first times of the Order the brethren, apart from the hermits, had their abodes, either during the Retreat or during the other part of the year. It was not necessary that a great number of them lived in the same place, for the half-monthly recital of the Prātimokṣa did not require an assembly of more than four persons. Now-a-days it is customary in Ceylon that the monks during the Retreat leave their monasteries and live in temporary huts. But how to reconcile this with the following statement of Buddhaghoşa3, "They are to look after their Vihāra, to provide food and water for themselves, to fulfil all due ceremonies, such as paying reverence to sacred shrines, etc., and to say loudly once, or twice, or thrice: "I enter upon Vassa in this Vihāra for these three months?" And besides, the avowed object of the institution is to keep the monks from roaming about. Therefore we arrive at the conclusion that the tenor of the regulation comes to this: during the rains the monks must stay in a monastery or any other fixed abode; in other seasons they may do so.

In the beginning, as the tale goes, the monks had no fixed abodes, sayanasana, P. senasana. They dwelt in the woods, at the foot of a tree, on a hill, in a grotto, in a mountain cave, a cemetery, a forest, the open air, on a heap of straw. Now a rich merchant of Rajagrha wished to erect dwellings for the reverends, and the Lord Buddha gave his assent saying: "I allow you, O monks, abodes (layana, lena) of five kinds4: Vihāras, Addhayogas 5, towers (Prāsādas, Pāsādas), stone houses with a flat roof (Harmyas, Hammiyas) and crypts". On hearing from the monks that the Lord had given his assent, the merchant had in one day finished 60 dwelling places. The Lord gave thanks to him by the same stanzas as were uttered by him on accepting the gift of the Jetavana; a circumstance which points to some confusion in the tradition 6.

The very absurdity of the story is interesting, because we may gather from it that edifices as above specified were in possession of the Sangha when the MV. and CV. were composed.

The term Vihāra does not only denote a monastery, but frequently a temple, a striking instance of which is afforded by a passage in Huen Thsang's Travels, and it is quite so, too, in Ceylon, where the word is more generally applied to the place where worship is conducted, whilst the dwelling of a monk is called a l'annasala?. The most unambiguous, if not the most common term for a monastery is Saighārāma. Undoubtedly every great monastery had a Vihāra or temple annexed to it. We know this with certainty of Nālandā, and Sārnāth near Benares8.

² So at least in the 7th century of our era. Voy. II, 492.

3 Cited SBE. XIII, l. c.

6 CV. VI, 9; MV. I, 30; III, 5.

7 Voy. II, 221; HARDY E. M. 129; description of a Vihāra, 200.

8 Voy. II, 355.

¹ MV. III, 2; the translators (SBE. XIII, 300) add in a note: "Very probably this double period stands in connection with the double period prescribed in the Brâhmanas and Sûtras for most of the Vedic festivals."

⁺ MV. I, 30; III, 5; CV. VI, 2.

5 Explained by Buddhaghosa (SBE. XIII, 171) by "suvannavangageha", i. e. a house of gold and tin? CHILDERS s. v. gives "shaped like a garuda bird", which points to a reading suvanna- (or sufanna)- vihanga. Suvanna for Supanna is not unknown to some N. texts.

The crypt or cave, guhā, above alluded to, must be a room wholly or partly excavated in a rock. The oldest crypts known are those of Barābar and Nāgārjuni in Bihār t, which were given by Asoka and his grandson Dasa-

ratha to the Ajīvikas, those inveterate opponents of the Sangha.

The house where the chapter assembles for celebrating the Uposatha is called in general Uposathāgāra, in Simhalese: Poega. A famous Uposatha hall in Ceylon was the Lohapasada or Brazen Tower. — The cells in a monastery are termed in Pali parivena². Occasionally we read of kutis, huts or sheds, inhabited by ascetics.

A matter of considerable interest is the institution of Parishes, simā. Like so many other regulations of the Church, the institution is due to the irrepressible Six monks³ who were as indefatigable evil-doers as the six Tīrthikas. After the Lord had ratified the recitation of the Pratimoksa once every half-month, the Six recited it every one before his own companions. The Master, being informed of it, ordered that the Uposatha should be attended by the whole community. Now the question arose: "how far does the whole community extend?" This was settled by a prescription that it should extend as far as one place of living. Now at that time Kappina4 the Great dwelt near Rājagrha. Plunged in meditation, he felt a doubt: "Shall I go to the Uposatha service or shall I not go?" The Lord understanding the thoughts of Kappina, left the Grdhrakuta and instantly appeared before the eyes of Kappina. Having taken the seat presented to him, the Master asked Kappina, whether he had not felt the doubt just mentioned. On receiving an affirmative answer, the Lord proceeded: "If ye Brahmans do not honour, regard, revere the Uposatha, who then will do it? Attend the Uposatha, Brahman! do not neglect to go 5." Kappina promised to do so, and the Lord appeared again on the Grdhrakūta. Still the monks remained in uncertainty as to the question: "How far does a place of living extend?" Therefore the Buddha prescribed to mark out the boundaries of a parish, sīmā, in this way: first, the marks are to be mentioned, such as a mountain, a stone, a forest, a tree, a road, an ant hill, a river, a water-sheet. This being done, a competent monk has to bring forward a motion that the Sangha may decree to fix by such marks the boundary of a parish for common residence and common celebration of the Uposatha 7. Some abuses partly occasioned by the crossness of the Six were successively remedied.

Reverting to the monasteries, we observe that the most reliable and detailed accounts about the actual state of the Sangharamas in the Middle Ages, both in India and in Ceylon, are due to the Chinese pilgrims. It appears

¹ CUNNINGHAM Corp. Inscr. I, 30; Ind. Ant. XX, 361 ff.

² Hence anupariveniyam, in their successive cells; MV. II, 8.

matters, and of their giving in general a good example.

6 According to the Mahāyānists Śākyamuni is properly always residing on the Gṛdhrakūṭa, and it is only an illusion when men imagine they have seen him in other places; SBE. XXI, 307 ff. Other Indian sects say: the Ātman is kūṭastha.

7 MV. II, 5—9. Cp. the fixing of boundaries of a parish (sīmaṃ sammannatī) as related Dīpav. XIV, 26; Mahāv. pp. 108 ff. Cp. also Manu VIII, 246.

³ Chabbaggiya, in Skr. Sadvargika, Sadvargiya; Divy. 329; 396; 489; Vyu. § 281. Their names § 282 are: Aśvaka, Punarvasu, Nanda, Upananda, Chanda, and Udāyin. In P. sources Assaji and Punabbasu(ka) are directly named as belonging to the group, but it appears from MV. and CV. passim that Nanda, Upananda, Channa and Udayin were obstinate sinners and quite worthy to be reckoned among the company. Assaji is likewise one of the Five, but in Vyu. l. c. (cp. § 47) Asvajit and Asvaka are different persons.

4 In N. writings Kapphina, Kaspina, Kaphina, etc. See SBE. XX, 2; 198.

5 An unequivocal acknowledgment of the precedence of Brahmans in spiritual

that the Āvāsikas, resident monks, those staying at their own monastery, had a life free from care owing to the liberality of the kings and pious laymen. "The regular business of the monks is to perform acts of meritorious virtue, and to recite their Sūtras and to sit wrapt in meditation. When stranger monks arrive, the old residents meet and receive them, carry for them their clothes and alms-bowl, give them water to wash their feet, oil with which to anoint them, and the liquid food permitted out of the regular hours. When (the stranger) has enjoyed a very brief rest, they further ask the number of years that he has been a monk, after which he receives a sleeping apartment with its appurtenances, according to his regular order, and everything is done for him which the rules prescribe2." All this harmonizes with the rules how stranger monks, Agantukas, should be received, as laid down in the P. Vinaya³, which contains likewise minute rules for departing monks, Gamikas, for monks when going their begging round, Pindacārikas, and for those living in the woods, Āraññakas⁴.

It is a matter of course that the conduct of the necessary business in a monastery is almost wholly entrusted to such brethren as are thought fit for the office and in due form appointed by the Sangha⁵. An important office is that of the apportioner of food, Bhattuddesaka, Skr. Bhaktoddesaka. In the days of the Buddha this office was held by Dabba, who at the same time was the regulator of the lodgings, Senāsanapaññāpaka, Sayanāsanavārika6. Other charges said to have been instituted by the Master are: the keeper of stores, Bhandagarika, Bhandagopaka; the recipient of robes, Cīvarapaţiggāhaka, Cīvaragopaka; the distributor of robes, Cīvarabhājaka; of rice gruel, Yāgubhājaka, Yavāgūcāraka; of fruits, Phalabhājaka, Phalacāraka; of hard food, Khajjakabhājaka, Khādyakacāraka; of tritles, Appamattakavissajjaka7; of voting tickets, Salākāgāhāpaka; the keeper of rain-cloaks and bathingclothes, Sāṭiyagāhāpaka or Varṣāśāṭīgopaka; the keeper of alms-bowls, Pattagāhāpaka; the superintendent of the gardeners, Ārāmikapesaka; of the Śrāmaneras, Samanerapesakas. Some other offices the character of which is but partially inferrible from the names occur in N. sources; e. g. the Pānīyavārika, who has charge of drinkable water; the Bhājanavārika, who has charge of the vessels. Less clear is the meaning of Upadhivāra or Upadhivārika, probably an intendant or steward9. The Parisaudāvārika may be the guardian of the grove around the monastery 10, and the Mundasayanasanavarika the person who has to take care of the lodgings temporarily not in use.

Now and then we read of certain offices for which no formal appointment by the Sangha seems to be required. Such an office is that of Navakarmika, P. Navakammika, the overseer of a new building, architect, who is designated on the demand of some lay devotee who wishes to erect a building

¹ Naivāsika is the term Vyu. § 270.

² Fa Hian, Rec. pp. 43, f.

³ Minutely described CV. VIII, 2. In Vyu. § 270 an Agantuka differs from an Agāmika; not unlikely the former is a stranger, a visiting monk; the latter one who returns after a longer or shorter absence; but cp. Divy. 50.

⁴ CV. VIII, 3; 5; 6. 5 Names of functionaries in CV. IV, 4; VI, 21; Vyu. § 274.

O Dabba Mallaputta was a remarkable man; he realized Arhatship when he was seven years old and had to endure much vexation at the hands of the brethren; for his history see the passages referred to by ED. MÜLLER JPTS. of 1888, p. 41.

⁷ Perhaps the same as the bhandabhajaka Vyu. I. c.

⁸ Vyu. l. c. has only presaka.

O Decidedly not 'an attendant', as appears from Divy. 542; cp. 50; 54; 237.

¹⁰ For farwanda cp. Divy. 344.

for the Congregation. The function of a proxy or agent, Veyāvaccakara², may be held by an inmate of the monastery (ārāmika) or a layman³.

In ancient times no distinction in rank was made between the monks, except such a one as is connected with age and superior knowledge. There were, of course, Seniores, Sthaviras, P. Theras, and Juniores, Dahras, Daharas; Upādhyāyas, P. Upajjhāyas or Upajjhas, i. e. tutors, and Sārdhavihārins, P. Saddhivihārins or Saddhivihārikas, fellows+; Ācāryas, P. Acariyas, professors, and Antevāsins, apprentices; but there is no question of anything like hierarchy. This state of things has continued in Ceylon, where, indeed, each monastery has its Mahā-Nāyaka, prior, but he is no more than primus inter pares. This was not exactly the case in India during the Middle Ages, at least in the Mahāyānist establishments. For shortness sake we refer the reader to Huen Thsang5.

The prescriptions in the canonical writings concerning food are framed in such a manner that both the rigorous hermits and the more temperate cenobites may be satisfied. Art. 39, Tit. 5 of the Prātimokṣa says: "If a monk, unless he be sick, shall request for his use, or shall partake of delicacies, to wit: ghee, butter, oil, honey, sugar, fish, meat, milk, curds - it is a Pācittiya sin." This prohibition is not in accordance with the permission to eat fish and meat with the restriction that it should be pure in three respects: unheard, unseen, unsuspected. The restriction being utterly futile, since it is impossible not to suspect how meat and fish are provided by butchers and fishers, the antinomy remains in full force? Yet the origin of such an incongruity, like of so many other antinomies, is not far to seek. It has been the constant study of the Congregation to imitate the morals and customs of fashionable people; they ardently desired to pass for Aryas and therefore made an excessive use of the very word. They began with taking for their models the Brahmans and the Brahman ascetics, though in course of time they did not scruple to spread the most unworthy lies about a class of men of whom intelligent foreigners like the Greeks speak with admiration. Now the use of fish and meat was allowed to Brahmans under certain restrictions8, but the ascetic had to refrain from meat and honey9. The Indians acknowledge that the decreasing use of animal food and complete abstinence is a peculiarity of the Kaliyuga; with other words: a sign of degeneration 10. We may safely assume that the Buddhists followed the prevailing custom of the times, and that in other countries they have done the same it.

The spiritual sons and daughters of Sākyamust earn their living by their own exertion, i. e. they must beg their food, like the Brahmacarins, but with this difference that they are not allowed, as the latter are, to ask for alms by

² Wrongly rendered into would-be Skr. with Vaiyāvṛtyakara, Vyn. § 270; Divy. 52. It should be Vaiyāpṛtyakara.

3 S. Vibh. I, 221. Cp. SBE. XIII, p. 23 for another interpretation.

4 Vyu. § 281; Särdhamviharin in Divy. 18; 299.

I CV. I, 18; VI, 5; Vyu. 270. Occasionally nuns are Navakammikās; S. Vibh.

⁵ Voy. I, 143; II, 78. The title of Karmadāna for the underdirector is probably a mistake. The word itself occurs Vyu. § 281, but not as a title. For the titles of Vihārasvāmin and Mahāvihārasvāmin see Fleet, Corp. Inscr. III, 279; 272.

6 MV. VI, 31; CV. VIII, 3. Cp. above p. 71.

⁷ The Buddha himself is represented as eating the pork expressly prepared for him by Cunda, and thus proved ipso facto that he was no Buddhist.

⁸ Gautama XVIII, 27—38; Apastamba I, 5, 17, 29 ff. Manu V, 27.
9 Apastamba II, 9, 22, 2; Manu VI, 14; a single exception in Gautama III, 31. 10 Cp. Manu V, 22.

¹¹ BIGANDET II, 294; HARDY E. M. 92.

words. The son of Śakya must be calm and dignified in his deportment, after the model traced in a beautiful passage in the history of the Bodhisattva3. The traits of the Bhiksus of ancient India continue partially visible in the Simhalese monks of the present day+.

The use of ghee, butter, oil, honey and sugar is permitted to the members of the Order in time of sickness, by way of medicament⁵. Rules for preparing various kinds of medicaments, as well as medical and surgical operations, are prescribed in the Vinaya⁶, so that we get some notion of the condition of medical science in the period when that part of the canon was composed.

4. THE PRĀTIMOKSA. DISCIPLINARY MEASURES.

The Pratimoksa is divided into eight Titles or heads, each of them containing a greater or smaller number of articles.

The first Title treats of the Pārājikā dhammā⁷, case involving expulsion from the Congregation. It contains in all reductions four articles on the most heinous of offences, to wit: breach of the vote of chastity; theft; taking life; falsely laying claim to superhuman (uttarimanussa) gifts 8.

The second Title has thirteen articles bearing on offences involving suspension and a temporary exclusion. The term is in Pali Sanghādisesa, in Skr. Sanghāvasesa. The agreement between the various reductions is pretty close 10.

The third Title treats in two articles of Undetermined cases, Aniyata dhammā 11.

The fourth Title, headed Nissaggiya Pacittiya dhamma, on offences requiring expiation by forfeiture, numbers thirty articles, somewhat differently arranged in the various redactions, but otherwise nearly identical 12.

- Mil. P. 230.
- ² Deportment is termed Trya, P. triya; the four ways of deportment, Tryapatha, triyapatha are: walking, standing, sitting, lying down; BURNOUF Lot. 296; Intr. 168. सरमध्य मधन
 - 3 Lal. V. 230; Mhv. II, 157.
 - + HARDY E. N. 309; 312.
- 5 MV. VI, 1. Medical requisites are termed gilānafaccaya, Skr. glānafratyayabhai-sajya, Vyu. § 239. The four requisites (faccaya), are civara, findafāta, senāsana and bherajja; consequently virtually the same as the four Niśrayas, with the only difference that the Nissayas are intended for hermits, the Paccayas for monks in general. Sometimes pariskārā is used as a third synonymous term, e. g. Divy. 143. -- For the five sorts of oil see S. Vibh. II, p. 88.
 - 6 MV. VI, 1-15; 17-21.
- 7 Skr. Pārājikā dharmāh, Vyu. § 256. The true etymology of pārājika, adopted by CHILDERS, is due to the sagacity of BURNOUY. The word is a regular Taddhita derivative of a lost substantive paraja or something like it, connected with a verb para + ajati, to drive away, whence p. p. p. parājita. The derivation from parā-jayati, proposed in SBP. XIII, 3, and intended as an improvement upon BURNOUF'S, is grammatically impossible.
 - 8 The offences in Vyu. § 257 are: Abrahmacarya, Adattādāna, Vadha, Uttaramanusya-
- o Vvu. 1. c. 7; Wassilief B. Sz. The Chinese transcription San-ka-hi-shisha (Beal Cat. 210) points to a third form nearly allied to Sanghadisesa. Neither a Skr. Sanghavasesa nor Sanghātisesa, i. e remnant of the Sangha, renders a satisfactory meaning. Equally unsatisfactory are the lucubrations of Childen S. v. The offences specified Vyu. § 258; S. Vibh. I, 110—186. Cp. Beal I. c.

 - 11 Vyn. § 259: Dvav aniyatan.
- 12 For Pacittiva, which answers to Skr. Pravascittika, the N. Buddhists have payattika, an imaginary word, further corrupted by scribes or editors to papattika, papantika. For Nissaggiya the same blunderers give Naisargika; it ought to be Naihsargika, Naissargika. For the names of the offences severally see Vyu. § 260; cp. S. Vibh. I, 195-266; BEAL ор. с. 215; НСТН ор. с.

The fifth Title, on matters requiring expiation, Pacitiva dhamma, comprises ninety-two articles in the P. redaction, whereas the Chinese version and the Vyutpatti number ninety. The contents are highly interesting, as affording a glimpse of some ancient customs among the members of the Order. Here we can only refer the reader to the text itself and the translations.

In the sixth Title are treated the Patidesaniya dhamma, four kinds of

offences which require a simple confession to be expiated.

The seventh Title is a miscellaneous collection of rules of good breeding and deportment, called Sekhiyā dhammā, or Sikṣā. The number of the rules is 75 in P., 100 in the Chinese version, 106 in Vyutpatti³. The greater number in the Chin. version is caused by the addition of 25 articles, from 60-85, relating to decorous deportment in regard to sacred buildings.

The eighth and last Title contains seven rules for settling legal questions or cases, Adhikaraṇasamathā dhammā. The rules are only indicated by technical terms for kinds of sentences pronounced. The terms are: 1. Sammukhāvinaya, application of the disciplinary rule (to the person) in presence; Sativinaya, application of the discipline in case of full consciousness (of the accused); Amulhavinaya, the same in the case of one not being insane; Paţinnāya, sentencing one who confesses (being guilty); Yebhuyyasikā, sentencing by majority of votes; Tassapāpiyyasikā, proceeding against the obstinate; Tinavatthāraka, covering over as by grass+.

The Prātimokṣa for nuns is mutatis mutandis a copy from that for the male members of the Sangha. The number of Titles is equal, but the arrangement of the articles differs considerably without any obvious reason. Many regulations, just as in the Pratimoksa for monks, have their origin in the incessant delicts of Six nuns, who are lewd, and commit other delicts, apparently without lasting damage to their spiritual character and monastic saintliness.

In order to enforce the prescriptions of a Code, no community can do without a system of coercion for well defined cases. The means of coercion at the disposal of the Sangha are not harsh, but quite sufficient. The severest punishment is expulsion from the community. This measure is enacted against those who are guilty of a Pārājika sin. In one case we read that an aspirant for the Order, a Samanuddesaka, is expelled, nāsita5.

A slightly less severe proceeding is the formal banishment, Pabbājaniyakamma, enacted against monks who have committed such a Sanghādisesa

The offences are specified Vyu. § 261.

² In Vyu. § 262 the four Pratidesanīyāni are: Bhiksunīpindakagrahana, Panktivaisamyavādānivāritabhukti, Kulaśikṣābhangapravṛtti, and Vanavicayagata, terms which would be difficult to understand without the more elaborate text of the Code.

3 Vyu. § 263. The 150 Sikkhāpadāni spoken of in Ang. A. I, pp. 230 ff. cannot be identified with the Sekhiya rules, though it may be that the Sikkhapadas of little and minor importance mentioned CV. XI, I refer to them. The long-winded verbiage is

obviously intended to leave the matter in obscurity.

4 Notwithstanding the anecdotes invented after date in CV. IV, 1-14 the real purport of most of the terms cannot be made out. The terms Vyu. § 264 are: Sammukhavinaya, Smrtivinaya, Amūdhavinaya, Yadbhūyasikiya (printed text: Yadbhūyahisikiya), Tatsvabhavaisiya (?), Trnastaraka, Pratijūākāraka. The Chin. interpretation is wholly different or mistranslated, Beal op. c. 238. On comparing CV. I, 2 and 18 we perceive that in case of Sammukhāvinaya the defendant is codetabba; in case of Sativinaya sāretabba; in case of Amulhavinaya avaggena (= amulhena) apattim aropetabba; which does not agree with the anecdotes, but better with grammar.; Sativinaya e. g. is "discipline, chastising, correction by reminding one of his duty." 5 S. Vibh. II, 139. Cp. Vyu. § 265 nāšanīya.

delict as specified in Prātimokṣa, Title II, Art 13. The banishment is not perpetual, but can be repealed, provided the delinquent show repentance2.

It is not easy to make out the difference between banishment and removal, Nissāranā, if there be a real difference3. The removal usually consists in a Parivāsa, a period of living apart, of probation for five or ten days. Should one during that period sin again, one must begin the term anew+. Another sort of penance attached to the commission of a Sanghādisesa sin is the Manatta, consisting in the offender being placed for six days under restraint. The offender himself should duly ask in the full chapter for such a penance after confessing his sin. If he conceals his sin he is subjected to a Parivasa of one day or longer 5.

Against a monk unwilling to acknowledge his fault an act of suspension or temporary excommunication, Ukkhepaniya-kamma, Utkşepaniya, has to be carried out; the restoration after his having become repentant is termed Osāraņā 6.

Other measures of maintaining discipline are the act of warning, Tajjaniya, Tarjanīya; that of putting under tutelage, Nissaya⁷, and that of making amends (to the laity) Paţisāraniya8.

The rehabilitation, Abbhana, of one who has undergone Parivasa or Mānatta, is an important official act which requires an assembly of more than twenty members of the Sangha?.

A very exceptional punishment seems to be the Brahmadanda, which from other sources we know to have been the curse inflicted by a Brahman. Shortly before his Parinirvana the Lord enjoined to Ananda to impose the Brahmadanda on the monk Chanda. Ananda had evidently never heard of such a punishment, for he asked: "But what sort of penalty is the Brahmadanda?" whereupon he received in answer: "Let Channa say whatever he likes, the brethren should neither speak to him, nor exhort him, nor admonish him" 10. This penalty, manifestly consisting in declaring a person socially dead, was afterwards inflicted, with the happy result that Channa felt remorse, mended his ways and ere-long attained Arhatship.

One of the means of discipline is confession. In theory all sins must be confessed at the recital of the Pratimoksa, but as this ceremony takes

S. Vibh. I, 179 ff. = CV. I, 13. Other cases in 14. The term in Vyu. l. c. is Pravāsanīya.

² CV. I, 16.

³ MV. IV, 4. X, 6.

⁴ A Parivasa is also prescribed for followers of heterodox sects who desire admittance to the Saigha. The three other kinds of Par. incurred by committing a Saighādisesa sin are described MV. I, 38; cp. CV. II. III. The throwing back to the beginning of the disciplinary term is called Mulaya patikassana, e. g. CV. I, 9; Mulapa-

karşa, Vyu. § 265. 5 CV. III, 1. The would-be Skr. equivalent in Vyu. 1. c. is Mānāpya, pointing to a Prākṛt original answering to a Skr. mānātmya. The word is obscure; in Pāli it is commented by mānanabhāva.
6 CV. I, 25; 27. MV. I, 79.

⁷ CV. I, 9. 11.

⁸ CV. I, 18-26. The term Vyu. I. c. is Pratisamharaniya. The origin of the P. word is debateable. CHILDERS is decidedly mistaken in deriving the word from smar. In SBE. XVII, 364 it has been connected with sūrānīya, which is unquestionably = Skr. sāmraūjanīya, but this is no decisive proof in case of Patisaraniya: 1. because we should then expect Pratisamraūjaniya in Buddh. Skr., and not Pratisamharanīya; 2. because in Ang. N. II, p. 148 sappaṭisaraṇa means "retrievable, restorable, reparable"; appaṭisaraṇa "irreparable"; Skr. praṭisāraṇa'i is "to put things right again."

9 MV. IX, 4. CV. III, 2. 5. Different and crroneous is the term Abarhana, Vyu. l. c.

¹⁰ MPS. VI, 4; CV. XI, 12. 15.

place only twice a month, and an immediate confession is required, it is deemed sufficient that the sinner makes his confession to an elder brother. So at least is the practice now-a-days in Ceylon and Burma 1.

5. OBJECTS OF WORSHIP. RELICS.

The highest objects of worship for the Buddhist are the Three Jewels, Triratna or Ratnatraya: the Buddha, the Dharma, and the Sangha2.

Besides the Three Ratnas, to which the highest honour is paid, there are other, more material objects of worship, viz. relics of holy persons and monuments erected to their memory by the piety of a grateful posterity. All such objects are Dhātus, distinguished into three classes: Sārīrika, corporeal relics; Uddesika, memorials, and Pāribhogika or Paribhoga-dhātus, objects having served the use of the Buddha, sacred spots, holy trees, and the like3.

One would expect that Dhatus, on account of their very nature, acquire their sacred character after the demise of the person to be commemorated, not before. The theory, in fact, seems to be in accordance with this view, it being doubtful whether the few exceptions date from olden times4. If we may trust a time-honoured tradition, the oldest corporeal relics are the hairs which the Buddha gave to the merchants Trapusa and Bhallika after their conversion 5. That tradition, albeit perhaps apocryphal, is common to the N. and S. and therefore old. In course of time it went on assuming greater proportions, and in the 7th century it was believed that the pious merchants had received from the Lord not only some hairs and nails, but his bowl, stick and three garments.

The Simhalese could claim to the possession of hair relics almost as old as those of the two merchants. It was the handful of hair bestowed by the Jina on Sumanas, the chief of gods, who deposited the relic in a golden casket and enshrined it in a Stupa of sapphire6. In N. India many cities, Kanauj, Oudh, Kauśāmbī, Mathurā, &c. could boast of possessing hairs and nails of the Tathagata with Stūpas receted over the relics?. The relics in Kanauj were far-famed for their miraculous properties.

The chief corporeal relics are those which are properly called Sarīras, i. e. the remains of a corpse after cremation. We have seen how eight Dronas of relics were divided among the faithful, and it behoves us only to add that one tooth is worshipped in heaven, one in Gandhara, one in Kalinga, and one more by the Nagas8. The eye-tooth relic which came to the capital of Kalinga, Kalinganagarī, now-a-days Kalingapatam, but in Buddhistic writings

² See the hymn ed. by Childers JRAS. IV, 318 (New. s.); Frankfurter Handb. 85; and cp. Mhv. I, 290 ff.

¹ HARDY E. M. 145; BIGANDET II, 284.

³ The definition of Uddesika with HARDY E. M. 216 "things which have been erected" does not agree with Jat. IV, 228 = Bodhiv. p. 59, where we read that an Uddesika Dhātu is "inmaterial, merely existing mentally", avatthukam manamattakena; yet it is a cetiya.

⁴ Jat. and Bodhiv. 1. c. An exception is made with the Bodhi tree, which is said to be considered a Caitya both during the life time of the Buddhas (sic) and after their

⁵ Jat. Intr. 81, but the older text MV. I, 4, is silent as to those relies, as well as Lal. V. 500 ff. It is only in the commentary that the bestowal of the hair relic is made mention of; see MINAYEF Recherches I, 160.

⁶ Mahāv. p. 4.
7 Voy. II, 210; 216; 265; 268; 277; 287; 406.
8 MI'S. VI, vss. 63 ff. Cp. above p. 46.

usually named Dantapura, has had an eventful history, commemorated in the Daladāvamsa 1. In the 4th century of our era the holy object was brought to Ceylon, and deposited in the city of Anuradhapura, where a century afterwards it was seen by Fa Hian².

The history of the eye-tooth in Gandhara is extremely confuse. Fa Hian mentions a tooth relic enshrined in a Stūpa at Nagara. Two centuries after it had disappeared, as we are informed by Huen Thsang³. This pilgrim, when visiting Kanauj, saw in that city a tooth relic no less remarkable for its extraordinary qualities than for its almost miraculous history 4. Many other places were in the happy possession of Buddha-teeth, as Bamian, Navavihāra near Balkh, Kapiša 5.

Few countries were so rich in relics as the region of Nagara, South of the Kabul river. In the city of Hidda there was a Stupa which contained the projecting skull bone of the Tathagata, the so-called Uṣṇīṣa. Two other sanctuaries of the same places possessed other pieces of the Uṣṇṣa, and the eye-balls of the Buddhao.

The S. Buddhists were no less favoured with relics than their brethren in the North. Besides the famous tooth relic Ceylon could show a collar bone of the Jina, which the Thera Sarabhū had taken away from the funeral pile and brought to the island?. In a Stūpa at Ruanwelli, the ancient Hemavali, was deposited, among other treasures, a whole Drona of bone relics of Gautama Buddha. The right collar-hone had been brought to the island in the days of Asoka, by the Srāmanera Sumana, who had received it from Indra in heaven 8.

Bone relics of the more ancient Tathagatas are rare. We only find that all the bones of Kāsyapa Buddha were deposited under a Stūpa at Srāvastī. Much more numerous are remants of the Disciples and other Saints. Near Vaisālī Fa Hian saw a Stūpa raised over one half of Ananda's body, the other half having remained as a relic in Magadha 10. The city of Mathura possessed Stūpas erected in honour of Sāriputra, Maudgalyāyana, Pūrņa-Maitrāyanīputra, Upāli, Ananda and Rahula, with their relics. The nails and beard of the patriarch Upagupta, as famous with the N. Buddhists as unknown to the South, were honoured in the same city. Moreover there was a Stūpa erected over the relics of Manjusti and other Bodhisattvas . A Stupa in a wood of the Konkan contained the remains of Srutavimsati-koți12. The entire body of Kāsyapa the Great rests in a deep chasm of the hill named Kukkuţapāda 13.

- r Composed about 310 A. D. and translated into Pāli ± 1200 A. D. under the title of Dāthāvamsa.
- 2 Rec. p. 104. The shrine for the relic, the Dalada Maligava, is described in SMITHER Archit. Remains.
 - 3 Rec. p. 38; Voy. II, 97.
 - + Voy. 1, 248.
 - 5 Voy. I, 65; 70; 374; II, 53.
 - o Rec. Chap. XIII; Voy. I, 77; II, 102.
 - 7 Mahāv. p. 4. The older chronicle does not mention this relic.
 - 8 Dipay. XV, 15; XVII, 10; Mahav. pp. 110; 115.
 - 9 Voy. I, 126; Rec. 63.
- 10 Rec. 72; 76. Cp. Tar. p. 9.
 11 Voy. II, 208; I, 104. Since Bodhisattvas from their very nature must be supposed to be still alive, we ought properly not to speak of "relics".
- 12 Voy. III, 148. The name is wrong and should be Śronakoţivimśa; Vyu. § 47; Tib. L. 283; Pali: Sonakolivisa, whose history is told MV. V, I, in the main agreeing with
- 13 Rec. 93, where some inaccuracies committed by Legge need not be pointed out. Cp. Voy. III, 7.

If we keep to the threefold division of relics, we must comprise under the head of Paribhoga-dhatus all objects having served the use of Buddhas and Saints, be it a garment, a bowl, a stick, or a tree, a shrine, &c. Such objects are no less worshipped than the bone relics, and like the latter, possessed with miraculous power. It is difficult to determine in what period those holy remains commenced to be religiously venerated, but there is no doubt that long before the beginning of the Middle Ages that worship was already fully developed both in the North and in the South.

When Fa Hian was on his pilgrimage, he saw near Nagara Buddha's staff, made of sandalwood and 16 or 17 cubits long, from which circumstance we may deduce that the size of Gautama Buddha was more than gigantic. Not far from that place there was a shrine in which the pilgrim saw Buddha's Sanghāți; his countryman Huen Thsang found there both the Sanghāți and

the Kāṣāya².

The alms-bowl of the Tathagata was at the time of Fa Hian kept in Peshawer. This miraculous relic, to which the common people were in the habit of making their offerings, was seen and has been described by the pilgrim. Two centuries later it was in the possession of the king of Persia 3. Tradition says that the bowl originally was kept in Vaisāli, and according to a prophecy heard by Fa Hian in Ceylon, the relic would in the following centuries travel to Tokharistan, Khotan, Karachar, China, Ceylon, India, and finally to the heaven of the Tusita-gods 4.

The Simhalese chronicle Dipavamsa mentions several Paribhoga relics, as the drinking vessel of the Buddha Kakusandha, the girdle of Konagamana, the bathing cloth of Kassapa, and that of Gautama; the latter's girdle was

preserved in the Kayabandhana-Cetiya 5.

In S. India, at Konkanapura, there was in the 7th century a Vihāra which possessed the head-dress worn by Siddhartha when a boy. It was on Sabbath-days exhibited to the believers who honoured it with offerings of flowers⁶. The Chinese pilgrim to whom we owe this information, saw in Bamian the iron pot and the mantle of the patriarch Sanavasika. The mantle was made from hemp, sana, and had a reddish colour. During 1500 births the garment had been born with Sanavasika, and it would remain in existence until the Law of the Buddha would be extinguished. And, in fact, at the time of the pilgrim's visit the habit showed traces of wear and tear?

Relics of a non-descript kind, albeit not the less remarkable, because so eminently characteristic, are the shadow relics. In many places believers were shown some cavern where the Buddha or Bodhisattva had left his shadow; e. g. near Kauśāmbī, Gayā, Nagara. Huen Thsang did not succeed in his endeavours to see the shadow relic near Kauśambi, though the cavern itself still existed8. He was more fortunate at Gaya, where he saw the relic formerly already admired and described by his country-man Fa Hian as "the

¹ Rec. p. 39. This agrees pretty much with the S. tradition; see above p. 62. ² Rec. l. c.; Voy. II, 103.

³ Rec. 35; Voy. I, 106.

⁴ Rec. 109.

⁵ Dīpav. XV, 51; XVII, 9; Mahāv. p. 105.

⁶ Voy. III, 147.

⁷ Voy. I, 49. The relic is a produce of etymology, Sāṇa-vāsin meaning "having a hemp garment." By the aid of the P. form Sāṇavāsasambhūta we understand why the habit was born with the Saint, for the compound can be interpreted as "sprung into existence conjointly with a garment of hemp." Another form in P. is Saṇavāsi Sambhuto; Dīpav. IV, 50; V, 22. Cp. Tib. L. 308.

8 Voy. II, 286.

shadow of Buddha, rather more than three feet in length, which is still bright at the present day "." Still more renowned was the shadow relic near Nagara. In a cavern inhabited by the Naga Gopala the Buddha had left his shadow immediately on his reaching extinction2. At the entrance of the cavern there were visible two square stones with the Tathagata's footprints marked by the Wheel.

We shall revert to such footprints and other holy remains after a brief survey of the sacred buildings and images, which require a separate treatment.

6. SANCTUARIES OF DIFFERENT KINDS. STŪPAS. TEMPLES. IMAGES.

The monuments of Buddhist sacred architectural and sculptural art have been the object of unwearied research, and deservedly so, because they constitute a most interesting part of Indian archaeology. In a compendious book like this we can only touch upon that interesting subject in so far as it is more directly connected with worship, for the rest referring the reader to a selection of standard works and important papers, happily not rare, bearing on the matter 3.

The most general name for a sunctuary is Caitya, P. Cetiya, a term not only applying to buildings, but to sacred trees, memorial stones, holy spots, images, religious inscriptions. Hence all edifices having the character of a sacred monument are Caityas, but not all Caityas are edifices.

Among the buildings of a sacred nature the most prominent are the Vihāra and the Stūpa. Vihāra, as we have had occasion to remark, designates both a monastery or abode of the living Buddha and a sanctuary with images, though the latter acceptation is hardly admissible for the olden times. The distinction between a Caitya and a Vihāra, such as it is made by the Nepalese, would, at first sight, seem somewhat arbitrary. They call a sanctuary of Adibuddha or of the Dhyani-Buddhas, which has the form of a heap of rice, a Caitya, but the temples of Sakya and the other of the 7 Manusi-Buddhas, as well as those of other Saints, Vihāras4. From the detailed description of the Nepalese Caityas it is perfectly clear that those domes or mounds of brickwork are real Stūpas 5.

The Stūpa, P. Thūpa is often in a loose way identified with the Dagob, P. Dhātugabbha, Skr. Dhātugarbha, or shorter: Garbha. Strictly speaking the

Rec. 88. According to Voy. II, 458 it was the Bodhisattva who left his shadow.

² Rec. 39; Vov. I, 81; Il, 99.

³ Such works and papers are: CUNNINGHAM, Bhilsa Topes; Stupa of Bharhut; Mahābodhi; Archaeological Survey; — FERGUSSON, History of Indian Architecture; Description of the Amaravati Tope (JRAS, 1868); Age of the Indian Caves and Temples (Ind. Ant. 1872); Tree and Serpent Worship. — Burgess, Buddhist Stūpas of Amarāvatī and Jaggayyapeta; Arch. Surv. of S. India, Nr. 3; of W. India Nr. 9. — FERGUSSON and Burgerss Cave temples of India. and Burgess, Cave temples of India; — RAJENDRA-LALA MITRA, Buddhagayā. — LEITNER, Graeco-Buddhist Sculptures (Ind. Ant. 1873). — V. SMITH, Graeco-Roman influence on the Civilisation of Ancient India. - SMITHER, Architectural Remains, Anuradhapura. -REA, South Indian Buddhist Antiquities. — A comprehensive account of Buddhist Archaeology is found in Prof. Grunwedel's "Buddhistische Kunst in Indien (1893)"; cp. Foucher, L'Art bouddhique dans l'Inde d'après un livre récent (Rev. Hist. Rel. 1895).

⁴ Hodgson Ess. 49; 52. The idea underlying the distinction is, in our view, this: Vihāra is the abode of Buddhas when living or supposed to be alive or present; Caitya, al. Stūpa, the abode of a Buddha merely living in the memory of men; it is a memorial.

5 Hodgson, l. c.; 30; Wright, Hist. of N. 16; Pl. IV; IX; X.

Dagob is only a part of the Stūpa, being the shrine in which the holy relic is deposited, the arca of the sanctuary. Now as most Stupas are erected over relics, they may be called Dagobs. Still not all Stūpas contain relics 1.

It is more than probable, and, indeed, almost universally admitted that Stūpas originally are grave-mounds of illustrious persons. What we read of the commands of the Tathagata to Ananda, and of the solemnities after the cremation, in connection with what we know from non-Buddhist sources2, leaves no doubt regarding the primitive character of this kind of sanctuary. Even the outward shape of the Stupa shows its affinity to the grave-mound; the dome answers to the tumulus, the railing to the fencing or circle of stones, the top or palus to the stake or column on the grave3.

The Stūpas, such as we see them represented in the sculptures of Sānchi and Bharhut show a square or circular base, either with or without a railing (sūcaka, sūcī). On the base is placed a dome surmounted by a graduated inverted pyramid which is connected with the dome by a short neck, gala, to use the Nepalese term. The whole is surmounted by an umbrella, or by two umbrellas one above the other. The umbrellas are hung with garlands

and flags.

The Dagob in the cave temple of Kārli is of the same type. It is a dome slightly stilted on a circular drum, and surmounted by a headpiece similar to an inverted pyramid, on which are still visible the remains of a wooden umbrella.

The Stūpas in Ceylon, as well as the oldest Caityas in Nepal are of the same description. A few Sin halese Dagobs have a dome shaped like a bell⁵, but the most approved form is that of a water-bubble surmounted by three umbrellas, one of the gods, the second of men, the third of final Deliverance or Nothingness⁶.

It is known that the Buddhists themselves attach a symbolical meaning to the Stupa or parts of it. The two, three, five, seven, nine, and thirteen umbrellas, and the gradations of the inverted pyramid suggest divisions of the universe?. Both the N. Buddhists and their brethren in the S. see in certain Stūpas symbolical representations of Mount Meru. Considering that the Prasadas or towers show a multiplicity of stair-like divisions, e. g. the Mahal Prasada at Pollanarua in Ceylon, we venture to surmise that the more composite type of Stūpas, as at Mengyun in Burma⁸ and at Boro-Budur in Java with their graduated terraces owe their development to a blending of the characteristics of the Dagob and the Prāsāda.

In the days when the Chinese pilgrims visited India, the country abounded with Dagobs and other Stūpas of which now the ruins alone are left, if they have not entirely disappeared. It is noteworthy that Huen Thsang more

3 Rgveda X, 13: Sthunā.

6 Mahāv. pp. 175; 190; 193.

8 SLADEN, On the Senbyun Pagoda at Mengun, in JRAS. IV, 408 ff. (New s.). Cp. the Universe and the Meru represented in WADDELL Buddh. of Tib. 79.

Many Stupas were erected merely as monuments on the spot where some memorable event had occurred. Near Benares stood a Stupa on the spot where the Buddha preached his first sermon; not far from it another Stūpa commemorative of 500 Pratyekas who there entered Nirvāṇa; Voy. II; 355.

² MBhārata I, 150, 13; COLEBROOKE Ess. p. 108, Ep. Ind. II, 313.

⁴ CUNNINGHAM, Bhilsa Topes Pl. III; XIII; Bharhut Pl. XIII; XXXI. 5 See Leemans Boro-Boudour p. 391, and the references there.

⁷ The great cosmical Stupa produced by the miraculous power of Śakyamuni in Lot. Chap. XI is marked by a series of umbrellas rising upwards to the heaven of the gods of the four quarters.

than once records the ruined state of monasteries and shrines which two centuries before showed no traces of decay. The great Stūpa of Peshawer, which on account of its height, more than 400 cubits, must have been a Stūpa of the more composite type, had already thrice been damaged by fire before the pilgrim visited the country?

The foundation of the great Stūpa at Peshawer dates from the reign of Kaniska. The Tope of Mānikiāla³ may belong to the same period. Older, if tradition may be trusted, were two Stūpas erected on a hallowed spot near Puṣkalāvatī, ascribed to Asoka. Decidedly apocryphal is the story that two other Stūpas of precious stones had been founded by the gods Brahmā and Indra. Huen Thsang saw only the ruins of those wonderful buildings⁴. As little credit deserves the fiction, common to both divisions of the Church, that Asoka built 84000 Stūpas or Vihāras all over India⁵. The pilgrims add that the king did so after he had opened seven of the eight Stūpas which had been reared after the Lord's Parinirvāṇa. The only Stūpa not opened by him was that of Rāmagrāma, where a pious posterity had erected several Stūpas and monasteries when the pilgrims visited the place⁶.

The memorial edifices and the Vihāra at Sārnāth near Benares, still entire in the 7th century, are now in ruins?. It is not a little curious that the temple at that place, annexed to the ruined tower, is at present in the possession of the Jains.

Stūpas were dedicated not only to persons, but sometimes to the sacred books. In Mathurā there were such buildings reared in honour of Śāriputra, Maudgalyāyana and Ānanda; others dedicated to the Abhidharma, the Vinaya, and the Sūtras⁸.

At Kapilavastu stood a few stupas on memorable spots, as well as some monasteries, but the region is described by Ira Hian as "a great scene of empty desolation." The place shown to Huen Thsang as the site of Kapilavastu was as dreary as two centuries before?

In Magadha Stupas were not wanting in the Middle Ages, though that original home of Buddhism was comparatively richer in monasteries, and especially in legends ¹⁰.

The most renowned and oldest Thupa in Ceylon is the Mahāthūpa, dating from the time of Duttha-Gāmani. It was built over Buddha's footprint at the N. of Anurādhapura, and had, according to Fa Hian, a height of 300 cubits. By the side of this tope, the foundation whereof is represented in the chronicles as a most important event 11, stood the splendid monastery

¹ E. g. in Gandhara; Voy. I, 84; II, 105. Cp. Rec. 34.

² Voy, III, 112.

³ Wilson Ariana Antiqua 55; cp. Arch. Surv. XIV, 1 ff. The ruins have been identified with the Stupa of Simhapura mentioned in Voy. I, 164.

⁴ Voy. II, 120.

⁵ Dipay. VI, 96; Mahāv. p. 185; Rec. 69; 78; Voy. II, 325 ff. 417; 420; Tar. 36. Cp. Divy. 379; 402; the King's motive for opening the mounds was to distribute the relis; there is no question of "destroying", as the Chinese seem to have understood.

o Rec. 70; Voy. II, 334.

⁷ Rec. 94; Voy. II, 355 ff.

⁸ Rec. 44 ff. Slightly different is the account in Voy. II, 209, affirming that the relics of Sariputra, Upāli and Pūrṇa-Maitrāyaṇiputra were preserved in Stūpas, these three Disciples being honoured by the students of the Abhidharma, the Vinaya and the Sutras, severally.

⁹ Rec. 64 ff. Voy. II, 309.
10 Rec. 80 ff. Voy, II, 417 ff.

¹¹ Rec. 102; Dipav. XIX, 2-10; Mahāv. p. 172; Saddh. S. p. 47. Cp. Knighton in JASB. XVI, 222.

of Abhayagiri, so famous in the ecclesiastical history of the island. Other Thupas in Ceylon which have retained something of their ancient grandeur are the Thūpārāma and the Thūpa at the Jetavana monastery1. To the east of Abhayagiri, on the Cetiya hill, identified with Mihintale, was constructed the Silāthūpa2. It is a matter of course that Ceylon abounded with Vihāras and Prāsādas, some of which appear to have been remarkable, but judging from the remains, Simhalese architecture never reached the development the art shows in India and in other countries where Buddhism was introduced by the Mahāyānists, as in Camboja and Java.

Passing to Buddhist iconography, we commence with repeating the often made remark that images of the Buddha are wholly absent from the older sculptures at Sanchi and Bharhut. Even in cases where the presence of the Lord must be presupposed, it is indicated by symbols, footprints, a wheel, a seat or altar above which an umbrella with garlands. A scene on the sculptures of Bharhut represents Ajātaśatru kneeling before the footprints of the Lord whereas the inscription distinctly says: "Ajātaśatru pays his homage to the Lord"3. There are many other instances which go far to prove that images of the Buddha and their being worshipped date from a period posterior to Aśoka4.

There is no lack of legends anent the origin of Buddha images, but it would be difficult to discover in those tales, which are wholly discordant, something like an historical nucleus. Nothing definite results from those legends, except the fact that images of the Tathagata were venerated by the faithful at the time of the tales being invented. If the dates found on the numerous inscriptions added to representations of Buddha and Mahāvīra at Mathurā refer to the Saka era, which is probable, the custom of honouring the founder of the creed by images must have been common in the first century of our era. As to the model which has served for the Buddha type, it is not safe to speak with overmuch confidence. One of the oldest Buddha images bears the inscription: Bhagavato Pitāmahasya. Now Pitāmaha is a well-known epithet of Brahma, whose lotus-seat, Padmasana, has become a common feature of the sitting Tathagata7. Yet it is by no means necessary to assume that only one type has been taken for a model; the less so because the influence of the Greeks on Buddhist art is unmistakable. That influence, which has preeminently left its mark on the sculptures of the kingdom of Gandhara, is supposed to have lasted from the beginning of our era or thereabouts downward to the 4th century.

The Buddha type on the Gandhara sculptures is more Greek than Indian, and has therefore not been able to gain the upper-hand. The Indian type, the common one both in the N. and in the S., though in its best

² Dīpav. XIX, 3; cp. Rec. 107. 3 Pl. XVI; cp. Cunningham's text p. 114.

HARDY E. M. 220; KNIGHTON, op. c. Pl. II and III. A full description of the remains of those Thupas with accompanying Plates is found in SMITHER, op. c.

⁴ FERGUSSON in JRAS. VIII, 42 (New s.). Cp. WADDELL op. c. 13.
5 In the tale of Divy. 545 ff. the name Rudrāyana is a corruption of Udayana. At
Srāvastī Fa Hian was told that it was Prasenajit of Kosala who had caused the image to be carved in sandal wood; Rec. 57. In Voy. II, 284 the same story is told of Udayana, but in another passage, p. 296, a similar image is ordered by Prasenajit. The Simhalese say that an image of Gautama was caused to be made by the King of Kosala, consequently Prasenajit; HARDY E. M. 199.

⁶ CUNNINGHAM Arch. Surv. III, 30—37.
7 CUNNINGHAM op. c. III, PL XVIII; and cp. the description of Buddha-statues in Brhat-Samhitā LVIII, 44; Hemādri, Cintāmani II, 1, 119; 1037.

specimens far from inartistic, betrays the care of the artists to indicate the

canonical Laksanas of a Mahāpuruṣa¹.

All the evidence collected tends to leave the impression that the beginnings of the worship of Buddha images fall somewhere in the first century B. C. or later, and it is quite certain that A. D. 400 the fables about the first representations of the Lord were accepted as time-hallowed truths. The pious Chinese travellers repeatedly describe or mention statues and other images. Fa Hian saw in Sānkāsya a standing Buddha, 10 cubits in height, and so did Huen Thsang². The latter had occasion to admire at Peshawer, not far from the great Stūpa of Kaniska, a statue of the Tathāgata in white stone, 18 feet high. The statue was miraculous; at night-time it was in the habit of leaving its place and of walking around the Stupa. The numerous images in the smaller shrines were richly adorned and of highly finished workmanship; they had the marvellous quality of emitting musical sounds and exquisite scents3. In the Deer-park near Benares the Vihāra was adorned with a brass statue of the Tathagata turning the Wheel of the Law4.

Images of the Buddha in a recumbent posture, representing his entering final Extinction, are more than once made mention of. At Bamian there was a colossal image of that discription, measuring about 1000 feet5. Another representation of the Nirvana was seen by Huen Thsang on the hallowed

spot between the Sal trees near Kusanagara 6.

Painted images of the Tathagata, certainly far from rare in the Middle Ages, are but occasionally mentioned. A highly artificial and wonder-working specimen at Peshawer was exhibited to Huen Thsang, who gives a circumstantial description of the picture and the legend connected with it?. Not far from the great Stūpa where this picture excited the admiration of the pilgrim, he saw two images, one 4, the other 6 feet in height, representing the Buddha sitting cross-legged under the Bodhi tree.

The Tathagatas who preceded Sakyamuni were not totally forgotten by the pious believers. In several places the statue of Śākya was accompanied by the images of his three or six last predecessors8. In still greater veneration than those past Buddhas was held both in the N. and in the S. the Bodhisattva Maitreya, the future Saviour. Perhaps the most remarkable of his images was the huge statue of gold-coloured wood, 90 cubits high, in a valley near the ancient capital of Udyana. The Bodhisattva has not yet appeared on earth, and so the artificer who wished to make his portrait was taken up to the Tusita heaven through the Rddhi of the Arhat Madhyantika. After observing the height, complexion and appearance of Maitreya, the artificer returned to the earth and made the likeness of the "The kings of the countries vie with one another in Bodhisattva in wood. presenting offerings to it?."

The veneration of the N. Buddhists for the Bodhisattvas Avalokitesvara and Mañjusrī was not inferior to the honour they paid to Maitreya. We

¹ See the remarks on both types by Prof. Grünwedel, op. c. 80; 120 ff.; 133.

² Rec. 50; Voy. II, 238. Cp. CUNNINGHAM op. c. XI, 22 ff.

³ Voy. II, 111.

⁺ Voy. II, 355.
5 Voy. II, 38.
0 Voy. II, 334. Fa Hian, who likewise visited the spot, makes no mention of the image; Rec. 70.

⁷ Voy. I, 110. 8 Voy. I, S4; 133; 205; FLEET, Corp. Inser. III, 262.

⁹ Rec. 25; Voy. II, 149. Visits to the Tusitas to see Maitreya occurred even in later times; a signal instance is the visit paid by Gunaprabha, who lived in the 7th century.

know from the records of Fa Hian that in his time the Mahāyānists in Mathurā were in the habit of presenting offerings to the Prajhā-Pāramitā, to Mañjuśrī and to Avalokiteśvara. Two centuries afterwards the number of statues of Avalokiteśvara was immense. In Kapiśa, in Udyāna, in Kashmir, at Kanauj, at Gayā, at the Kapota monastery in Mahārāṣṭra, we meet with the miraculous statues of this most popular and helpful Bodhisattva? The monstrosity of representing Avalokiteśvara with a plurality of faces, a circumstance connected with his surname of Samantamukha, is nowhere mentioned by the Chinese pilgrim, and may be an outgrowth of a later period.³.

Manjustrī was honoured, as we have seen, in Mathurā, where — mirabile dictu — his relics were preserved in a Dagob, but no mention is made of statues. Now-a-days he is often represented with four hands; the image of Manjustrī erected by Ādityavarman in Java in Saka 1265 is free from any deformity.

Since the introduction of the Dhyāni-Buddhas into the Pantheon of the N. Buddhists, these personifications have received their due share of reverence; pictorial and other images of them, of their Tārās and their sons are extremely common, in Nepāl, Tibet and Mongolia. The faces and shapes of the Dhyāni-Buddhas show the usual Buddha type; their lotus-seats are marked by the variety of their Vāhanas: lions, elephants, horses, Hamsas, and Garuḍas being the supporters of Vairocana, Aksobhya, Ratmasambhava, Amitābha, and Amoghasiddhi, severally. Further the five are marked by the differences in of the hand, mudrā, and, when paint or colours are used, by differences in colour. The Tārās have the same colour as the Buddhas to whom they belong; likewise the Bodhisattvas, their sons. These are represented in a standing posture5.

7. BODHI TREES. THE THRONE OF ENLIGHTENMENT. SACRED FOOTPRINTS AND SPOTS. THE WHEEL.

The holy tree of Wisdom, which plays an important part in all mythologies, is a real Caitya. Systematically it is classed as a Paribhoga Caitya, but originally such trees are, of course, Uddeśaka⁶. The reverence paid by the Buddhists to the Bodhi trees goes doubtless back to ancient times; it is decidedly older than the custom of setting up images, and very likely the dogmatically transformed survival of a primitive heathen religion.

The sculptures of Bharhut show us the Bodhis of six out of the seven last Buddhas, to wit, those of Vipassi, Kassapa, Konagamana, Kakusandha, Vessabhū, and Šākyamuni⁷. Śākyamuni⁸ sholy fig-tree with the seat of Enlightenment, the Bodhimanda, at the foot, is more than once figured in the bas-reliefs. The most elaborate representation shows two umbrellas over the tree with streamers between the boughs. Two winged figures holding

² Voy. I, 88; 141; 172; II, 45; 141; 182; 249; III, 151.

¹ Rec. 46; 112.

³ Eleven heads, 100 thousand hands etc. are the attributes of A. in Kāranda-Vyūha; Burnouf Intr. 225. Cp. Arch. Surv. of W. India, Nr. 9, Pl. XXIV; WADDELL op. c. 15; 357; JRAS., 1894, 51 ff. where twenty-two forms are described. 4 Cp. the picture in WADDELL op. c. 12. 5 Hodgson Ess. 40; WRIGHT, Hist. of Nepāl Pl. VI; cp. Burnouf Intr. 116; WAD-

⁵ HODGSON Ess. 40; WRIGHT, Hist. of Nepal Pl. VI; cp. Burnouf Intr. 116; WADDELL, op. c. 349; cp. 335; LEEMANS Boro-Boudour 448.

6 Udd. 5a- or uddesaka-vrks1- and uddesya-pādapa. Cp. Minayef Recherches I, 175.

⁷ Pl. XXIX and XXX. The trees of these Buddhas are specified in Buddhav. 106; 127; 122; 118; 114; 131 (quoted Intr. Jāt.).

garlands occupy the two upper corners, and under them are seen two male figures, considerably taller than the former, and in a standing posture, but without reaching the ground. The attitude of both marks their astonishment. The trunk of the tree is encircled by columns, and at the foot we perceive a seat before which two persons of common stature are kneeling with the clasped hands stretched out. Behind one of them is standing a female figure, and behind the other a Naga king with crossed arms. The Bodhimanda resembles a square stone altar. One of the bas-reliefs shows four seats, those of the four last Buddhas2.

The original seat of Śākyamuni under the Pipal at Gayā, where all past Buddhas have reached perfect Enlightenment, and all future Buddhas will reach, is called by Huen Thsang Vajrāsana, Diamond seat. It was in his time protected by brickwork; at present the sanctuary consists of steps round a Pipal standing on a terrace raised 30 feet above the ground3. The Bodhimanda, or Narasimhāsana, is held to be the centre of the earth4. The southern branch of the original tree Bodhi was brought to Ceylon, it is said, by the Theri Sanghamitta, daughter to Asoka, and planted in the Mahameghavana. Eight shoots produced in a most miraculous manner were transferred to different places in the island, and from these again sprung thirty-two trees. The history and prehistory of the Bodhi tree forms the subject of a work with literary pretensions, the Mahabodhivamsa⁶.

We discover in the sculptures of Bharhut fewer delineations of footprints than of Bodhis; still there are some instances. On the bas-relief representing Ajātasatru's homage to the Lord are visible two footprints marked by a wheel, and symbolically indicating the presence of the Lord. It is generally known that out of the numerous footprints which the Tathagata has left on earth there is none so famous as the Supada on the Sumana or Adam Peak?. Tradition avers that when the Jina came to Ceylon he planted one foot at the South of Anuradhapura, and the other on the top of a mountain, the two being 15 Yojanas apart. Such was the account already current when Fa Hian visited the island. This most celebrated Srīpāda, regarded by the Sivaites as the footstep of Siva, and by the Mohammedans as Adam's, whilst the Buddhists claim it as the impressions of the Lord's foot, is described as a superficial hollow more than 5 feet long and 21/2 feet wide.

Still more gigantic was the footprint left by the four last Buddhas in the

I Pl. XXX; cp. Cunningham's text p. 114. The two tall figures must be gods, for their feet do not touch the earth. It appears from the description of the Mahathupa (Mahāv. pp. 172 ff.) that the image of Buddha sitting on the Bodhimanda was flanked by Brahma and Indra; hence we infer that the two figures represent those two gods.

On the manner of whorshipping the Bodhi see Minayer Recherches I, 175.

2 Pl. XXXI; text p. 112. Cp. Voy. II, 106; FLETT, Corp. Inscr. III, 262.

3 Voy. II, 458; cp. I, 130. RĀJENDRA LĀL MITRA, Buddha Gayā p. 92; the Vajrāsana Pl. XLIII. Cp. Pincott in Trans. 9th Cong. of Or. I, 245–251.

4 Lal. V. 475; sabbabuddhānam puthavīnābhi-mahābodhimando, Bodhiv. p. 79 = Jāt. IV,

p. 232; it is the seat of "all Buddhas." - A N. addition to the confession of faith, as mentioned by Minayer Recherches I, 177, runs thus: aham amukanāmā, imām velām upādāya yavad a bodhimandanisadanat: i. e. until attaining full Enlightenment, becoming a Buddha.

⁵ Dīpav. XVII, 20; Mahāv. XVIII; XIX; Bodhiv. 153 ff. S. Vibh. I, pp. 335 ff. Cp. Dīckson JRAS. VIII, 62 (New s.)

[°] See STRONG in his Intr. VIII, ff. A part of this work is simply a repetition of the Kälingabodhi-Jātaka, Nr. 479. We learn from both sources that during the lifetime of the Buddha a ripe fruit of the Bodhi tree was planted at Śrāvastī by Ānanda; hence it was called "Anandabodhi."

⁷ This curiosity has often been described; see BURNOUF Lot. 622 and the authors there quoted; cp. HARDY M. of B. 212. Tar. 264 calls the sacred footprint Śrīpādukā. 8 Rec. 102; Mahav. p. 7. A Sivapada is mentioned by Barth, Inser. Camb. I, 33.

Indo-arische Philologie III. 8.

Deer-park. Huen Thsang, who saw that footprint with his own eyes, records that the length of the footstep was 500 feet with a depth of 7 feet. Very small in comparison were the prints of the Lord's feet which the same traveller saw in the neighbourhood of Pāṭaliputra. They measured I foot 8 inches in length, and 6 inches in width. But the fact becomes somehow intelligible when we read that these footprints were left by the Buddha in the moment that he was about to be extinguished?

Sacred footsteps were seen in various other places, e. g. in Udyāna on a large stone on the N. bank of the Swat. It possessed the striking peculiarity that it was long or short according to the ideas of the beholder³

The Nepalese call drawings of the feet of Buddha and Mañjuśrī pādukā. The feet of Buddha are marked with some tree-like figures, those of Mañjuśrī with a half-closed eye, evidently the moon 4.

The origin and history of the Srīpādas are as yet wrapt in darkness, but we have sufficient data to warrant the inference that their worship is connected with the strides, vikrama, of Puruṣottama, Viṣṇu. The sacredness of the places where such marks are seen is, from a Buddhist standpoint, not justified, the truly hallowed spots being those where, according to the Scriptures, the Tathāgata abode when walking on earth. Such spots were preeminently the four places of pilgrimage as indicated by the Lord himself to Ānanda, and further the scenes of his blessed career in Magadha and adjacent countries. An interesting description of the hallowed spots near Gayā is given by Fa Hian, whose soher but perfectly reliable account is completed by the more pompous narrative of Huen Thsang5.

Almost as rich as Gayä in sacred spots and legends from ancient times was Benares. There people were able to indicate the place where the Bodhisattva who once would become Sakyamuni received the prediction of his future Buddhahood. There, too, was the spot where Maitreya received the same prediction from the Buddha of the present period. It is not easy to understand how Maitreya, who has not yet descended on earth, can have received that prediction in the Deerpark. The difficulty increases when we learn from the same source that Sakyamuni was seated on the Grdhrakūṭa, when he announced to the monks that in an age to come would appear the future Buddha Maitreya, having a body shining as gold and shedding a bright lustre. Has our Chinese authority confounded two traditions? Or are we in the presence of a mystery, not to say of a mystification?

Apart from the four canonical places of pilgrimage, several other localities where the Teacher had sojourned were dear to the mind of the believer? The authority of Scripture was not always required to seal the sacredness of some spot; in case of need tradition stepped in and gave its sanction. Thus the Simhalese would show a spot where the Jina of yore had sat in the shadow of a Rājāyatana tree; the tree and the seat were worshipped as Paribhoga Cetiyas 10.

```
<sup>1</sup> Voy. I, 133; II, 358.
```

² Voy. I, 138.

³ Rec. 29; Voy. I, 86. 4 WRIGHT op. c. Pl. VII.

⁵ Rec. 87-90; Voy. II, 455 ff.

⁶ Voy. II, 356 ff.

⁷ When men will have a lifetime of eighty-thousand years.

⁸ A similar contradiction in case of Maitreya occurs in Lot. I, 94 and p. 186. 9 A list of papers on newly discovered or identified holy spots is given by BARTH Bull. Rel. de l'Inde of 1883—1884, p. 6.

¹⁰ Dipay. II, 50.

The Wheel of the Law, Dharmacakra, rather a symbol than a relic, is nevertheless an object of worship. One of the sculptures of Bharhut represents the Lord's Dhammacakka adorned with a strip of cloth, under an umbrella in a shrine. On each side is standing a male person with crossed arms. The lower part of the scene shows a king in a chariot with four horses. From the inscription we learn that it is Prasenajit, King of Kosala. On another plate the Wheel is placed upon a high column. Similar specimens have been discovered at Sānchi, Gayā, Śrāvastī.

The Wheel symbol is only in so far Buddhistic as it is linked with the preaching of the Law. Originally, as the very term denotes, it appertains to the Cakravartin. Other symbols as the Svastika, Śrīvatsa, Nandyāvarta, Vajra, Vardhamāna, Triśūla &c. are in no respect peculiar to the Buddhists and may here be past in silence?

8. HOLIDAYS. FESTIVALS. QUINQUENNIAL ASSEMBLY. ANNUAL CONGRESS.

We have seen that the keeping of Uposatha on the 8th and 14th (or 15th) of each half-month is an institution which Buddhism borrowed from other sects. The idea of initiating that custom is ascribed not to the head of the Sangha, but to the worldly sovereign; in other words: the keeping of the Uposatha is a concession made by the Tathagata to public opinion; it is one of the numberless concessions by the brotherhood to the religious and moral feelings of the nation.

The weekly Uposatha is a day of celebration for the monks and the laity. Two of the four holidays in the month are by the former devoted to the ceremony of reciting the Pratimoksa. An occasional holiday, only for monks, is the Sāmaggi-Uposatha, Reconciliation holiday, which is held when a quarrel among the fraternity has been made up³.

The four holidays or Sabbaths are kept in Ceylon, Burma and Nepāl on the days of the new-moon, of the full-moon and on the 8th of each Pakṣa; in Tibet on the 14th, 15th, 29th and 30th of the month⁴. This difference is perhaps caused by some ambiguity in the sacred texts. In the Pāli Vinaya the ambiguity, real or apparent, has been removed by an additional elucidation⁵, but the wording of the fifth Pillar edict of Aśoka⁶ is far from clear, and admits of being interpreted as prescribing a holiday on the 14th and 15th of the Pakṣa. The holidays prescribed by Manu⁷ agree with those kept in Ceylon, but Āpastamba lays down the rule that at the new-moon two holidays should be observed, whereas Gautama allows, without absolutely prescribing, two of such holidays⁸.

The Uposatha is a day of rest; it is not proper to trade or do any

I Pl. XIII; XXXI; XXXIV; text p. 110.

² The subject has been treated by BURNOUF Lot. 625 ff.; SYKES JRAS. VI, 454 ff.; SENART Essai, 345 ff.; WADDELL op. c. 387 ff.

³ CHILDERS p. 335, b. Several times mention is made of an extra holiday termed pātihārikā, paṭihāriya (v. 1. pārihārika) -pakkha, e. g. S. Nip. p. 70; Aig. N. I, p. 144; Therig. 31, on the character whereof see Minayer Recherches 1, 166, and cp. Childers p. 618.

⁴ According to KÖPPEN, Rel. d. B. II, 139; 307; cp. WADDELL op. c. 501.

⁵ MV. II, 4.

⁶ Corp. Inscr. I, Delhi Edict V.

⁷ IV, 113; cp. 128, and Yajñavalkya I, 146.

⁸ Apast. 1, 3, 9, 28; cp. BUHLER'S note SBE. II, 36. Gautama XVI, 36.

business; hunting and fishing are forbidden; schools and courts of justice are shut. It is also from ancient times a fasting day 2. The laity must celebrate the day with clean garments and with clean minds, and it is meritorious in them to keep the eight precepts³.

Preaching and hearing the sermon is a common feature of each Uposatha celebration. The reading, Bhana, of some chapter of the P. Scriptures, Bhāṇavāra, was in former times exclusively done by monks, but now-a-days it occurs in Ceylon that laymen go from house to house to read tracts in the vernacular. In Nepal, where the Order has ceased to exist, all preachers, the so-called Vajrācāryas, are laics and married men.

The regular period for preaching is the rainy season. This custom or institution, dating from the very beginnings of Buddhism, is common to both divisions of the Church. In ancient India the ritual year was divided into three four-monthly periods. The three terms were celebrated with sacrifices on the full-moonday of Phalguna, of Aṣadha, and of Karttika; or otherwise one month later, in Caitra, in Śrāvaṇa, and in Mārgaśīrṣa. These three sacrificial festivals inaugurated summer, rainy season, and winter. The Buddhists have retained this ritual division, and equally celebrate the terms, but, of course, not with sacrificial acts⁵. In the Simhalese calendar summer begins at the fullmoon of Phalguna, the rainy season at the full-moon of Asadha, and winter at the full-moon of Karttika.

The entrance upon the Retreat during the rains 7 is fixed either on the day of the full-moon of Aṣādha, or one month after. In Ceylon the Vassa is limited to three months. The solemn termination, Pravarana, Pavarana, is inaugurated by an act of the Sangha in an assembly of the chapter of at least five members8.

The Pravarana is held on two successive days, the 14th and 15th of the bright half-month, on which Uposatha is kept. It is a festival and an occasion for giving presents to the monks, for inviting them to dinner, and

for processions⁹.

Immediately after the Pravarana there follows a distribution of robes which the believers offer to the fraternity. The raw cotton cloth, Kathina, collected by the givers cannot be received except by a chapter of at least five persons. When the chapter has decided which of the brethren stand most in need of a garment, the assembled monks, assisted by the laity, make the cloth into a robe, and dye it yellow; the whole of which process must be concluded in twenty-four hours 10.

In addition to the Varsopanāyikā and Pravāraņā there are some other days which the Buddhists are in the habit of celebrating. Thus the Simhalese keep a festival in the beginning of spring in commemoration, as they say,

¹ Cp. Delhi Pillar V.

² Cp. Satapatha-Brāhmaṇa IX, 5, 1, 6.

³ More detailed in HARDY E. M. 237 ff. and Bowden, The Uposatha and Upasampadā Ceremonies (JRAS. of 1893, 159).

⁴ A full and lively description of a Bhāṇa reading is given by HARDY E. M. 232.

5 Likewise in the Pillar Edict V, where moreover the full-moon day of Pausa is mentioned as a great holiday. Cp. Apast. I, 3, 10, 2; Manu IV, 97; Ep. Ind. II, 261 ff.

6 DICKSON JRAS. VIII, 127 (New s.). Cp. Voy. II, 63.

7 Cp. above p. 80, f.

8 The regulations are minutely described MV. IV.

- 9 Fa Hian speaks of the solemnity as it was observed at Mathura; Rec. 45, where the phrase "a month after the rest" yields no sound meaning. It should be "the month", for evidently the Cīvaramāsa, the month succeeding the period of Retreat, is intended.
 - 10 HARDY E. M. 121. Cp. above p. 80.

of Māra's destruction. This festival, called Awaruda in Ceylon, is likewise known to the Siamese by the name of Sonkran, i. e. Skr. Sankranti. Both the name and the legendary account of the origin of the solemnity are a sufficient proof that it is the Hindu vernal feast of Holāka or Kāmadahana¹.

The Vaisākhapūjā on the day of the full-moon in Vaisākha, which is celebrated in Siam and formerly was so in Ceylon2, coincides with the date of Buddha's birth. The date of the Nirvana was, in the days of Huen Thsang,

celebrated at Gayā with a great festival3.

Fa Hian speaks of a grand festival in Ceylon "in the middle of the third month", when the tooth relic was exhibited 4. Not unlikely the date intended is that of the full-moon day in Vaiśākha, for this was the official date of the Lord's Nirvana as well as of his birth and his attaining Buddhahood.

In the palmy days of Buddhism in India the quinquennial assembly, Pañcavārsika or Pañcavarsaparisad, otherwise termed Mahāmokṣapariṣad, was a grand solemnity and festival. From the description of it in the Divyāvadāna and other sources we may gather that it was something like a Pravāraņā, a distribution of presents on a large scale, and an occasion for an extraordinary display of liberality to the Sangha6. The celebrated King Harşa of Kanauj, surnamed Sīlāditya, had the custom of regularly convoking such an assembly 7.

OUTLINES OF ECCLESIASTICAL HISTORY.

1. FIRST GENERAL COUNCIL.

The first century of the history of the Buddhist community is marked by two events which are separated by an interval of 100 years, no more nor less8. Those events are the first and the second Council, Sangīti, the account of which makes part of the canonical books, and, with unimportant discrepancies, is common to all sects.

The narrative of the first Council, as given in the Pāli canon9, is in short as follows. After the demise of the Master a certain Subhadda, who had become a member of the Order in his old age 10, said to his fellows: "Do

3 Voy. II, 462; cp. 335. It is not plain which of the two dates, the 8th day after the full-moon of Karttika, or the last of Vaisakha.

4 Rec. 105.

7 Voy. I, 113; II, 252.

I DAVY, Account of the interior of Ceylon p. 169; PALLEGOIX, Description du

royaume de Siam I, 249. Something like it in Tibet; WADDELL op. c. 505.

2 Dīpav. XXI, 28; XXII, 60; Mahāv. pp. 212; 222. PALLEGOIX l. c. For the same festival with the Vaisnavas see Pancaratra II, 7, 38; for the Sattra from the 5th of Vaiśākha till after the full-moon, Kātyāyana Śrautra-S. XXIV, 7, 1.

⁵ Divy. 405; cp. 242; 398; 403; 419; 429; Rec. 22; Voy. I, 374; 392; II, 38.
6 The quinquennial tour of inspection, Anusamyāna, by the Dharma-mahāmātras, ordered by Asoka (Rock Edict III), bears a different character; there is no question of an assembly. P. anusamyāti, to go for inspecting, to visit, occurs e. g. S. Vibh. I, p. 43; Ang. N. I, p. 68.

⁸ Except in some N. accounts which give 110 years; Wassillef B. 225. 9 CV. XI; Dipav. IV. V. Buddhaghosa in S. Vibh. I, 285 ff. Bodhiv. 85 ff. Cp. OLDENBERG Intr. to MV. XXVI, ff.

¹⁰ Apparently another than Subhadda "the last disciple whom the Lord himself converted", MPS. V, 69. Cp. Tib. L. 293; Voy. II, 339.

not grieve, do not lament! We are happily rid of the Great Sramana. We used to be annoyed by being told: "This beseems you, this beseems you not." But now we shall be able to do what we like, and what we do not like, we shall not have to do." In order to obviate the dangerous effects of such unbeseeming utterances, Kāśyapa the Great, whom the Master had designed as his successor, made the proposal that the brethren should assemble to rehearse the Lord's precepts. The proposal was adopted, and Kāsyapa was now entreated to select 500 Arhats. This being done, it was decided that Rājagrha should be the place of assembly. During a seven month's session in the Sattapanna or Sattapanni Cave of the Vebhāra Hill near Rājagrha the Vinaya was fixed with the assistance of Upāli, the Dhamma with Ānanda's.

It has been remarked by OLDENBERG2 that "what we have here before us is not history, but pure invention, and, moreover, an invention of no very ancient date. Apart from internal reasons that might be adduced to prove this, we are able to prove it by comparing an older text which is older than this story." That text is the Mahā-Parinibbāna-Sutta, where, indeed, the story of the irreverent conduct of Subhadda equally occurs, but in such a way that it cannot have been the motive for Kāsyapa's proposal to convoke a Council.

Now the motive alleged in the CV. is not only absent from the Sutta, but likewise from the Dipavamsa. Hence the argument for the great antiquity of that Sutta falls to the ground, for the Dipav. cannot be made older than the CV. But this remark in no wise invalidates the conclusion that the dogmatical story of the first Council, as told in CV., is comparatively young. No wonder that we find nothing of that alleged motive with the N. sects. In the Mahāvastu³ Kāsyapa is induced to have the precepts collected because he wished to prevent that people might say: "The Sakya-sons kept the precepts only as long the Master was alive, and they forsake them after his demise." This very motive, and almost in the same words, really recurs in the CV., but on occasion of the discussion during the Council regarding the observation of the small and minor precepts4. The place where the Council was held, is, in the same work, the Cave Saptaparna, a resort of Rsis, on the North of the Vaihāra hill⁵. Other N. accounts we here dismiss, referring the reader to the somewhat troubled sources.

All available accounts of the Council at Rajagrha agree in this that the Vinaya and Dharma were rehearsed. Some add the Abhidharma, but this is not mentioned in CV. nor in Dipavamsa 7. There is a general agreement

3 I, 69.

Tirthikā ca bahidhānugatāsca kuryur apratima sasanadosam dhumakālikam iti Sramanasya; etad eva ca tu rakṣanīyam no [[

I On a certain occasion Kāśyapa had offered his Sanghāṭi to the Buddha; Samy. N. II, p. 221; Tib. L. 304.
² Introd. MV. p. XXVII.

⁴ CV. XI, 9: "Sace mayam khuddānukhuddakāni sikkhāpadāni samūhanissāma, bhavissanti vattāro: dhūmakālikam Samaņena Gotamena sāvakānam sikkhāpadam paññattam." Cp. Mhv. 1. c.

⁵ Read with the MSS. Saptaparna Rsilenaguhāyām, and in the following line Vaihāra. Cp. Rec. 85 of Fa Hian, who had very dim notions of the Council, for he fancied that Sariputra and Maudgalyāyana had been present at the assembly, though it is generally known that both died before the Master.

⁶ Tib. L. 305; ROCKHILL op. c. 148 ff. Voy. I, 156; III, 32. Cp. also MINAYEF Recherches I, 28.

⁷ ROCKHILL op. c. 160; Tib. L. 307; Voy. I, 158. The collection of the Abhidharma, or Mātṛkās, is ascribed to Kāsyapa. The phrase Pitakam tīṇi sangītim akamsu CV. p. 293 proves nothing, it only occurring in the résumé.

also as to the parts played by Upāli and Ananda in the proceedings. But on comparing the different accounts we easily perceive that other Disciples, too, were contributors to the whole of the collection. We read that Kāśyapa was the chief "propounder" of the Dhutavada precepts, whilst Ananda was the first of those learned (in the Suttas or Dhamma), and Upāli in the Vinaya I. If we are asked how much we have to believe of the canonical accounts of the first Council, we are in good conscience bound to acknowledge that the only really historical fact is this that the Council of the Sthaviras at Rājagrha is recognized by all Buddhists. It is by no means incredible that the Disciples after the death of the founder of their sect came together to come to an agreement concerning the principal points of the creed and of the discipline. A rehearsal of the Tripitaka, or even of the Vinaya and Sūtra collections, is wholly out of question?. Some elements of the tales connected with the first Council may, and probably will be older than the composition of the sacred writings, but they have been disfigured to such an extent that it is as yet impossible to separate the dogmatical and legendary elements from the historical facts 3.

2. SECOND GENERAL COUNCIL.

According to the universal Buddhist tradition the second general Council was held at Vaiśālī. The records of it look like copies of a genuine historical document which have been falsified as to the date and in some other particulars.

The most circumstantial account is preserved in the P. Vinaya⁴. It is in substance as follows. A century after the Lord's Parinirvana the monks of Vrji (Vajji) lineage at Vaišāh declared as permissible the Ten Points (dasa vatthuni), to wit: 1. storing salt in a horn; 2. the taking of the midday meal when the sun's shadow shows two finger-breadths after noon; 3. the going to some village (or: to another village) and there eating fresh food 5; 4, residing (in the same parish and yet holding the Uposatha separately); 5. sanction (of a solemn act in an incomplete chapter); 6. the (unconditional) following of a precedent; 7. the partaking of unchurned milk; 8. of unfermented toddy; o, the use of a mat without fringes (not conform with the model prescribed); 10. to accept gold and silver.

At that time the Sthavira Yasas, Kākandaka's son, came to Vaisālī, and whilst staying in the Mahavana, witnessed the unlawful practices of the Vrjian monks. By addressing the laity he endeavoured to stop the iniquity of the brethren, who instead of desisting from their wrong practices, carried out against him the act of making excuses to the offended laity. Yasas protested and demanded that a companion should be appointed to go with himself as a messenger, so that he might have the occasion of asking redress for the wrong done to him. His demand being wouchsafed, he entered with his com-

¹ Dīpav. IV; V.

² Cp. Wassilief B. 38. ³ Cp. the remarks of Minavee op. c. 39. + CV. XII. Cp. Buddhaghoşa in S. Vibh. I, 293 ff. Saddh. S. II; Dīpav. V, 16 ff. Mahāv. IV.

⁵ The explanation of the elliptical terms in CV. XII, 1, 10; 2, 8, and in MINAYEF'S Prātim. XXXIX, is of dubious value. Both the Points and the interpretation differ more or less in the Tibetan Vinayakşudraka, Tar. 41; cp. ROCKHILL op. c. 171, f. The terms were obscure even to the Thera Revata, one of the chiefs of the Council. - A discussion on the interpretation of the terms in MINAYEF Recherches I, 44-50.

panion into the city of Vaiṣālī, where he laid his case before the believing laymen. He was deservedly successful in his eloquent pleading, for the laymen after hearing him declared that he alone was a worthy Sramana and son of Sākya. The Vrjian monks, being informed by their deputy of the decision, continued obstinate, and carried out against Yasas the act of excommunication. But Yasas rose up into the sky, and descended at Kausambi. From that place he sent messengers to the brethren in the W. country, in Avanti, and in the S. country, summoning them to an assembly. He himself went to Sambhūta Sāṇavāsin on the Ahoganga Hill, told him what had happened, and persuaded him that a lawful decision in the case was absolutely necessary. In the meantime many brethren, all Arhats, flocked together from the regions afore-named. After some deliberation they arrived at the conclusion that it would be of the utmost importance to persuade Revata, then dwelling at Soreyya, he being a man who knew the Agamas, the Dharma, the Vinaya, and the Mātṛkās². Now Revata on perceiving by his divine ear what the Sthaviras intended to do, and wishing to keep out of the quarrel, left Soreyya for Sānkāsya. When the brethren arrived at Soreyya and heard that Revata had left for Sankasya, they travelled to that place. On arriving they heard that he had gone to Kanauj. After many fruitless endeavours they at last overtook him in Sahajāti. There Yasas had an interview with Revata, and submitted to him the question whether the Ten Points were allowable. The decision of Revata — as might be expected — was that they were not, whereupon Yasas persuaded him to take the legal question in hand before the unlawful practices could become general.

Meanwhile the Vrjian monks of Vaisālī had heard rumours about the doings of Yasas, and being convinced that the opinion of Revata would carry great weight, they decided upon trying to gain him over to their party. So they went to Sahajāti. Before they had had occasion to meet Revata, it happened that the Thera Sālha felt some doubt whether the monks of the West or those of the East were in accordance with the Law. His doubts were happily soon dissipated by a heavenly being, to whom he promised to make manifest his opinion when needed.

manifest his opinion when needed.

The Vaisalī monks had no success in their endeavours to gain over Revata, in spite of the presents they offered to him and of their machinations

to bribe Uttara, a disciple of his.

When the legal assembly had met to decide the question, Revata proposed a resolution that the Sangha should settle the question at that place where it arose, i. e. at Vaisālī. The resolution being adopted, the brethren went to Vaisālī. Now at that time there lived in that city an old Thera, Sabbakāmin by name, who 120 years ago had received Upasampadā. This venerable monk of the East was asked by Sambhūta and Revata his opinion, and he made to them the same promise as Sālha had done to the heavenly being.

In the subsequent meeting of the Sangha the proceedings did not succeed, which circumstance moved Revata to lay a proposal before the assembly that the question should be submitted to a committee. So he selected a committee of eight persons, four monks of the East, viz. Sabbakāmin, Sāļha, Khujjasobhita, and Vāsabhagāmika; and four of the West: Revata, Sambhūta, Yasas, and Sumana. The younger monk Ajita was appointed as regulator of seats.

¹ The Western monks are designed as "Pāṭheyyaka bikkhus". For Pāṭheyya and Pāṭheyyaka see ED. MÜLLER JPTS. of 1888, p. 54.

² This points to a previous collection of the Abhidharma.

As the place of meeting of the committee was chosen the Valikārāma, a quiet and undisturbed spot.

The proceedings of the committee were conducted in this manner that Revata put the questions, and Sabbakāmin delivered bis authoritative replies. All the Ten Points were declared to be against the rules, and therewith the Vrjian monks were put in the wrong. The assembly in pleno, in which the Vinaya was rehearsed, is said to have consisted of 700 monks. No mention whatever is made of the Suttas and the Abhidamma.

Before adducing other testimonies, we cannot help observing that the date assigned to the second Council is impossible, unless the heroes of the tale are purely fictitious. A century after the Parinirvāṇa, Sarvakāmin would have been at least 140 years of age; Yasas, Kākaṇḍaka's son, if he be identical with Yasas, one of the first converts of the Buddha, would have been 20+45+100 = 165 years; if he be another, then he must have been more than 120 years, and so, too, the other Theras³. A chronology leading to such monstrous results condemns itself. The names of the acting Theras may be historical, and the account of their doings, apart from some incongruities and absurdities, may be founded upon a genuine tradition.

The later Simhalese documents pretend to know much more of the Council of Vaisālī than the canonical Vinaya. They give in substance what is found in the sacred text, but with additions which partly are not warranted by, and partly positively conflicting, with the canonical record. Thus the Dipav. one time fixes the number of those who attended the second Council at 700, another time at 12000004. It further adds that at the time of the second Council "Asoka, the son of Sisunaga, was king; that prince ruled in the town of Pāṭaliputra". Elsewhere 5 Sisunāga is called the immediate precedessor of the Nandas, so that Sisunaga is confounded with Kala (v. l. Kala) Asoka. Such incongruities have nothing to surprise us in a work which is not a well-digested composition, but an uncritical collection of various older sources, chiefly memorial verses. Hence the same event is told twice with variations. The most important addition is the statement that after the second Council had been closed, another Council was held by the losing party. "The wicked Bhikkhus, the Vajjiputtakas who had been excommunicated by the Theras, gained another party; and many people, holding the wrong doctrine, ten thousand, assembled and held a council. Therefore this Dhamma-council is called the Great Council (Mahāsaigīti)." Herewith compare the statement in another work that the ten thousand wicked Bhikkhus established the wrong doctrine termed the Ācariya-vāda, i. e. the doctrine of the Ācariyas, in contradistinction to the orthodox doctrine of the Sthaviras, the Theravada. The wrong doctrine is also called that of the Mahasangha (Mahasanghika). Hence follows, if the tradition is to be trusted, that instead of one Council, two Councils were held, the second by the condemned party, a short time after

¹ Mahāv. p. 20.

² Points 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, and 9 were in conflict with Art. 38, 37, 35, 35, 51, and 89 of Title Pacitiya; Point 10 with Art. 10 Nissaggiya; Point 4 and 5 with MV. II, 8, 3, and IV. 2, 5: the decision of Point 6 depends upon circumstances.

and IX, 3, 5; the decision of Point 6 depends upon circumstances.

3 Salha died before the Lord; MPS, II, 6. This fact is ignored in CV., or perhaps he had been resuscitated. Why not? We have in so serious a work as the Mil. P. a striking instance of revival in the case of the six Tirthikas.

⁴ Dīpav. IV, 52; V, 20; 25. 5 Dïpav. V, 99.

⁶ Bodhiv. p. 96.

⁷ Mark that the party had not at all been condemned in matters of doctrine, but exclusively in points of discipline.

the former. Further it would appear that the majority of the Buddhists clung to the so-called schismatics. How else to explain such terms as Mahāsaṅgīti and Mahāsaṅghika? The denomination of Ācāryavāda given by the orthodox to the Mahāsaṅghika or Mahāsaṅgītika doctrine, suggests the fact that the latter cause was upheld by the more learned elements of the Order.

Now the question arises "where did the Mahāsangīti assemble?" We have not been able to find a distinct statement in the Simhalese sources. According to a N. tradition the council of the Mahāsānghikas was held not far from the place where the first Council had assembled. We are informed that the party of the Great Assembly, the Mahāsānghikas, derived that name from both monks and laics attending the Council, and that on that occasion a new collection of the Scriptures was made. There is no question of the Ten Points or the orthodox Council at Vaisālī 2.

In the Simhalese sources the leaders of the Mahāsanghikas are identified with the Vrjian monks of Vaisālī. Now we know that these were in possession of the Kūṭāgāra Hall of the Mahāvana, as the chronicles affirm3. In order to obviate this difficulty, the Simhalese have invented a tale. The King Kāla Aśoka at first favoured the heretics - exactly as the historical Aśoka did -, but afterwards he changed his mind, thanks to the interference of the gods and to a dream of his sister. By his protection the orthodox could assemble in the Mahavana monastery, whereas the committee had its sittings in the Valikārāma. The canonical account knows nothing of an assembly in the Mahāvana, nor is any mention made of it in the Bodhivamsa nor by Buddhaghosa 4, though the latter, after saying that the second Council is called that of the 700, adds that it was attended by 1 200000 monks, precisely as in the Dipav. All available Simhalese accounts agree in asserting that the whole collection of the Dhamma and Vinaya was revised, against the authority of the canon, which only speaks of a Vinaya Sangiti. In the N. tradition just alluded to there is, indeed, likewise question of a revision of the complete sacred texts, but by the Mahasanghikas.

The contradictions between the canon and the Simhalese narratives are apt to move grave doubts anent the connection between the condemning of the Ten Points and the schismatic Great Council. Let us now turn to the N.

traditions 5 about the question of the Ten Points.

When one hundred and ten years had elapsed after the Nirvāṇa some monks at Vaiśālī deviated from the Law and transgressed the rules of discipline in Ten Points. In those days there lived an old Sthavira, Yaśas, in Kosala; another, Sambhūta, in Mathurā, Revata in Sahaja, Kubjaśobhita in Pāṭaliputra — all of them pupils of Ānanda? Yaśas despatched messengers to summon the brethren to a gathering in Vaiśālī. When 699 monks had come together, Kubjaśobhita, perceiving by his divine eye what was going on, appeared by Rddhi in the midst of the assembly to complete the number. Sambhūta proposed in due form a resolution that the monks of Vaiśālī, who in

¹ Voy. I, 158; III, 37.

3 Dīpav. V, 29, but Bodhiv. and Buddhaghosa have Vālukārāma.

4 Dīpav. 1. c. again does not mention the Vālukārāma.

7 To whom may be added from Bu-ston (Tār. 290): Sālha and Ajita; uncertain is Vṛṣhabhagāmin, or rather impossible, for Nor-can means "wealthy".

² No more than in the account found in a Chinese commentary on the Vinaya; Wassillief B. 225. As to the heretical theories of the Mahasanghikas in matter of doctrine, see Kathāv. P. A. X; XI; XII; XIV; XV; XVII; XVIII; XXI.

⁵ ROCKHILL op. c. 171 ff. Voy. II, 397; Tār. 290.
6 The expressions in Voy. l. s. remind one of apagatasatthusāsana, ubbinaya, CV.

the Ten Points had deviated from the regulations of the Master, should be censured. The resolution being adopted, "the great assembly" proceeded to severely censure the delinquents, who repented and left their bad practices.

It does not appear to which of the various sects this version of the story belongs. This much is clear that it more or less differs from the tradition of the Mahīśāsakas and from another in the Bu-ston. On comparing the version preserved to us by the Chinese pilgrim with the narrative in the Pāli canon, the former makes the impression of being older, but on the whole they are much on a par. Both versions agree — and that is an important point — therein that they indirectly deny any connection between the condemnation of the Ten Points and the Council of the Mahāsāṅghikas. The expression "the great assembly", i. e. the meeting in pleno, positively shows that there is no question of a Mahāsaṅgīti apart from the Council on Vinaya at Vaiṣālī². Such an agreement between the traditions of two totally different sects goes far to prove that the story of the Mahāsaṅgīti or Mahāsāṅghika schism as connected with the Council at Vaiṣālī is an invention, perhaps suggested by such an expression as "the great assembly".

In one Tibetan source³ we read that 110 years after the Nirvāṇa Yaśas and the pupils of Ānanda, to the number of 700, assembled in Vaisālī, and there perfected a second collection. No such collection is spoken of in the other sources⁴. The rambling and confused narrative of Tāranātha⁵ teaches us nothing.

If we wish to weigh against each other the value of the S. and that of the N. sources, we must begin with leaving out of the reckoning all unwarranted additions, either by the Sindhalese or by others. By so doing and by waiving points of secondary importance, we perceive that the difference turns about ten years, the P. canon fixing the Council at Vaisālī at 100 years after Nirvāṇa, whereas most N. traditions give 110 years. Both dates are inadmissible, and for the same reason: the impossible age of the actors. Both the P. canon and the Vinayakṣudraka, as well as Huen Thsang leave us in the dark about the king in whose reign the second Council took place. That silence has given rise to interminable controversies on the date of Buddha's death⁶. According to the chronology adopted by the Simhalese, the king reigning 100 years after Buddha's death was a certain Kāla Aśoka, whereas the N. Buddhists almost universally represent Aśoka the Maurya as having ascended the throne a century or thereabouts after Buddha's Nirvāṇa⁷. It would be

Tār. 290 f.; ROCKHILL op. c. 173. In the version of the Mahīśāsakas the date is 100 years, the name of the president being Sarvakāma. This agreement with the Pāli version is natural enough, because the Mahīśāsakas have branched off from the Orthodox sect after the schism of the Mahāsānghikas.

² We have seen above that Huen Thsang gives elsewhere a separate account of the Mahāsanghika Council.

³ Tib. I. 309.

⁴ Ср. Rockill ор. с. 180.

⁵ Tār. 41 f.

⁶ The chief papers bearing on the chrouological question are Turnour, Pref. to his ed. of Mahāv., and in JASB. VI, 505; LASSEN Ind. Alt. II, 53; MAX MÜLLER Anc. S. L. 263; SBE. X, pp. XXIX ff.; Westergaard, Über Buddha's Todesjahr; Bühler in Ind. Ant. VI, 149; VII, 141; XX, 299; Senart in JA. of 1879, p. 524; of 1892, p. 482; OLDENBERG in DMG. XXXV, 474; RHVS DAVIDS Ancient Coins and Measures of Ceylon p. 57; PISCHEL in Acad. of 1877, p. 145. Cp. Barth, Rel. of India p. 107, and Bulletin des Rel. de l'Inde of 1894.

⁷ It is in the Avadāna-Sataka (Burnour Intr. 432) that Asoka is said to have reigned at Pataliputra 200 years after Buddha's death. We may add that according to a notice in

very convenient if we could eliminate the difficulty by asserting — as has been done — that the N. Buddhists confounded two kings of the same name. Such an assertion is easy enough, but to make it good would be more difficult. In the first place, we should not speak of an involuntary confusion, for the all but general view of the N. Buddhists is intimately connected with the whole system of chronology. The Sthavira Yasas is most decidedly and deliberately represented as a contemporary of Dharma Aśoka¹. There can be no doubt about his identity with the Yasas of the Vaisālī Council. For he declares to Asoka the Maurya that he is the oldest remaining of the Disciples of the Buddha, Pindola Bharadvaja alone excepted. The untrustworthiness of the tale remains the same, whether Yasas showed his activity 100 years after Buddha's Nirvāṇa in the reign of a King Kāla Aśoka, or of another King Dharma Asoka. Where two accounts are equally absurd, there is no reason to give a marked preference to either2. Under such circumstances any decisive choice is more a matter of taste or fancy, than of science, specially as we find no support in independent, Brahmanic sources3.

The only indisputable fact resulting from a comparison of the different accounts is the existence of conflicting traditions, and that in comparatively ancient times. Traces of unsettled questions are found in the Simhalese documents. We have seen that in one of them Sisunaga appears instead of Kālāsoka. Elsewhere we come across the following prophecy by the Buddha: "I shall reach complete Parinibbana like the setting sun. Four months after my Parinibbana the first convocation will be held. A hundred and eighteen years later the third convocation will take place, for the sake of the propagation of the Faith. Then there will be a ruler over this Jambudīpa, a highly virtuous, glorious monarch known as Dhammāsoka 4". This is not the only instance. In another work⁵ the date of the beginning of Duttha-Gāmani's reign is, in the prose text, 376 after the Nirvāṇa, but in the older and much more authoritative memorial verse following, it is 276.

If, in our helpless endeavours to find a firm support, we resort to the list of chief teachers succeeding the Master, we soon become aware of having caught hold of a feeble reed. The succession of teachers, Ācariyaparamparā, of

the Theravada down to the third Council, consists of the following names: Upali, Dāsaka, Sonaka, Siggava, and Tissa Moggaliputta; some couple with Siggava

Tib. L. 309 Asoka was born eight generations after Udayibhadra. This gives more than 200 years, and in so far corroborates the Sir halese tradition.

¹ Divy. 381; 385; 399; 404; 406; 423.

3 For a comparative treatment of the Simhalese and the Jaina chronology we refer

5 Saddh-S. p. 47.

² The preference to the Simhalese account is, from a critical standpoint, the less intelligible, because ever since TURNOUR advocated the claims of the Simhalese chronology, it has been admitted on all hands that it contains an error of more than 60 years. That error has been palliated by the gness that such an error has sprung up after the period of Asoka. But a system which contains such a blunder or wilful misstatement at a later period is a fortiori suspicious for more ancient times.

to Jacobi, DMG. XXXIV, 185; XXXV, 667; ep. Oldenberg XXXIV, 751.

4 Dipav. I, 24—26. The translator adds in a note: "A mention of the second convocation, which was held a hundred years after Buddha's death, is wanting in the MSS.; the third is said to have been held 118 years after the second." The first statement is true, but fails to explain the reason why the mention is wanting. The second statement is not true, for from Dipav. VII, 37 it appears that the Council took place 236 years after Nirvāna. The date 218 is that of Asoka's Abhiṣeka; Dīpav. VI, I; Bodhiv. p. 100; S. Vibh. I, 321, where the reckoning yields 228, but evidently owing to some error in the figures.

the name of Candavajjī. It is well-known that Kāśyapa the Great, and not Upāli, was the head of the Order after Buddha's death, but it is admitted that Upāli was the chief of the Vinaya. Dāsaka and Sonaka are likewise designated as chiefs of the Vinaya. Therefore it is exceedingly strange that in the Council of Vaisālī, where such important questions of discipline were treated, the chief Sonaka is conspicuous by his absence. If such a chief did exist, the great men of the committee completely ignored him. Another suspicious fact is the notice that Tissa Moggaliputta received the Upasampadā ordination in the 3d year of Candragupta's reign, i. e. 314 B. C. or somewhat earlier. He died 86 years after his ordination², i. e. at the age of 106 years. If, contrary to the expressed view of the Sin halese chroniclers, the numbers are taken as representing the years of life of the five teachers, then the sum total will yield 5 × 20 = 100 less, because the Upasampada is fixed at 20 years. The interval between the Nirvāņa and the death of Tissa M. will then be reduced with a whole century. It is by no means impossible that a similar ambiguity in the traditional numbers lies at the bottom of the difference between the Simhalese and the N. dates of the Nirvana. The otherwise inexplicable want of agreement in regard of that date, may be accounted for on the assumption that the Buddhists of various sects were in the habit of calculating an initial date by summing up the traditional number of years allotted to the first five successive chiefs or reputed chiefs of the Vinaya 3. The considerations which may have moved each sect to adopt their own date for the Nirvana are concealed to us, but it would seem that in addition to dogmatical reasons the synchronism of ecclesiastical dates with events of local or national interest have influenced. Thus the Chinese have managed to throw back the initial date to ± 1000 B. C. It is possible, nay probable that the Simhalese + have acted upon the same principle. This would explain how they got their date of 543 B. C., which is proved to be false.

The conclusions we arrive at after comparing the various traditions or what is given as such, are extremely vague, and may be summarized in the following propositions. The Council on Vinaya in Vaisali has an historical base; it was held x years after the death of the founder of the Order, and a considerable time before the composition and first collection of the great bulk of the Scriptures. It preceded, but had no connection with the schism of the Mahāsānghikas. We leave undecided whether Kālāśoka be an ingenious invention of the Sthavira sect or not. For our part, we have a lurking suspicion that the name originally denoted the Maurya king in his black and sinful days, and is almost synonymous with Candasoka and Kamasoka, as the monarch is called before his conversion, after which he became Dharmāśoka 5.

1 S. Vibh. I, 292; Dīpav. IV; V, 57. ² Dīpav. V, 94; but immediately after it we find 80 years; another instance of

two conflicting statements in juxtaposition.

4 Properly we should say: the date adopted by the monks of the Mahāvihāra. We

are not acquainted with the chronological system of the monks of Abhayagiri.

³ Another list of five teachers (not of Vinaya) is: Kasyapa, Ananda, Madhyāntika, Sāṇavāsa, and Upagupta; Wassnaff B. 225; Tar. 14. Again another has: Ananda, Yasas, Saṇavāsika, wrongly identified with Yasas, Upagupta, and Dhītika; Tib. L. 308. (p. Wassilier on Tar. 290. The great man in the time of Asoka is Upagupta, of whom the Master predicts that he will fulfil the Buddha task (Buddhakaryam) 100 years after the Parinirvana; Divy. 350; he takes Orders under Sanakavasin; 349; his feats are described 352; 359; 363; 395; he speaks like the Buddha 428. Cp. Burnour Intr. 377 ff.; ROCKHILL op. с. 170.

⁵ Divy. 374; 38t f.; Tar. 29; cp. 39.

3. COUNCIL OF PĀTALIPUTRA.

This Council was no general Council, but a party meeting, of the Theravādas or Vibhajyavādins, as it was held after the schism of the Mahāsānghikas, the men of the Mahāsangīti. No wonder that it is ignored by all other sects.

The account of this party meeting, held at Pataliputra 18 years after the Abhiseka of Asoka, is so full of glaring untruths that but few of the particulars can be accepted as historical. The story runs in short as follows.

The Theras of the Council at Vaisālī foresaw that after 118 years there would arise a Sramana, who descending from Brahma's heaven, would be born in a Brahman family. His name would be Tissa, his surname Moggaliputta. After having received ordination from the couple Siggava and Candavajjī he would annihilate the Tīrthika doctrines and firmly establish the Faith. This would happen when Aśoka, a righteous king, would reign in Pāṭaliputra.

When all the 700 Theras of the second Council had attained final Nirvana+, Tissa was born, grew up, and was taught the Brahmanic sciences, until he became a convert and was received into the Order by Siggava. His Upasampadā coincides with the third year of Candragupta's reign, i. e. somewhere between 218 and 212 B. C.

When 236 years had elapsed after the Nirvana, sixty-thousand monks dwelt in the Asokarama. Sectarians of different descriptions, all of them wearing the Kāṣāya, ruined the Doctrine of the Jina. It was then that Tissa M. convoked a Council, attended by 1000 monks. Having destroyed the false doctrines and subdued many shameless people, he restored the true Faith, and propounded the Abhidhamma treatise Kathāvatthu. It was from him that Mahendra, the future apostle of Ceylon, learnt the 5 Nikāyas, the 7 books of Abhidhamma, and the whole Vinaya.

This tale is immediately followed by a second account, evidently taken from another source, but in the main agreeing with the former; the number of monks in the assembly has increased to sixty-thousand; a difference of no value except inasmuch as it furnishes another instance of the uncritical compilatory character of the chronicle.

We have left out all the downright absurdities of the tale, but even so pruned it betrays its dogmatical and sectarian tendency. The principal object of the whole story is to prove that the Vibhajyavadins of the Mahavihāra are the real and original orthodox sect⁵. Such a claim could hardly remain uncontested by other divisions of the orthodox, e. g. the Mahīśāsakas, who were flourishing in Ceylon when Fa Hian visited the island. Now the question arises: have we sufficient data to pronounce a judgment in the case? Let us see.

The chronicles representing the views of the Vibhajyavadins make a broad distinction between the Theravada with its offshoots, and the Mahasānghika or Ācāryavāda schism with its subdivisions⁶. The orthodox Theravāda

¹ Dīpav. V, 55—69; VI, 21 ff.; VIII, 34—59; S. Vibh. I, 294 ff.; 306—313; Mahāv. pp. 30-33; 42 ff.; Bodhiv. p. 104 ff. Buddhaghosa Sam. Pas. in S. Vibh. I, 294. Cp. MINAYEF Recherches I, Ch. IV.

² A quite extraordinary proceeding, as according to the regulations the ordination is conferred by one person.

³ Which are wholly out of question in a Buddhist council.

⁴ Consequently Siggava and Candavajjī were not among the 700. This number is canonical, but at variance with Dīpav. V, 20.
5 S. Vibh. I, 312; Bodhiv. p. 110; Kathāv. P. A. p. 6.

⁶ Dīpav. V, 39 ff.; Bodhiv. 96; Kathāv. P. A. pp. 2-5.

in course of time produced the Mahīsāsakas and the Vajjiputtakas; the latter branched off into four sections: the Dharmottarikas, Bhadrayānikas, Ṣaṇṇagarikas, and Sammitiyas; the former, into the Sarvāstivādins and Dharmaguptikas. Further offshoots may be passed in silence.

In this enumeration no sect of Vibhajyavadins occurs; naturally so, because the Vibhajyavādins themselves hold up the claim that their tenets and their canon are identical with those of the primitive Sthaviravada. But if we turn to other documents, we cannot but feel some misgivings whether the claims put forward by the monks of the Mahavihara are wholly indisputable. According to the system of the schismatic Mahāsanghikas, who had not the slightest interest in being partial anent a question exclusively touching outsiders, we have to adopt a threefold primary division of the Sangha into Sthayiras, Mahāsānghikas, and Vibhajyayādins. The Sthayiras are subdivided into Sarvāstivādins and Vatsīputrīyas, evidently the same with the Vajjiputtakas (i. e. Vrjiputrakas) of the chronicles. This sudivision would entirely agree with the former, were it not that the Dipay, has put the Mahisasakas between the Sthaviras and the Sarvāstivādins. One of the subdivisions of the Orthodox in the N., the Sarvāstivādins, consider the Mūla-sarvastivādins to be more primitive than the Vibhajyavādins, though they acknowledge the monks of Mahāvihāra, as well as those of the Abhayagiri and of the Jetavana in Ceylon, to be Sthaviras2. In another source3 we read that some time after the Lord's Parinirvana the Sangha was split up into two sects, the Sthaviras and the Mahasānghikas, in the reign of Asoka. In the third century the Sthaviras divided themselves into two sects, the Sarvastivadins, otherwise named Hetuvadas or Vibhajyavādins, and the primitive Sthaviras, who, however, adopted the denomination of Haimavatas4. In a Tibetan list of comparatively late date the monks of the Mahavihara are entered as a distinct sect, but we may dismiss that view as erroneous, or at least as not exact.

The evidence adduced, if not wholly satisfactory, is sufficient to prove, not, indeed, that the pretensions of the Vibbajyavadins were unfounded, but that they were disputable, and that, consequently, it was the interest of the sect to back their claims by some weighty arguments. Hence the pains they have taken to spread the belief that the language of their canonical writings is Māgadhī; an assertion by which they have long time imposed upon European scholars. Another fiction is the prediction of the birth of Tissa M. and his descent from heaven. A third device to prove the absolute authenticity of their canon is the assertion that the Parivara belonged to the books rehearsed at the Council of Pāṭaliputra6, though we know that another section of the orthodox Simhalese did not recognize its authenticity?.

A review of all the testimonies available leaves no doubt that the assembly at Pāṭaliputra was a party meeting, from which the Mahāsānghikas were excluded. Whether in those days all the Sthaviravadins formed one compact body and took part in the proceedings of the assembly, is a point which for want of data we must leave undecided. We only venture to observe

Tar. 271. Cp. Rockilll op. c. 182-196; Minayer Recherches Ch. VIII, IX. An exposition of the tenets of the various texts, viewed from the standpoint of the Vibhajyavadins or Theras of the Mahavihara, is found in Kathav. P. A.

² Tār. 272.

³ See Wassilief B. 224-226; 230. 4 In Kathāv. P. A. the Hetuvādas are implicitly represented as holding opinions differing from those of the Vibhajyavādins; XV; XVI; XVII; XIX; XX; XXXIII.

⁵ BURNOUF Intr. 445; I.ot. 357; WASSILIEF B. 267; cp. Tar. I. c. 6 This results from Dipay. VII, 43.

⁷ TURNOUR, Intr. to Mahay. CI.

that either the Simhalese Vibhajyavādins have to some extent misrepresented the proceedings, or that the N. orthodox sects have had reason to efface the memory of that event. How else to explain that the memorable figure of Tissa Moggaliputta has totally fallen into oblivion? If we believe the Simhalese chronicles, it was "far-seeing Moggaliputta who perceived by his supernatural vision the propagation of the Faith in the future in the neighbouring countries, -- sent Majjhantika and other Theras, each with four companions, for the sake of establishing the Faith in foreign countries, for the enlightenment of men"1. Madhyāntika being recognized as a great apostle among all Buddhists2, it is hard to understand how his name could have survived, whereas Tissa, who sent him out, was totally forgotten. If we cling to the view that the assembly at Pātaliputra was a party meeting, and not a general Council, the relative obscurity of Tissa M. becomes intelligible.

4. REIGN OF AŚOKA.

The assembly at Pāṭaliputra is stated to have taken place 18 years after the Abhiseka of Asoka, a date we have no reason to distrust. This king, who in his edicts calls himself Piyadassi³, was the son of Bindusāra, and the grandson of Candragupta, the famous founder of the Maurya dynasty. His father reigned 27 or 28 years, his grandfather 24. If the notice in the Simhalese chronicles that Asoka had reigned four or three years before his Abhiseka4 be correct, this event must fall 4+27+24 after the accession of Candragupta. The exact date of the first Maurya ascending the throne is unknown, but it must lie somewhere between 320 and 315 B. C.5, so that the date of 259 B. C. assigned to the Abhiseka of Asoka by Lassen and other scholars after him must be approximately right⁶.

Aśoka is described by the Buddhists as something like a monster in his youth, as an exemplary ruler after his conversion to Buddhism, and as a dotard in his old age. Their testimony is not corroborated, nor directly contradicted by his numerous edicts?. Those invaluable documents, so precious in many respects, afford us no real insight into the monarch's character. They show to a certain extent that he was not devoid of vanity and that he was much addicted to moralizing, but at the same time he seems to have been in earnest with his endeavours to heighten the moral standard of his subjects. His inscriptions, with a few exceptions, contain nothing particularly Buddhistic; some passages must even have been distasteful to many of his coreligionists. More than once he prides himself of his kind feelings towards all sects, his protection of the Ajīvikas and Nirgranthas⁸, whereas the Buddhists in their writings, sacred and profane, never lose an opportunity to blacken those hated rivals. In so far as the edicts have no sectarian character, they

^I Dipay. VIII, I.

² Tār. 12.

³ Piyadassi and Piyadassana also Dîpav. VI, 1; 2; 14; 24; XV, 88 ff.; XVI, 5; etc. 4 Dīpav. VI, 21; three years Mahāv. V, 34.

⁵ Cp. Justinus XV, 4. 6 Ind. Alt. II, 223.

⁷ Complete translations by SENART "Les inscriptions de Piyadasi", I and II; ep. "Notes d'épigraphie indienne", 5 fascicules; Bühler, "Beiträge zur Erklärung der Asoka-Inschriften", DMG. XXXVII; XXXIX—XLI; XLV; "Asoka's Felsenedicte" XLIV; "Shâh-bâzgarhi and Manschra Version" XLIII; "Nachträge" XLVI; XI.VIII; "Asoka's Rājūkas" XLVII. Cp. Ep. Ind. vol. II, 245 ff. 447 ff.

8 E. g. Delhi Ed. VIII, dating 27 years after his Abhiseka.

do not here concern us; we have only to take cognizance of those inscriptions in which the King speaks as a fervent Buddhist.

The first document which has to detain us is that of the Bairat rock r. It is a missive from Asoka to the Sangha, in token of the king's feelings of reverence and affection towards the Buddha, the Dharma, and the Sangha, and of his conviction that the word of the Lord Buddha is a gospel and authoritative. Then he proceeds to enumerate some Dharmaparyayas, i. e. canonical texts, which according to his wish should be steadily heard (learnt) and rehearsed by the monks and nuns, as well as by the laics of both sexes.

This missive, which, of course, is posterior to the King's conversion, though no precise date can be fixed, proves most clearly that at the time of its being issued a body of canonical texts was existing. Among the titles only one can be identified with certainty, "the Lāghulovāda concerning false-hood"." "The Questions, of Upatiṣya" is identical, at least as to the title, with "The Questions of Sariputra", only known through a Chinese translation3. From the circumstance that so few titles have been identified we should draw no consequences, because the same text was frequently known by several titles 4. It is undeniable that a title like Vinayasamuk(k)a(s)sa at any rate refers to a book belonging to the class of Vinaya. Consequently the Suttaand the Vinaya-Pitaka are both represented in the collection, and if a work on Abhidharma is wanting, it proves nothing against the existence of that part of the Tripitaka, because the King recommends a selection of sacred texts, not the whole body. Of the version in which the works enumerated were existing, we cannot say anything definite; the titles are given in Magadhi, and it is all but certain that Asoka had in view texts in that idiom.

The second inscription, an edict issued by Asoka when he had become a zealot, has been discovered at several places of his dominions, in slightly differing copies⁵. All the copies of the edict are unhappily replete with difficulties of every kind. The beginning of the Rūpnāth edict may be translated as follows:

"The Devanampiya speaketh thus: more than two years and a half I was a lay devotee, and I did not strongly exert myself. But it is (now) more than a year (or possibly; six years) that I have entered the Sangha, and that I have strongly exerted myself. Those who during this period were truly gods in Jambudvīpa, are now made false"6. The last sentence runs slightly different in the Sahasram copy: "And in this time who were falsely (considered) gods, they (were) men falsely deified."

The first knotty point is the date of the edict. It is impossible to refer

- ¹ CUNNINGHAM Corp. Inscr. Pl. XV.
- ² With the Ambalatthikā-Rāhulovāda-Sutta, Majjh. N. I, No. 61.
- 3 BEAL, Tripit. p. 73.

3 BEAL, Tripit, p. 73.
4 This has been remarked by Oldenberg, Intr. to MV. XL, where an identification of other titles is proposed. Cp. Minayer Recherches I, 87—91.
5 The three first discovered copies have been edited by BÜHLER in his paper "Three new edicts of Asoka" Ind. Ant. 1877; second notice, 1878. The controversies to which these publications gave rise have been taken up by the same in Ind. Ant. 1893, p. 299 ff., where the papers of his antagonists are cited. The redactions found in Mysore have been published by Rice (Bangalore, 1892) and Ep. Ind. III, 134.

6 It may be observed that a man speaking in such a manner cannot have taken

6 It may be observed that a man speaking in such a manner cannot have taken the word Devānāmpriya -- a compound like Yudhişlhira, and no more two words -- in its etymological acceptation of "dear to the gods", a meaning which in fact nowhere occurs. Probably Asoka attached to the compound the meaning of "harmless, pious." In later times the Jains are designated as Devanampriyas, which well accords with their being promoters of harmlessness, of Ahimsā, to the extreme. The transition of harmless, pious to idiot, reminds one of εὐήθης.

it to the last years of Aśoka, if the Simhalese chronological notices deserve unlimited credit. But they do not, To make good this assertion it will suffice to elect a few facts out of many. The oldest chronicle tells us that Asoka was anointed king when he had accomplished twenty years, at which date he had a son, Mahendra, fourteen years. Before his anointment the monarch had reigned four years — others say: three. In the commencement of his reign he murdered his 100 — more accurately — 99 half-brothers; yet the same authority contradicts itself by telling us in another passage that the history of the brothers happened in the seventh year of his reign, two years before the ordination of Mahendra, which was conferred upon this prince when he was 20 years, consequently 6 years after the commencement of his father's reign'. Another authority avers that Asoka passed the four years before his anointment with killing his 99 brothers². Both authorities agree in fixing the conversion of the King at 7 years or in the 7th year of his reign, but a third confounds the beginning of the reign with the Abhișeka3. The evidence of such witnesses is for critical purposes not worth a straw, unless receiving somehow a support from another quarter. No such support is forthcoming. In the Delhi inscription, dating 27 years after Aśoka's Abhiseka, he prides himself of the care and benefits he bestows upon all sects, so that the Simhalese assertions of the King dismissing sixty thousand heretics4 is not supported. It is not improbable that the King had already become a convert when the Delhi edict was issued, but it is manifest that he was not yet the zealot he shows himself in the inscriptions of Rūpnāth, Sahasrām, &c. Therefore these must fall between the year 27 from his anointment, and 37 of his reign, for he died after a reign of 37 years. This result is corroborated by a significant notice in the last named edicts. For the King makes known that after having been during some time an Upasaka he has joined the Sangha⁵. Now it is hard to imagine how a married man can belong to the Sangha. It is true that, according to Buddhist notions, the king is in some respects above the law, and more than once the Master allows that his own prescriptions are infringed for the king's pleasure, but we are unwilling to admit that the license was illimited.

All Buddhist traditions agree in relating that Asoka was for some time a widower before his remarriage with Tisyaraksa or Tisyaraksata. According to a notice in the Mahāvamsa the Queen Asandhimittā died when Asoka had reigned 30 years — if we take the ordinal numbers to stand for the cardinals; otherwise the reckoning will yield 29, a difference not worth speaking of.

¹ Dipav. VI, 20, f. 24; VII, 27. 31. ² Buddhaghoşa, S. Vibh. I, 299.

4 Mahāv. p. 28. It is a quite different question what we have to believe of Aśoka's crnelty against the Nirgranthas and Ajīvikas at Pundravardhana, as related Divy. 427. This persecution is laid in the last period of A.'s reign, and may, therefore, contain a deal of truth.

6 E. g. MV. III, 4.

³ Mahāv. p. 25; cp. S. Vibh. I, 300. It clearly follows from Dīpav. VII, 17 that the King in the 7th year of his reign became a dayado sasane, i. e. a "pretender to the Faith," which is not the same as saying "a possessor." This is an indirect proof that his conversion occurred in a later period.

^{5.} There cannot be the slightest doubt regarding the purport of the phrase. Any other interpretation is excluded by the fact that Sangha is pointedly opposed to Ujāsaka in the text.

⁷ The former form is used in Ksemendra's Avad. K. LIX, 22; Voy. II, 156, and probably also in Mahav. p. 134, where for the abracadabra in TURNER's text we have to read: "Tissarakkham mahesitte thapesi visamisayam", i. c. (he) raised to the dignity of Queen the ill-natured Tisyarakṣā." Her attempt at destroying the Bodhi tree is also described Divy. 397.

We read farther on that Asoka remarried 4 or 3 yeart afterwards. There is no reason to doubt the substantial truth of this notice, for the N. Buddhists have in the main the same tradition. Let us suppose Asoka to have taken the vows when a widower; then the date of the edicts alluded to must lie between the years 30 and 37 of his reign, or more accurately, between 30 and 34. The date of his conversion we cannot deduce with anything like precision, owing to the ambiguity of the word characchara in the text. At any rate the date assigned to it by the Sinihalese is wrong and perhaps the result of a confusion between the monarch becoming "a pretender to the Faith". and his formal conversion. It may be, too, that the date has been falsified in order to connect the conversion with the story of Mahendra's arrival in Ceylon. Indirectly the untrustworthiness of the Simhalese statement is exemplified by the fact that Asoka, 18 years after his anointment, was unaware of the existence of Tissa Moggaliputta, though this worthy had been the teacher of his son Mahendra! It is barely possible that the real date of Asoka's formal conversion is 18 years after his Abhiseka or 22 of his reign, the alleged date of the assembly at Pataliputra. Suppose we adopt the interpretation that he had been a lay devotee "more than six years", this will carry us to the year 28 or 29 of his reign. About that period or somewhat later he lost his wife, and became a member of the Order.

On comparing these results with the opinions emitted by BÜHLER³, it will appear that we agree with him in referring the edict in which Asoka gives vent to his zealotic feelings, to his last years. We believe also that the figures 256, notwithstanding all objections, are really intended as a date of the Lord's Parinirvāṇa. But we hesitate in attaching to that date any historical value. It represents the particular view of the King, or of the party he favoured; it was not the date adopted by the Buddhist community at large. If such a date had been accepted ounium consensu, it is unconceivable how the great majority of Buddhists could in course of time have forgotten it. A date, once adopted, right or wrong, remains of force among all sects. There is no instance of the contrary in the history of mankind4.

The life and deeds of Asoka have become the subject of a series of N. Buddhist tales 5, which in few points only show coincidences with the Simhalese traditions. From a literary point of view those tales are highly remarkable, but the whole series has the character of an historical romance containing bits of genuine history mixed up with a great deal of fiction. It is therefore unsafe to draw inferences from such narratives. Still the traditions in various N. Buddhist works 6 regarding the last days of Asoka's reign, tend to impress us with the belief that the once so powerful monarch, when in his old age he suffered from mental weakness, was checked in his extravagances by his ministers and the Prince Regent, and that already before his death a current of reaction had set in against his protection of Buddhism to the detriment of other communities. It is certain that a few years after his death

I See above p. 119, note.

² Dīpav. VII, 34—59; Mahāv. pp. 42—46; S. Vibh. I.

³ Opp. cit. For the expressions crutha, carutha and vivasa see MINAYEF Recherches 1, 78. Vicasa has been found only in Jain writings; the same remark applies to asinava Delhi Ed. III (Jain Prakyt änhava), but that does not prove any partiality of the King to Jainism.

⁴ Other speculations on the edicts, founded upon mistranslations or preconceived notions, we must leave out of discussion.

⁵ Divy, XXVI-XXIX. Cp. Tar. 26-48, and the notices of the Chinese pilgrims passim.

o Cp. Tib. L. 310 with Livy, and Tar, quoted above.

his grandson Daśaratha made three grands of crypts to Ājīvika monks¹, which proves that he was at least no bigoted Buddhist. It is a well ascertained fact, too, that Pusyamitra, who founded the Śunga dynasty about 180 B. C. showed no predilection for the Sangha; on the contrary his name has been handed down in the annals of the Buddhists as that of a persecutor of their faith.

5. PROPAGATION OF THE FAITH IN FOREIGN COUNTRIES.

During the lifetime of the Buddha he had made converts within the limits of Madhyadesa and Prāgdesa. This is, roughly speaking, the outcome of the data furnished by the sacred texts. This condition of things remained stationary until the conversion of Asoka, which event inaugurated a period of zealous propaganda in distant countries. Considering the full agreement of all Buddhist traditions in this respect, we are convinced of the historical truth of the fact in general. As to the particulars the traditions disagree, and it cannot be denied that the stories of the different missions are interspersed with fables of the most transparent hue.

The most detailed account of the spiritual conquests of Buddhism in the days of Asoka is the story referring to the conversion of Ceylon². That conversion is ascribed to Mahendra, the son of Asoka and the pupil of Tissa Moggaliputta. The Buddha, just before his final extinction, had predicted that 236 years later a man, Mahendra by name, would reveal the Faith in Ceylon. Immediately after the assembly at Pataliputra, which was held in that year, Mahendra undertook the glorious task of converting the island. Accompanied by four brethren, one Sramanera and one laic, he departed from Pāṭaliputra, and travelled to Vedisagiri, where he converted his mother. During his stay in that place, he got a visit from his namesake, the god Indra, who - rather superfluously - exhorted him to preach the Law to the benighted inhabitants of Ceylon. Mahendra flew with his companions through the air, like the king of swans flying in the sky, and alighted on the mountain Missaka, now Mihintale. The king of the island, Devānāmpriya Tisya, happened to be a-hunting, and had a meeting with the apostle, who improved the occasion by preaching the Hatthipada Sutta, with the result that the king became a convert, along with his attendance of forty thousand men. After performing the same miracle as before, Mahendra with his companions appeared within the precincts of the palace where the princes, princesses &c. were assembled. All of them were soon converted. Meanwhile the crowd of people, anxious to hear the missionaries, had become so numerous that the preaching had to be carried on in the Nandana Park outside the town. The success was immense. At nightfall the King offered to the distinguished guests for a lodging the royal pavilion in the Meghavana Garden. The offer was accepted, and when Devānāmpriya Tişya came the next day to visit the monks, and heard that they were well pleased with their lodgings, he dedicated to the Congregation the Meghavana, which became the site of the Tissārāma or Mahāvihāra.

The monks of the Mahāvihāra, whose annals are the source of our information, have undoubtedly painted the conversion of the island in the brightest colours, and magnified the achievements of Mahendra. Yet we feel not at liberty to denounce the whole story as a fable, the less so because the

¹ CUNNINGHAM Corp. Inscr. I, p. 103. Cp. Ind. Ant. XX, 361.

² Dīpav. XII—XVII; S. Vibh. I, 318—348; Mahāv. 83—183; Saddh. S. IV.

same tradition was current among the N. Buddhists, with this difference that Mahendra is called a younger brother of Asoka, and that the conversion is said to have taken place one century after the Parinirvana, quite in keeping with the usual N. chronology t. It is exactly the difference between the two versions which points to an historical base underlying the fabric of more or less wilful alterations, some of them of a markedly mythological character. The mythical traits recur in a later N. Buddhist tradition, which otherwise differs in some essentials2. All agree that Buddhism was introduced into Ceylon in the days of Aśoka. This fact we consider to be historical.

The Simhalese, as well as the N. Buddhists ascribe to Madhyāntika a signal part in the propaganda. The former say that it was he who conferred the Upasampadā on Mahendra, and that he became the apostle of Gandhara. In that country he subdued an entaged Naga and delivered many from bondage. A later account knows the exact number of Nagas converted; it is distinctly said that he made also converts amongst human beings3. The N. Buddhists, who represent Madhyāntika as a pupil of Ananda, magnify him as the apostle of Kashmir, where he curbed the Naga Hulunta, and preached the Law, with such an eminent success that fifty years after the Parinirvana the Naga had erected 500 monasteries. The chronology is sadly disturbed. Another Thera, Maijhima, is said to have converted crowds of Yaksas in the Himālaya⁵. He is called sava-Himavalacariya in a Sānchi inscription.

A third apostle was Mahadeva, who conferred the Pravrajya on Mahendra. It was he who afterwards delivered many from bondage in the kingdom of Mysore. The name of this worthy is known to the N. Buddhists also, but with them he appears in another light. He is remembered as a great sceptic, a kind of Mephistopheles, who by his destructive criticism caused dissensions in the brotherhood, much to the prejudice of the true Faith. His party was especially powerful in Kashmir?. The talents of this Mahādeva show a striking resemblance to the prominent qualities of the god Mahadeva, or Siva, for he had destructive tendencies, and was a master in contemplation, just like Siva, and knew the Three Pitakas, like Siva is Trividya. The fable of Mahādeva's pernicious activity may after all have an historical background, viz. the fact that Sivaism has been detrimental to the spreced of Buddhism in Kashmir.

The Simhalese mention several other apostles, as Rakkhita, Rakkhita the Great, Dhammarakkhita the Greek, and Dhammarakkhita the Great⁸, the similarity of whose names is apt to move suspicion, albeit we have no right to deny the existence of those persons altogether. Still more suspicious is the duumvirate Sona-Uttara, that went to Suvarnabhūmi, the Gold-land, and there, after clearing the country from Pisacas, delivered many from bondage9. Whether this duumvirate be identical with the Thera Sonottara or simply Uttara, living in the time of Duttha-Gāmani, is doubtful 10. It should not be

¹ Voy. I, 198; II, 140; cp. II, 423.

² Tar. 44; Tib. I. 308.

Tar. 44; 116. I. 308.
 Dīpav. VIII, 2—5; S. Vibh. I, 315; Bodhiv. 113; Mahāv. XII.
 Tib. I.. 290; 309; Tār. 8; 12; Voy. I, 95.
 Dipav. VIII, 10; Cuningham Bhilsa Topes, Pl. XX, Nr. I.
 Dīpav. VII, 25; VIII, 5; S. Vibh. I, 316.
 Tār. 51; 293; Wasshare B. 38; 58; cp. 224.
 He who ordained the Yuvaraja Tişya, the younger brother of Asoka; Mahāv. p. 36; Bodhiv. 106.

⁹ Dipay. I. c. Curiously enough Sona in Prakrt means "gold", and uttara is "North"; often the Gold country is said to lie in the North.

¹⁰ Dipay, XIX, 6; Mahav. 172 ff.

objected that there are chronological difficulties in the way, for the Simhalese chronology knows no difficulties, and besides, the apostles Dhammarakkhita and Rakkhita the Great were likewise present at the consecration of the Mahāthūpa in the reign of Duttha-Gāmani, something like 150 years after their mission to propagate the Faith.

The duumvirate Sona and Uttara is unknown to the N. Buddhists, unless we choose to identify Uttara with Dharmottara who founded two sects, the Tāmrasātīyas and Sankrāntikas; a really unique performance. Whether the Arhat Uttara, who is represented as living in the East² should be considered to be one and the same person is doubtful.

Such and similar accounts, to be gathered from various sources, have a value of their own, inasmuch as they reflect the state of mind of their framers and upholders; as historical documents they must be handled with the greatest precaution.

6. THE PERIOD AFTER AŚOKA DOWN TO KANISKA.

In the three centuries which elapsed between the death of Aśoka and the reign of Kaniska, Buddhism was steadily on the increase in the North, notwithstanding the little favour it found with the kings of the Sunga dynasty. It extended its peaceful conquests beyond the limits of India so far as Bactria and China, whilst in Ceylon it acquired the supremacy which it has retained up to this day amongst the Simhalese population.

It is impossible to make out to what extent Pusyamitra, who dethroned the last Maurya, had recourse to violent measures against the Congregation. One Buddhist tradition³ tells us that the Brahman king Pusyamitra destroyed by fire many monasteries from Madhyadeśa to Jālandhara, and killed several learned monks. Another tale, probably older, and certainly fuller of absurdities, contains the notice that the king, wishing to abolish the Law of Buddha, destroyed the Kukkuţārāma at Pāṭaliputra, and afterwards killed the monks in the country about Sākala 4. A third tradition records three persecutions of the Faith between the times of Nagarjuna and those of Asanga, i. e. between ± 150 A.D. and 550 A.D. If this be true, there is no question of persecutions by Pusyamitra.

Whatever may have been the condition of Buddhism in Madhyadesa during the second century before our era, it flourished in N. W. India, in the domain of the Bactrian Greeks. The most celebrated of the Greek rulers, the King Menander, or as the Indians called him, Milindra, P. Milinda, seems to have had Buddhist sympathies⁶, and is said to have been converted by the Sthavira Nagasena. Our only authority for this alleged fact is the Milinda-Pañha, in which the date of Menander is fixed at five centuries after the Parinirvana. This date, impossible as it is, is no argument against the substantial truth of Menander's conversion. It only proves that the book was composed or remodelled long afterwards.

As to the person of Nagasena we know very little. In a Tibetan work

¹ Wassilief B. 41; 42; 113; 118; 150; 233.

² Tār. 3; 8; 291; 299.
³ Tār. 81.

⁴ Divy. 434. The Kukkutārāma was in ruins when Huen Thsang visited the place; he does not say that it had been destroyed by violence; Voy. II, 6.

⁵ Wassilief B. 203.

⁶ Strabo XI; Plutarchus Reipabl. gerendae prine. XXVIII.

he is enumerated among the sixteen apostles who after the disappearance of Kāsyapa were sent out to propagate the Faith^r. According to another Tibetan account a schism arose in the time of the Sthaviras Nāgusena and Manoratha, 63 years before the collection of the sacred writings by the Sthavira Vatsīputra². The chronology is so confused that it is unsafe to deduce from such traditions any historical fact. It may be that the monk Naga, who caused a dissension leading to the division into four sects, is intended to be identical with Nagasena, but if so, the obscurity enveloping his person thickens instead of being removed3. Certainly the Nagasena of the Milinda-Panha is mentioned by Vasubandhu⁴.

Wholly apart from the literary documents, and exclusively relying on architectural and epigraphical texts, we arrive at the conclusion that the propaganda in the period from about 200 B. C. and 100 A. D. was successful. The foundation of the oldest Stüpas, as at Sänchi and Bharhut, may go back to the reign of Asoka, the numerous donations of pious believers, as recorded in the inscriptions, bear the stamp of a somewhat later period. The production of Buddha images, so unmistakably betraying the influence of Greek art, must have begun somewhere in the same interval⁵.

The time of fruitful propaganda was also one that was ripe in dissensions and schisms. The number of sects steadily increased, and before Kaniska the 18 sects of old Buddhists, the sects recognised as such in the N. and the S., had assumed their definite shape. It is moreover probable that the ideas and tendencies which led to the development of Mahāyānism in the second century of our era were slowly gaining ground already before the Council in the reign of Kaniska,

The progress of the Faith in Ceylon continued almost undisturbed. Devanampriya Tisya, in whose reign Buddhism was introduced, reigned 40 years, and was succeeded by his younger brother Uttiya. Regarding the subsequent rulers there is no agreement in our sources. The sum total of years which elapsed between the death of D. Tisya and the accession of Abhaya Duttha-Gamani is given as 96 (or 106). If we accept the statement of the chronicles that D. Tisya ascended the throne 236-Nirv. B it follows that Duttha-Gamani began to reign 372 (or 362) Nirv. B. Another source has 376. By applying the correction based upon the true, approximate date of Aśoka, we get for the commencement of Duttha-Gāmani's reign 110 B. C. or thereabouts.

This ruler was a splendid patron of the Sangha. He erected Stūpas, monasteries and the tower Lohaprāsāda8; he is said to have built the Mahāvihāra, though the same authorities affirm the same of Tisya, while they repeat the building of the Lohaprasada twice over, in the reign of the later rulers Saddhā-Tissa and Srī-Nāga9. In the crowd of celebrities present at the solemn

I Tib. 1., 322.

² Wassilief on Tar. 298.

⁴ Not by Yasomitra, as Burnouf Intr. 570 meant; see S. Lévi in CC. RR. de l'Acad. des Inscr. of 1893, p. 232.

⁵ Questions connected with the period of Greek influence and the inscriptions have been treated by Senart, Notes d'épigraphie indicane, III; Böhler, Ep. Ind. II, 87; II, 366; Ind. Ant. XX, 394; V. Smith, ib. XXI, 166; JASB. of 1892, 52; Weber, die Griechen in Indien; S. Lévi, Le Bouddhisme et les Grecs, in Rev. Hist. Rel. XXIII, 36.

6 Dīpav. XVII, 93 ff.; XVIII, 1—52; Mahāv. pp. 155 ff. Differently in Saddh.

S. p. 47.

⁷ Saddh, S. l. c.

⁸ Dipay, XIX.

⁹ Dipay. XX, 4; 22; 36. We omit speaking of the repairs.

foundation of the Mahāthūpa we meet the Buddha, the Law and the Saigha, as well as the apostles Mahādeva, Dhammarakkhita and Uttara, who 150 years before had been sent out by "far-seeing Moggaliputta".

In the reign of Abhaya Vattagāmani, the reputed founder of the Abhayagiri monastery, the canonical texts were reduced to writing. This event, falling somewhere in the last century B. C. is thus described in the oldest chronicle: "Before this time, the wise monks had orally handed down the text of the Tipitaka and also the Atthakatha. At this time the monks, perceiving the decay of beings, assembled and in order that the Law might endure for a long time, they caused the Law to be written down in books." Nothing is said of dissensions between the monks of Mahavihara and those of Abhayagiri as being the motive for this decision, and as having occasioned the Dharmarucika schism, as we read in later works2. The silence in the oldest chronicle might not be interpreted as a decisive proof against the trustworthiness of the later sources, were it not that the history of the quarrel is likewise absent from the memorial verses cited in one of those works and that the Sasanavamsa3 gives another account. However that may be, the only question which has now to detain us, is whether it be true that the sacred lore was for the first time reduced to writing in the reign of Vattagamani. Since we have no evidence or indication of the contrary, it would be unjustified to withhold our assent to a tradition which is older at all eyeuts than the Dipayamsa. The enumeration of Dharmaparyayas in the rescript of Asoka to the Sangha may be adduced as a proof for the existence of separate parts of the sacred lore; it affords no certain indication that those compositions were written books. Therefore we take the statements of the monks of Mahavihara to be not wholly unfounded. On the other hand it cannot be denied that the whole account in the younger sources shows a marked tendency to represent the canon adopted by the Mahaviharians as authentic against the view of the Abhayagirians or Dharmarucikas, who impugned the genuineness of the Parivara. Further it would seem that the Atthakatha stood in need of legitimation. That commentary — so the tale goes — was composed by Mahendra in the Simhalese language 4 and in the 5th century of our era translated by Buddhagosa. We had already occasion to remark that the translator quotes the Dipavamsa by name, so that the whole of the Atthakatha cannot date from so olden times, unless the translator have taken the liberty to supply his version from various sources.

Among the successors of Vaṭṭagāmani several kings are recorded as benefactors of the Congregation. The most meritorious of all was Vasabha, who reigned at the end of the first century of our era. He constructed Stūpas, a Vihāra, an Uposatha hall, repaired dilapidated Ārāmas, held 44 times the Vaiśākha festival, was, in short, a king renowned for his pious acts⁵.

r Nothing more precise can be said. The interval of time between D. Gāmani and Vaṭṭagāmani's second reign is \pm 74 years; this carries us to \pm 40 B.C., if we reckon from Asoka, not if we wish to follow the Siṇihalese initial date. The event alluded to is fixed Saddh. S. p. 49 at 433 Nirv. B. Cp. Dīpav. XX; BIGANDET II, 141; MINAYEF Recherches I, 231. — The Gāmini Abaya, mentioned Inscr. in Ceylon Nrs. 1—3, seems to be Vaṭṭagāmani Abhaya, whose son was Mahācūli Mahātissa, Dīpav. XX, 22.

² Mahāv. pp. 207 ff.; Saddh. S. p. 48. The Dharmarucikas are not mentioned in Kathāv. P. A.

³ The text is adduced by MINAYEF 1. c. + Mahav. pp. 250 ff.; Saddh. S. 54 ff.

⁵ Cp. the inscription of this king in ED. MÜLLER's Inscr. in Ceylon Nr. 7.

KANIŞKA. COUNCIL AT JÄLANDHARA. RISE AND GROWTH OF MAHĀYĀNISM. SCHISMS IN CEYLON.

The reign of the Indo-Scythian king Kanişka, or Kanēşki, as the name is written on his coins, marks in more than one respect an epoch in the history of India. This conqueror of Saka or Turuşka race, from whom the Saka era dates t, extended his sway over a wide tract of country comprising Kabul, Gandhara, Sindh, N.W. India, Kashmir and part of Madhyadesa. The N. Buddhists, who cherish his memory almost as much as Asoka's, have a tradition that the mighty monarch was at first no adherent of their creed; they ascribe his conversion to the instrumentality of the reverend Sudarsana². As a matter of fact the great majority of Kanişka's coins shows emblems of an Iranian religion, and only comparatively few coins of his have been discovered with Buddhist symbols. We have no single indication of the probable date of his conversion, but we shall hardly go far amiss if we assume as the approximate date of the Council held under his patronage A. D. 100. The place of the assembly was, according to one authority, the monastery of Kuvana near Jālandhara; others say that the Council met in the Vihāra at Kundalayana in Kashm'r'.

As to the proceedings at the Council the traditions are more or less at variance, and moreover very vague. Minute details are treated with diffuse loquacity, matters of importance are shurred over. The gist of one Tibetan record+ comes to this: the dissensions which had been raging in the brother-hood for upwards of a century were ended at this Third Council; the 18 sects were all of them acknowledged as preserving the genuine doctrine; the Vinaya was put into writing; likewise those parts of the Sūtras and the Abhidharma which had not yet been written down, whereas such parts as already existed in writing were expurged. Albeit at that time some Mahā-yānist writings had made their appearance, the Srāvakas, i. e. the old Buddhists, did not deem it necessary or advisable to stir up an opposition against the tendencies of the rising party.

Another Tibetan source 5 contains the notice that 500 Arhats under Pārśva, and 500 Bodhisattvas unter Vasumitra, held the Third Council with the purpose to collect the canonical books. The information to be gathered from Huch Thsang is hardly more satisfactory notwithstanding its being fuller. According to his narrative, probably based upon hear-say, it was Kaniska himself who, anxious to make an end to the dissensions in the Church, consulted the venerable Pāršva or Pāršvika, and upon the advice of this worthy decided to convoke a Council in which the sacred books should be commented according to the opinion of all sects. The King built a monastery where the monks, to the number of 500, held an assembly under the presidency of Vasumitra. The assembly began with drawing up a commentary on the Sūtra-Piṭaka, of 100000 Ślokas; further the Vinaya-Vibhāṣā, a commentary on the Vinaya, of as many Ślokas; finally the Abhidharma-Vibhāṣā, containing the same number of Ślokas.

¹ N. Buddhist records fix Kanişka's accession to the throne — or his birth — at 400 years after the Parinirvāṇa; Voy. II, 172; Tib. I., 310.

² Tår. 58; Tib. L. 310; cp. Rec. 34; Voy. II, 107.

³ Tar 59; 298; Tib. L. 310.

⁴ Tār. 61.

⁵ Tib. L. 310.

⁶ Voy. II, 172-178; cp. I, 95.

If this account were exact, the proceedings of the Council would have been limited to the composition of commentaries contenting everybody. This is highly improbable, and it is much more likely that somehow an agreement, a *modus vivendi*, was hit upon on the base of the principal truths unassailed by any of the 18 sects. On external and internal grounds we may draw the inference that the Council was only attended by the Srāvakas or Hīnayānists, or at least that the opinions of the Mahāyānists, if represented at all, found no support. It is not improbable that the text of the sacred books underwent a revision, and it is not impossible that some parts of the canon were then written down for the first time, but it sounds strange that the whole of the Sūtra-Pitaka and of the Vinaya up to that date only existed orally, whereas some books of the Abhidharma are said to have been already extant in written form. All accounts are silent on the idiom of the sacred texts approved or revised at the Third Council, but from that silence we must infer that the Chinese pilgrim had no notion of a canon that was written in another language but Sanskrit. It is an untoward circumstance that all the works of the old canon, the Tripitaka in the proper acceptation of the term, so far as they have been preserved, are only known through translations or sanskritized texts.

If many points touching the Third Council remain in the dark, one fact may be asserted with confidence, to wit, that the Simhalese branch of the Church kept apart from the Council, the authority of which is acknowledged by all N. Buddhists, the Mahāyānists not excluded. It can scarcely be matter of doubt that the subdivious of the Sthaviravāda were represented in the assembly as well as the less orthodox sects. Adherents of the Sthavira sect occur in India long afterwards¹, but we are not sure that these Sthaviras identified their sect with the Simhalese Vibhajyavādins, who claimed to be the pure and genuine Sthaviravādins.

The most significant trait of the Third Council is that it closed a period of old quarrels between the sects; it did not prevent the rise of new aspirations. Mahāyānism, which in an incipient state was already existing, ere-long boldly raised its head. Buddhist authors explain this fact in a semi-historical way by relating that the Bodhisativa Nagarjuna, the founder of the Madhyamika system, was born at the time of the Third Council, and became the greatest promoter of Mahāyānism. He was a pupil of the Brahman Rāhulabhadra, who himself was a Mahāyānist. This Brahman was much indebted to the Sage Kṛṣṇa, and still more to Gaṇeśa². This quasi-historical notice, reduced to its less allegorical expression, means that Mahayanism is much indebted to the Bhagavad-Gîtā3, and more even to Sivaism. One tradition assigns to Nāgārjuna a life of 60 years, when he died and went to the heaven Sukhāvatī4. Another gives him 100 years, whilst a wholly fabulous tradition ascribes to him a life of more than five centuries. Huen Thsang calls him one of the four lights of the world, along with Deva, Kumāralabdha and Aśvaghosa. Considering that the Rajatarangini represents Nagarjuna as having

² Tār. 66; 69; 105; cp. 61; Tib. L. 310.

4 Tib. L. 310. Sukhāvatī is the heaven of the Buddha Amitābha. Nāgārjuna, being a Bodhisattva, could not reach Nirvāṇa, this being only reserved for Arhats and Buddhas.

He is mentioned in a Jaggayyapeta inscr. in Arch. Surv. S. India. III, p. 57.

¹ E. g. in Kalinga; Voy. I, 185.

³ The Lotus is full of unbuddhistic notions allied with, if not directly taken from the Bhagavad-Gītā; e. g. Lot. XXV, vss. 6; 10; 20; cp. Bh. IV, 6; IX, 17; XI, 43; XII, 7. Buddha is sama for all beings, Lot. V; cp. Bh. IX, 29; XII, 13; Sākyamuni's far-stretching tongue, Lot. XX; cp. Bh. XI, 30. Cp. SBE. XXI, pp. XXXI and XXXIV.

⁵ Wassilief B. 318; Tar. 73.

flourished immediately after the Turuska kings, we may hold that Nāgārjuna lived about the middle or in the latter half of the second century. If Ārya-Deva, originary from Ceylon, and represented as a younger contemporary of Nāgārjuna, be identical with the Thera Deva, living in the beginning of the 3d century, the view here proposed would find a support in a work composed at a time not very far removed from the date of Deva.

Apart from Deva being distinctly said to be a native of Ceylon, there is another circumstance which is apt to strengthen the belief that the Thera is identical with Deva, the rival of Nāgārjuna. We are told that Deva after a protracted discussion with the somewhat older Nāgārjuna, put the latter to terminos non loqui. Now how could the great Mahāyānist be defeated other-

wise than by an adherent of the old faith, a Sravaka 3?

The figure of Nāgārjuna, so prominent in the history of the rise of Mahāyānism, shows a double character. It is, on one side, the name of an influential person, the first eminent leader of a school imbued with Hinduism and the methods of Indian scholastic philosophy. On the other hand Nāgārjuna is simply a comprehensive name of the activity of Mahāyānism in the first phase of its onward course.

The activity of the rising party is exemplified, first of all, by a long series of new canonical books, many of them very voluminous. Not a few elements of the Mahāyānist scriptures are taken bodily from the Tripiṭaka, with such omissions and additions as decined necessary. It cannot be said that the framers of the new canon have falsitied the ancient sacred lore, nor that they have repudiated the old formulas of Buddhism, but by their interpretations and additions they have darkened the truths revealed by the Buddha. When the conservative Hīnayānists denounce their opponents as having set up another ideal of life, as having lowered the Arhats and extolled the Bodhisattvas, as being unorthodox in their Buddhology, they are, from their standpoint, perfectly right. It is true that the Mahāyānists despise the placid egoism, concealed under the phrases, of the passionless Arhat, and find their ideal in the active compassion of the Bodhisattva for the weal of all fellow creatures. It is true that their Sakyamuni does not answer to the type as fixed by the orthodox sects.

Some charges brought against the Mahāyānists are exaggerated or debatable. If the followers of the Mahāyāna are blamed on account of their axiom sarvam sānyam, they might easily retort by saying that this is the very essence of Buddhism, and that their opponents had become unfaithful to the letter and the spirit of the old Law. As to their Buddhology, it is no invention of theirs; the Hīnayānists themselves ascribe to Sākyamuni a supernatural character, and among the old sects the Mahāsānghikas entertained views agreeing with the Mahāyāna⁵.

4 For a fuller account see Wassilief B. 262 ff. Cp. Rockhill op. c. 196—200. The Mahāyānists distinguish in the essence of the Buddha three bodies: the Dharma-kāya, the Sambhoga-kāya, and the Nirmāṇakāya. These are the three modes in which the universal essence manifests itself; Wassilief B. 127; Beal Cat. 134. Among the Hinayānists the Sautrāntikas recognized the Dharma- and the Sambhoga-kāya,

5 WADDELL Buddh, of Tib. 10 characterizes Mahavanism as a theistic doctrine

The lives of Nāgārjuna, Ārya-Deva and Asvaghosa are said to have been translated into Chinese A. D. 387—418; WASSILIEF B. 210. Cp. WADDELL Buddh. of Tib. 11. 2 Dīpav. XXII; Mahāv. pp. 255 ff. — Tib. L. 3:0; Tār. 83; Voy. I, 186, II, 432;

^{435.} It cannot be true that Deva, or at least this Deva, was rector at Nalanda in the reign of the Gupta Candragupta. Cp. Beal, The Age of Nagarjuna, Ind. Ant. XV, 353.

3 Voy. I, 186. ff. The form in which the story is put seems to be a device to conceal the importance of the defeat suffered by Mahāyānism from orthodoxy.

Mahāyānism lays a great stress on devotion, in this respect as in many others harmonising with the current of feeling in India which led to the growing importance of bhakti. It is by that feeling of fervent devotion, combined with the preaching of active compassion that the creed has enlisted the sympathy of numerous millions of people and has become a factor in the history of mankind of much greater importance than orthodox Buddhism. It is by its more progressive spirit that it has succeeded finally to absorb all the old sects, barring the S. Buddhists.

This triumph, however, was not achieved without a struggle of some centuries. Both parties fought with weapons borrowed from the arsenal of Brahmanist dialectics, for the Hinayanists, conservative as they were, could not but get the conviction that they had no chance unless their philosophical training equalled that of their opponents. Before sketching the character of the four philosophical schools in which the struggle for supremacy was concentrated, and enumerating the chief actors on the scene, we will look at the

state of things in Ceylon.

The Church of Ceylon kept apart from the Council at Jalandhara; even the name of Kanişka does not occur in its annals. Thus it would seem that the separation of the two divisions of Buddhism had become a fact in the first century of our era.

After the death of Vasabha, A.D. 110, no remarkable events are recorded during a century, but in the reign of Tisya, who ascended the throne A. D. 209 or 217, we hear of new heretical doctrines proclaimed by some monks?. That heresy, known by the name of Vetullavada3 or Vitandavada, was soon subdued by the King, who in other respects, too, was well disposed towards the Congregation, as he proved by his bounties. It was in his reign that the Thera Deva, whom we have had occasion to mention, was living.

In the middle of the third century, during the reign of Abhaya, surnamed Meghavanna or Gotthaka, new dissensions arose between the monks of Mahāvihāra and those of Abhayagiri, which led to the Sāgalika schism. The Sāgalika schismatics declared the two Vibhangas of the Vinaya to be apocryphal, and got the upperhand in the monastery of Jetavana, which was founded by the King Mahasena A. D. 200 and finished by his son sometime after A. D. 302. Such is the gist of one record; other sources are somewhat at variance. The Dipay, that ends with the death of Mahasena A. D. 302, only intimates that the Mahāvihārians had hard times in the reign of Mahāsena. Shameless persons, foremost among whom were Dummitta - a nickname of Sanghamitta - and the wicked Sona, misled the monarch, and taught many unlawful things, like - horribile dictu - the use of ivory fans, to be allowable. The younger chronicle expatiates more in detail on the

² Dīpav. XXII, 43; Mahāv. pp. 227; 255 ff. Cp. Lassen, Ind. Alt. II, 1002; IV,

purpose; Kathāv. P. A. 171; other tenets of theirs mentioned 168 ff.

4 Turnour Intr. Mahāv. CI; Mahāv. p. 231; Dīpav. XXII, 66—75. Cp. Oldenberg
JPTS. of 1882, p. 114, where the text of the Tikā on Mahāv. is given.

[&]quot;which substituted for the agnostic idealism and simple morality of Buddha, a speculative theistic system with a mysticism of sophistic nihilism in the background." It would, perhaps, be more accurate to say that it is a pantheistic doctrine with a theistic tinge, in which the Buddha takes the place of the personified masculine lrahman of the

¹ A good specimen of that devotional spirit is found in Bodhicary. Ch. II (Zapiski IV, 158-162).

³ The chief heresy of the Vetulyakas consisted in their assertion: 1. that the Lord is a supernatural being, dwelling in the Tusita heaven; 2. that the Dharma was not preached on earth by him, but by Ananda, who was made and deputed by him for the

actions of Soṇa and Saṅghamitta. It was by their instigation that the Mahāvihāra was destroyed. The monastery of Abhayagiri, on the contrary, rose in splendour, much to the detriment of the Mahāvihāra, which is said to have been reconstructed in the last years of Mahāsena, but at the same time he founded the Jetavana monastery whose inhabitants, so bitterly hostile to the Mahāvihāra, formed a new schismatic sect. The conduct of Mahāsena īs incomprehensible, and obviously misrepresented in the biassed annals of the Mahāvihāra monks, so that we cannot accept those tales but with the utmost reserve.

In the reign of Mahāsena's son Meghavanna, A. D. 309, the famous tooth relic was brought to Ceylon. Both Meghavanna and his successors reigning in the fourth cent. are described as benefactors of the Mahāvihāra. That may be true, but from the testimony of Fa Hian, who visited the island \pm A. D. 410, we know that in his days the Abhayagiri monastery with its 5000 inmates and by its splendour ranked higher than the Mahāvihāra with its 3000 monks². We moreover owe to the same traveller the notice that there were in Ceylon adherents of the Mahāsāsaka sect, for he succeeded in obtaining a copy³ of their version of the Dīrghagama, Samyuktāgama and Samyuktasañcaya-Piṭaka(?). The complete silence of the Mahāvihāra annals about this semi-orthodox sect in Ceylon cannot be accidental; they must have had reason to conceal the fact; what that reason was, is difficult to guess.

It was in the reign of Mahanama, A. D. 410—432, that Fa Hian visited Ceylon, and that Buddhaghosa came from India to the island. The wonderful achievements of this most celebrated of S. Buddhist authors, his translation of the Atthakatha, and his composing the comprehensive Visuddhi-Magga, were such that the Simhalese monks hailed him as Maitreya in own person⁴. On having completed his work in Ceylon he returned to India or, according to

others, went to Burna to propagate the Faith.

The history of the Simhalese Church, such as we find it in the partial annals of the Mahāvihāra, is made up of donations to the Sangha, of embellishments of sacred buildings, of the setting up of statues and the like, now and then of the renewal of petty quarrels. The King Dhātusena, A. D. 459—477, acquired merits by his pious works, and by his suppressing the Dharmarucika heresy which had revived in the monastery of Cetiyagiri⁵. In the following century, A. D. 545 or thereabouts, the old Vetulla heresy found promotors among the monks of Abhayagiri, but the King Sīlākala speedily put an end to it⁶. The reign of Agrabodhi, in the beginning of the seventh cent., was marked by an attempt of two monks from the Jetavana monastery to stir up new dissensions by denouncing the Mahāvihāravāsins as falsifiers of

6 Nothing of this occurs Mahav. XI.I.

The Mahāvihāravāsins, Abhayagirivāsins, and Jetavanīyas are the three Ceylonese sects commonly recognized; see above p. 111, and cp. BIGANDET II, 144. A fourth schism is said to have occurred A. D. 601; TURNOUR Intr. CII.

² Rec. 102; 107.

³ Rec. 111.

⁴ His history with the usual embellishments is told Mahāv, pp. 205 ff.; Saddh. S. p. 52. Cp. Bigandet II, 145; Lassen Ind. Alt. IV, 372; Foulkes, Buddhaghosa, Ind. Ant. XIX, 105 ff. Minayer Recherches I, 189, ff. and the text from the Sāsanav. p. 208. In the last named source Buddhaghosa has become the translator of the whole Tipiṭaka into Pali!

⁵ The two Bodh-Gayā inscriptions of the Sthavira Mahānāman (Corp. Inscr. III, Nrs. 71 and 72) have given rise to the question whether this Sthavira be identical with the author of the Mahāv., the uncle of Dhātusena. The date 269 is referred by Fleet to the Gupta era, the result being A. D. 588. The identification secms highly problematic. See Fleet op. c. p. 275.

the canon. By recording their own version of the Nikāyas in a form to give it the appearance of antiquity they imposed upon the people. This schism it seems to have been of no consequence, for it is not made mention of in other sources?

The annals of the Mahāvihāra afford us an insight into the permanent state of rivalry between the inmates of certain monasteries; they give us no insight into the feelings of the Buddhists of the island at large. The information gathered by Huen Thsang, however scanty, is not entirely to be disregarded. He had heard that the Mahāvihāravāsins were strict Hīnayānists, whereas the Abhayagirivāsins studied both the Hinayāna and the Mahāyāna³. There are indications in the record of Fa Hian that the Abhayagirivāsins were very partial to a pompous mode of worship, and this agrees so well with the ritualistic tendencies of the Mahāyāna that the reports which had reached the younger traveller do not seem to be wholly unfounded. Yet he adds that both sects belonged to the Sthaviras.

8. THE FOUR PHILOSOPHICAL SCHOOLS. MAHĀYĀNA IN THE ASCENDENCY. DECLINE OF THE CHURCH IN INDIA.

When the last named Chinese pilgrim stayed in India, the four philosophical schools of the Vaibhāṣikas, Sautrāntikas, Yogācāras, and Mādhyamikas had already reached their full development. The former two clung to the Hīnayāna party; the latter two supported the tendencies of the Mahāyāna.

The Vaibhāṣikas and the Santrāntikas may be qualified as realists⁴, acknowledging the real existence of the phenomenal world, but whilst the former acknowledge the direct perception of exterior objects, the latter hold that exterior objects merely exist as images, and thus are indirectly apprehended. The Vaibhāṣikas reject the authority of the Sūtras altogether, only acknowledging that of the Abhidharma. In their dogmatical system Ṣākyamuni is a common human being, who after attaining the qualified Nirvāṇa by his Buddhahood, and final Nirvāṇa by his death, passed into Nothingness. What may be called divine in the Buddha, is his intuitive knowledge of the truth without the aid of others.

The Sautrāntikas, in their turn, deny all authority to the Abhidharma, and keep to the Sūtras. Their Buddha is that of Scripture, possessed of the ten Powers (Daśabala), the four Vaiśāradyas, the three Smṛṭyupasthānas, and of all pervading compassion. They ascribe to him a Dharmakāya and a Sambhogakāya.

The Yogācāras and Mādhyamikas, the supporters of Mahāyānism, are idealists. The former deny the real existence of all except vijňāna, consciousness, and are therefore often designed as Vijñānavādins. The Mādhyamikas are complete nihilists⁵. Their system is the legitimate logical outcome of the principles underlying ancient Buddhism, and in so far they are entitled to the glory of being more orthodox than the Orthodox. In their nihilism, the Buddhist counterpart, or rather adaptation of the scholastic Vedānta, of the theory of Name-and-Form, in its extreme interpretation⁶, they teach that the

I TURNOUR Intr. Cll.

 $^{^2}$ Mahāv. XLII, 35 only contains the notice that a Thera, named Jotipāla, defeated the Vetullavādins.

³ Voy. III, 141.

⁴ Wassilief B. 226—286; Śaikara on Brahma-S. II, 2, 18 ff. Sarvad. Sang. 9—24; Burnouf Intr. 447 ff.

⁵ WASSILIFF B. 288; 309; Śańkara l. c.; Sarvad. Sang. 22; 24; Burnouf l. c. 6 The interpretation combated by Vijnana Bhikṣu on Sankhya-Pravacana I, 22.

whole of the phenomenal world is a mere illusion. Like the scholastic Vedantins they recognize two kinds of truth, the Paramartha and the Samyrti, answering to the Paramarthika and the Vyavaharika of the Vedanta!. The second kind of truth is, properly speaking, no truth at all, for it is the produce of Reason (buddhi), and truth lies outside the domain of Reason; Reason is Samvrti. Hence, in fact, all is delusion, dream-like. There is no existence, there is no cessation of being; there is no birth, there is no Nirvāṇa; there is no difference between those who have attained Nirvāṇa and those who have not. All conditions, in fact, are like dreams.

The Mādhyamikas try to avoid the usual term Māyā, and use instead Prajnā and Upāya, which answer to the Pradhāna and the Prakṛti of the

Sānkhyas, apart from the difference between ideal and real.

It must be observed that morality is not jeopardized by this theoretical nihilism, for the force of illusion is irresistible, and as all distinctions are equally an illusion, the distinction of good and evil, of virtue and vice, remains unaffected. The reasonable objection that if all is illusion, their idea of illusion is as non-existent as all the rest, would fail to trouble those philosophers, because, in their system, the decrees of Reason are not only fallible, but absolutely false.

The reputed founder of the Madhyamika school is Nagarjuna⁵, whereas his contemporary Kumāralabdha-is said to be the originator of the Sautrāntika system. As two other celebrated contemporaries figure Ārya-Deva and Aśvaghosa. The former we have already met; to the latter many works in prose and verse are ascribed. He is claimed by the Mahāyānists as one of their party?.

I Cp. the stanzas from Nāgārjuna's Mūlannadhyamaka quoted by Minaver Recherches 1, 220. On this and other works ascribed to Nagarjuna see Wassilier in Tar. 302.

2 All this is forcibly expressed by Santideva, Bodhicary, IX, 2 and 150, 151;
Santideva, IX, 2 and 150, 151; nirvitānirvitanām ca višego u esti vastīnali.

The poet is reckoned among the Madhyamikas Wassility B. 326, but he occurs also as

an authority of the Yogacara; 314.

3 Cp. Hodgson Ess. 72; 78; 89; 104. The origin of these queer terms is not to be solved by etymology; both seem to be conundrums for maya. Prajna in the sense of "cunning", and upaga in that of "a trick" coincide with one of the meanings of maya. The distinction between Prajna and Upaya is conventional. Another explanation would be that Prajna = Mula-praketi, answers to the events of Gnosticism, "in which", to use the words of Mill in JASB. of 1835, p. 386, "vo55, intellect, buddhi, — is the firstborn offspring"

+ In the highest truth there is, of course, no good nor evil. This is expressed by

Santideva in the following phrases:

migyäpurusaghatādau cittābhāvān na pāpakam, cine migyāsamete tu papapuņyasamudduaxah.

Zapiski IV, p. 208.

5 It is doubtful whether any of the existing works fathered upon Nägārjuna be genuine. The Suhrd-lekha has been translated by WENZEL from Tibetan, JPTS, of 1886. Cp. Beal, On the Suhriflekha, Ind. Ant. XVI, 109. According to Santideva, Bodhicary, V, 106 N. was the author of the Sutrasamuccaya. It is proved by his testimony that the Tib. record ascribing the Sutrasamuccaya and the Siksasamuccaya to Santideva (WASSI-LUF B. 208) is wrong.

Vov. I, S9; II, 154.

7 Tar. distinguishes two Asvaghosas, one of them being confounded with Sura, and bearing no less than 11 names, a suspicious coincidence with the 11 Rudras; 90; 216; 297; 300; 306; 311 ff. WASSILIFF B. 211 calls him a pupil of Parsva, which would make him somewhat older than Nagarjuna. Cp. MAX MÜLLER, India 312. A younger namesake occurs Tar. 162.

As a younger contemporary of Nāgārjuna and Deva, and their successor at the college of Nālandā, we find somewhere mentioned a certain Nāgāhvaya, otherwise named Tathāgatabhadra. As Fa Hian does not speak of the college at Nālandā², though he visited the village, the story deserves no credit. It is more likely that Nāgāhvaya is synonymous with Nāgārjuna³.

The school of the Vaibhāṣikas was illustrated by the Bhadantas⁴ Dharmatrāta, Ghoṣaka, Buddhadeva, and Vasumitra. The first is said to have been the pupil of Ārya-Deva; if this be true, he must have flourished in the first half of the third cent. To him is ascribed the Mahā-Vibhāṣā. He is also the reputed compiler of the Udānavarga, and the author of a Samyuktābhidharma-ṣāstra 5. Of Ghoṣaka and Buddhadeva little is known⁶. Vasumitra, flourishing in the reign of the son of Kaniṣka, is expressly distinguished from the older Vasumitra, the president of the Third Council, as well as from a younger name-sake living in the 6th or 7th century, a disciple of Guṇamati. As pupils of Ārya-Deva are recorded Śūra and Śāntideva. If the notice refers to the two poets whose works are known, they must have lived in the third century. Their comparatively correct Sanskrit renders this very problematical.

With regard to the condition and the peculiarities of the two great parties about A. D. 400 we possess precious indications in Fa Hian's records. Speaking of Mathura he notices, as we have seen, that the Abhidharmaand the Vinaya-Pitaka are worshipped by the professors of the Abhidharma and the Vinaya, severally8; the Prajñā-Pāramitā, Mañjuśrī and Avalokiteśvara by the Mahayanists. When the traveller stayed in Pataliputra one of the two monasteries of that city belonged to the Hinayanists, the other, a very grand and beautiful building, to their rivals; the two together containing from six to seven hundred monks. While residing in the Mahāyāna monastery he found a copy of the Vinaya in the Mahasanghika redaction, which is "the most complete" among the versions of the 18 sects. So he was told of course by the Mahayanists, who in many respects betray a close affinity to the Mahāsānghikas. Yet the Mahāyānists of the monastery studied also texts appertaining to other sects, for the pilgrim got from them a transcript of the Sarvāstivāda rules, those which were observed by the monks in China. He obtained, moreover, the Samyuktabhidharma hrdaya, one chapter of the Parinirvāna-Vaipulya-sūtra, and the Abhidharma of the Mahāsānghikas.

In the sixth century Buddhist scholastic philosophy reached its apogee. The two most illustrious names in that period are Ārya Asanga and Vasubandhu, two brothers, from Gāndhāra.

Asanga, the great master of the Yogācāra, was originally an adherent of the semi-orthodox Mahīśāsakas, but became in course of time a convert to the Mahāyāna. He lived for a long time in a monastery near Oudh, and

I WASSILIEF B. 202; cp. Tar. 83.

² Rec. 81.

³ Vyu. $\$ 177 the two names follow one another. A dubious Någabodhi in Tib. L. 310.

⁴ On the title Bhadanta see Burnouf Intr. 567.

⁵ Tib. L. 310; Tār. 67; 297; Wassilief B. 50; 270; Voy. II, 105; 119; Vyu. § 117; Burnouf Intr. 566; Rockilll, Udānavarga, Introduction.

⁶ Tār. 4; 61; 67; Wassilief B. 50; 266; 281; Burnouf Intr. 567. They do not occur Vvi. l. c.

⁷ Tar. 60; 68. Cp. Max Müller, op. c. 305, note. A discussion on the various authors bearing the name of Vasumitra is found in Minayer's Recherches I, 196 ff.

⁸ The S. Buddhists equally pay honour to the Sacred Books, which are literally worshipped. Upon some occasion they are put upon a kind of rude altar, near the road-side, that those who pass by may put money upon it to obtain merit; HARDY E. M. 192.

afterwards in Magadha, where he died in Rājagṛha . His chief work is a

book on Yoga².

Vasubandhu, Asanga's younger brother, received in his youth his lessons from Sanghabhadra, a learned Hinayānist in Kashmir. From Kashmir he went to Oudh, where he lived for many years. Being at first a staunch adherent of the Sarvāstivādins³, he disapproved of Asanga's Yogasastra, but afterwards he became a convert to Mahāyānism. After his conversion he is said to have been teacher in the college of Nālandā. He died at an advanced age in Nepāl, or, as others say, in Ondh+. The principal work of this most celebrated of Buddhist philosophers is the Abhidharma-Kośa. He wrote besides several commentaries on Mahayana texts⁵.

Asanga and Vasubandhu were followed by a series of learned authors whose names are little less famous than those of the two great masters. The most prominent among these scholars, partly Hinayanists, partly Mahayanists, are Dignāga, Gunaprabha, Sthiramati, Sanghadāsa, Buddhadāsa, Dharmapāla, Sīlabhadra, Jayasena, Candra-Gomin, Candrakīrti, Gunamati, Vasumitra, Yasomitra, Bhavya, Buddhapālita, Ravigupta".

Dignāga, from Kāňcī, a pupil of Asanga or Vasubandhu, is known as the author of a treatise on Logic, the Pramana-samuccaya. Being a contemporary of Gunaprabha, he must have lived from A. D. 520-600 or

thereabouts7.

Gunaprabha, to whom a hundred treatises are ascribed, was a native of Parvata or Mathura, and proceeded from the school of Vasubandhu. He became the Gnru of the King Harsa, and numbered among his pupils Mitrasena, who, a man of 90 years, taught Huen Thsang. In his youth a Mahāyānist, Gunaprabha passed in riper years to the Hīnayāna8.

Sthiramati and Sanghadasa belong to a younger generation than the two preceding masters. Sthiramati, who was teacher at Nālandā when Huen Thsang visited that college, is known as the author of commentaries on the works of Vasubandhu, and of notes on the Ratnakūta9. Sanghadāsa, a native of S. India, worked chiefly in Kashmir. Nearly contemporaneous with him was Buddhadāsa 10. बर्यापेव ज्ञाने

1 Voy. 1, 83; 114; 118; II, 105; 269; Tib. 1, 310; Tar. 104; 126; 167. He reached the age of 75 years, and is said to have been 20 years older than Vasubandhu. A pupil of the latter, Gunaprabha, died before the accession of Harsa, i. e. before Λ. D. 6το. The approximate date of Asanga may be supposed to be A. D. 485-560. Cp. MAX MÜLLER op. c. 302-312.

2 Other writings are noticed Vov. II. cc. Tar. 112.

3 His teacher, according to Huen Thrang, was Monu-ho-lita, the author of a Vibhāṣā-sastra, Voy. I, S3, II, 105, 115. BURNOUF Intr. 567 has Manoratha; WASSILIEF B. 219 Maniratha. MAX MÜLLER op. c. 289; 302. Another teacher of Vasubandhu was Buddhamitra; Wasshler B. 249.

4 Tar. 118; Voy. II. cc. Wassiliff B. 210; 214; 222. A Chinese biography of V., composed, they say, between A. D. 557 and 588, shows so little acquaintance with Indian customs that we disbelieve the statement of its being a translation. Cp. Max

Müller, op. c. 302—312.

5 Wasshief B. 222; ep. 99; Voy. I, 115; II, 274; Burnouf Intr. 563; 571; Comm. on Harsacarita p. 490. Cp. Max Müller op. c. 308 f.

6 These and more names of teachers, not all of them Buddhists, are enumerated

- Vyu. § 177.
 7 Tar. 127; 131; Wassilief B. 78; 206; Tib. I. 310. He was a contemporary

 All Blooding vs. Lt. Co. Man Müller op. c. of Kalidasa, according to Mallinatha on Meghaduta vs. 14. Cp. MAN MÜLLER op. c. 305-308. D. is often quoted in the Nyāyabindutīkā; cp. below p. 131, note.
- 8 Tar. 126; 146; Voy. I, 106; cp. 109; Wassilief B. 78. Cp. Max Müller op. c. 309. 9 Tar. 127; 129; 135; 137; Voy. 111, 49; 164; Wasshief B. 78. Cp. Max Müller 305; 310, note.

10 Tār. 104; 127; 135.

Among the teachers in the Nālandā college in the time of Huen Thsang is mentioned Dharmapala of Kanci. If he be identical with the author of the Paramattha-Dīpanī, the commentary on the Therīgāthā — which is extremely doubtful -, he must have become a convert to Mahāyānism after his arrival in N. India. Tradition says that after he had been a teacher in Nalanda, he went to Suvarnadvipa .

Other celebrities at Nalanda between A. D. 630 and 640 were Silabhadra and the laics Jayasena² and Candra-Gomin, whose opponent was Candrakīrti³. Further Guṇamati, the author of a commentary on Vasubandhu's Abhidharma-Kośa+ and the master of Vasumitra. This Vasumitra, not to be confounded with his two older namesakes, was the author of the commentary Abhidharma-Kośa-Vyākhyā. Possibly he is identical with the realist Vasumitra who about the same time flourished in Kashmir⁵.

Concerning Bhavya or Bhavaviveka and Buddhapalita, who although being both Mahāyānists were inimical to one another, we must refer to the sources⁶. Ravigupta is known as an adherent of Asanga and as a poet⁷.

It is in the sixth and the seventh cent. that Buddhist scholasticism had its palmy days. The contention between the two great parties rather tended to stimulate the literary activity of the schools than to enfeeble the authority of the Church. The old sects, indeed, were fast losing their significance 8. They continued their separate existence, and kept up some external marks of distinction, but in dogmatics they were either Sravakas or Mahayanists. If the statistics furnished by the Chinese pilgrims are not too inexact, we must conclude that the number of monks was more considerable in the seventh cent. than two hundred years before. On the whole Buddhism was still flourishing when Huen Thsang visited India. The decline dates, roughly speaking, from A. D. 750.

The latest great champion of Buddhism, Dharmakīrti, is stated by the historians to have been the contemporary of Kumārilabhaṭṭa. hardly be accurate. The traveller I tsing, who stayed in India during the last quarter of the 7th century, reckons Dharmakirti among the recent celebrities, but does not distinctly say that he was still-living. On the other hand we can hardly imagine that a celebrity like Dharmakirti would have been unknown to Huen Thsang. Hence we draw the inference that Dharmakirti flourished between the stay of Huen Thsang in India and I-tsing's, and that he may have been alive, though not necessarily so, in the last quarter of the 7th century, which approaches the date of Kumārila 11.

¹ Tär. 160; Voy. I, 123; 148; 190; II, 287; 452; III, 46; 112; 119. Cp. Max MÜLLER op. c. 310.

² Voy. I, 144; 152; III, 78. Tar. 205 confounds Harsa of Kanauj with Śrī-Harsa of Kashmir. Cp. MAX MÜLLER op. c. 310.

³ Wassillef B. 52; 207; Tar. 150; Zapiski IV, 29 ff. 4 Tib. L. 310; Tar. 159; Voy. II, 442; III, 46; 164; Burnouf Intr. 566.

⁵ Burnouf l. c. Voy. I, 94. Tar. calls him the contemporary of Amara-Simha, which is far from accurate. An elucidation of the Vyākhyā was written by Yasomitra; BURNOUF

op. c. 448; 512; 563; 566; 571; 574; Tib. L. 310; Minayef Recherches I, 197.
6 Tār. 135 ff.; 160; Wassilief B. 207; Voy. III, 112; Burnouf op. c. 560. Cp. MAX MÜLLER op. c. 304; 311; ROCKHILL op. c. 181.

⁷ Tar. 146; Wassilief B. 207; Rockhill op. c. 228.

⁸ The sects mentioned by Huen Thsang in the course of his records are: Sthaviras, Sarvāstivādins, Sammitīyas, Mahīśāsakas, Kāśyapīyas, Dharmaguptas, Mahāsānghikas, Lokottaravādins; and in Ceylon: Mahāvihārians and Abhayagirians.

⁹ Bhayabhūti's Malatī-Madhava may be adduced as proving the esteem which Buddhist learning enjoyed in the 8th century at Ujjayini.

¹⁰ See Minayer Zapiski IV, 31 f. and his references.

II MAX MÜLLER op. c. 305 and 308 states that Dharmakirti is quoted by Subandhu. That is a mistake. Subandhu in Vāsavadattā p. 235 alludes to the Buddhist work titled

Kumārila and Sankara live in the traditions of the Buddhists as the most formidable enemies to their creed, as the two great dialecticians whose activity caused the ruin of Buddhism in India 1. Albeit sober history teaches that the Faith has continued in India for more than six centuries after Sainkara, there is a grain of truth in those traditions, inasmuch as Budhism gradually lost ground, became more degraded, and at last died out in the land of its birth.

Our information regarding the external history of N. Buddhism from the second to the eighth century is very fragmentary. Numerous inscriptions dating from Kaniska, and ranging over a period of more than two centuries show that the Faith prospered at Mathura, though Jainism would seem to have been predominant. From other sources we gather that the Church was in a flourishing condition in Kabul, Kashmir, N. W. India². The epigraphic evidence from Kārli, Nāsik and Amarāvatī proves that the Faith had many fervent devotees in W. and S. India. Some of the inscriptions dating from the time of Śrī-Pulimāvi or Pulumāvi — the Siri-Polemios of Ptolemy —, consequently from the second century, teach us that the sanctuary and monastery of Amaravati belonged to the Caitikas, a subdivision of the Mahāsānghikas; the latter possessed the shrine at Kārli, and the Bhadrāyanīyas a cave in Nāsik3,

Fa Hian found Buddhism very flourishing in Udyana, Panjab, Mathura, and in a satisfactory condition more eastward. He does not mention the college at Nälanda, which in the 7th century was the chief centre of Buddhist learning. The great patron of the Faith in the 7th century was the celebrated Harşa or Harşavardhana, surnamed Sīlāditya by Huen Thsang, who describes that king as a fervent Mahayanist, but tolerant and benevolent towards all sects, the Hinayanists excepted 5. Now, it cannot be doubted that Harşa had Buddhist sympathies. As we know from the Harşacarita, his sister Rājyaśrī, widow of Grahavarman, had become a Buddhist nun6. Independently from other information tending to the same effect, the utterances of the Chinese traveller impress us with the conviction that in general the relations between the Buddhists and the various shades of Hinduism were peaceful; bitter enmity only raged between Hunayanists and Mahayanists. Instances like that of Saśanka, king of Karna Suvarna, who is stigmatized as hostile to the Faith, are isolated?

In Kashmir, one of the old centres of Buddhist learning, the Church was still powerful in the 7th century during the reign of Durlabhavardhana, though Sivaism was increasing8. Instances of liberality shown by one and the same person to Buddhists and to Brahmanists were frequent. As to

Alankāra, but he does not say that the author is Dharmakīrti. It is the commentator who ascribes the Alankara to Dharmakirti. Another work the Nyayabindu, which has been edited by Dr. Peterson, with the Tika, is ascribed to Dharmakirti by K. B. PATHAK in his paper "On the Autorship of the Nyayabindu" (JBB. RAS. XIX, 47). The author of the Tika is a certain Dharmottara; cp. Tar. 330; WASSILEF B. 290. Another Dharmottara is the one mentioned above p. 118.

¹ Wassilief B. 208; Tār. 175—201; Hodgson Ess. 12; 14; 48.
2 Conningham Arch. Surv. III, 30 ff, Rājatarangim I, 168; Tār. 65.
3 Burgess Arch. Surv. of W. India, X, p. 33; 34; 36; of S. India, III, p. 26; 41; Buagyānlāl Indraji, Nasik.

⁴ The story of the foundation is told Voy. I, 213; II, 42.

⁵ Harsa was in the 26th year of his reign a Sivaite; BÜHLER, Ep. Ind. I, 71. • We know from the Harsacarita 484 ll. that H. had a great veneration for the Buddhist teacher Divākaramitra Maitrāyaniya.

⁷ Voy. II, 349; 422.

⁸ Rājat. IV, 3 ff.; 80.

Nepāl, the kings and the people were no less distinguished by their tolerance.

The decline of the Church, as already observed, may be dated from the middle of the eighth century. It was hastened in W. India by the Arab conquest of Sindh in A. D. 712.

9. SIMHALESE ECCLESIASTICAL HISTORY CONTINUED. PARÄKRAMA-BÄHU I AND HIS SUCCESSORS. TANTRISM IN INDIA. THE BUD-DHISTS EXPELLED FIND A REFUGE IN NEPÄL.

The jealousies and quarrels between the monks of Ceylon subsided after the last outbreak of heresy in the reign of Agrabodhi. The repeated invasions of the island by the fierce Tamils certainly did much to foster the feeling of brotherhood among the monks, who in spite of all their dissensions were patriotic Simhalese and faithful sons of Buddha. More than once we hear of sacrilegious deeds and persecutions of the clergy by the enemy from Southern India, which could not but strengthen the unity of the Simhalese². When therefore Sanghabodhi Parākramabāhu I, reigning from A. D. 1153—1184, tried to restore the unity in the Church and to bring about a perfect reconciliation of all parties, by convoking a Council ad Anurādhapura A. D. 1165, he saw his endeavours crowned with complete success³.

No less zealous was Kīrti-Nissanka-Malla (1187—1196). This monarch, too, boasts that he had united the three Nikāyas which had been separated for a long time; he claims credit for having restored the temples and Dagobs that were destroyed in consequence of the calamities which had befallen the island. Unhappily the church had soon again to endure harsh treatment at the hands of the foreign usurper Māgha from Kalinga, who in the commencement of the thirteenth century persecuted the true Faith. This unhappy state of things lasted for twenty-one years, until Vijayabāhu about A. D. 1250 restored the dilapidations caused by the ruthless enemies and redintegrated the supremacy of Buddhism. His son Parākramabāhu III (1267—1301) was not only a pious monarch, but a patron of learning, and himself a Sanskrit scholar. As there were few able teachers in the island, he invited several renowned scholars, among whom Dharmakīrti, from the Dekkhan to Ceylon?

The history of the Simhalese Church in the subsequent centuries is not marked by stirring events. Up to our days Buddhism has maintained itself against the encroachments of Sivaism, Islām, and Christianity. The clergy has lost much of its influence, and monasticism is steadily losing ground, but the Law of Buddha is still held high by the aristocracy and the people of old Simhalese extraction, though the popular form of religion, apart from some formulas, is extremely like Hinduism⁸.

I Vov. II, 407.

² How the Tamil domination made havock in the ranks of the clergy appears e. g. from the fact that Vijayabāhu (1071—1126) sent to Rāmañña for learned monks; Mahāv. I.X, 5.

³ Mahāv. LXX; 4—10; LXXVIII, 5—11; BIGANDET II, 142. Cp. ED. MÜLLER Inscr.

in Ceylon, p. 62 and Nr. 137; TAW SEIN KO in Ind. Ant. XXII, 17.
4 Ep. MÜLLER op. c. Nr. 45 and p. 125. Cp. Mahāv. LXXX, 16 ff. Strange that this ruler denounces the great Parākramabāhu as an oppressor; Ed. MÜLLER, op. c. p. 126.

⁵ Mahāv. LXXX, 58 st. Knighton, Hist. of Ceylon 152.

⁶ Mahāy. LXXXI, 18 ff.

⁷ Mahāv. LXXXIII; LXXXV, 1—16.

⁸ Highly instructive for the state of religion in the 17th cent. is ROBERT KNOX, An

The decline of Buddhism in India from the eighth century downwards nearly coincides with the growing influence of Tantrism and sorcery, which stand to each other in the relation of theory to practice. The development of Tantrism is a feature that Buddhism and Hinduism in their later phases have in common. The object of Hindu Tantrism is the acquisition of wealth, mundane enjoyments, rewards for moral actions, deliverance, by worshipping Durgā, the Šakti of Šiva — Prajūā in the terminology of the Mahāyāna through means of spells, muttered prayers, Samādhi, offerings &c. r. Similarly the Buddhist Tantras purpose to teach the adepts how by a supernatural way to acquire desired objects, either of a material nature, as the elixir of longevity, invulnerability, invisibility, alchymy; or of a more spiritual character, as the power of evoking a Buddha or a Bodhisattva to solve a doubt, or the power of achieving in this life the union with some divinity. There is an unmistakable affinity between Tantrism on one side, and the system of Yoga and Kammatthana on the other. Tantrism is, so to say, a popularized and, at the same time, degraded form of Yoga, because the objects are commonly of a coarser character, and the practices partly more childish, partly more revolting.

Tāranātha informs us that Tantrism existed and was transmitted in an occult manner in the period between Asanga and Dharmakīrti, but that after Dharmakīrti's times the Anuttara-Yoga became more and more general and influential. Substantially his statement is certainly right? He adds that during the reign of the Pāla dynasty there were many masters of magic, Mantra-Vajrācāryas, who, being possessed of various Siddhis, performed the

most prodigious feats.

The kings of the Pala dynasty, whose sway over Gauda and the adjacent regions lasted from about A. D. 800 to 1050, are known both from the annals and their inscriptions as protectors of the Faith 3. It was during that period that the monastery of Vikramasida was a renowned centre of Tantrist learning 4.

The Sena kings, who followed the Pālas in the dominion over Eastern India, though belonging to a Hardu persuasion, were not hostile to the Faith. Still Buddhism declined during their reign, and more so after the invasion of the country by the Muhammedans in A.D. 12005. The monasteries of Udandapura and Vikramaśila were destroyed; the monks were killed or fled to other countries. The learned Śākyaśrī went to Orissa, and afterwards to Tibet; Ratnarakṣita to Nepāl; Buddhamitra and others sought a refuge in S. India, whilst Saṅgama-Śrijnāna with several of his followers betook themselves to Burma, Camboja, &c. And thus the Law of Buddha became extinct in Magadha⁶.

historical relation of the island Ceylon III, Ch. 6. For the present time see HARDY E. M. 309 ff.; KNIGHTON 9p. c. 235.

1 Yajûesvara in Āryavidyit-Sudhākara, p. 159. On the Tantrism of the Śākta or left-hand sects see Wu.son Works I, 240 ff.

² Tār. 201.

3 Tār. 202—252; Cunningham Arch. Shrv. III, 133; XI, 172—182. To this period may belong the inscription from Koṭā, ed. by Hultzscit in DMG, of 1884, p. 546, but the date is not certain. See further Hoffnle, The Palas of Bengal, Ind. Ant. XIV, 162, ff. and Kielhorn, Ind. Ant. XVII, 307 ff.; XXI, 253 ff. Ep. Ind. II, 370.

4 The monastery was situated in Magadha on the Northern bank of the Ganges. The superiors of the establishment were all of them Mantra-Vajrācāryas; Tār. 257.

5 Yet a Buddhist stone inscription from Sravastī is dated (Vikrama) Sanvat 1276 (= A. D. 1219—1220); it has been edited by Kuelhorn, Ind. Ant. XVII, 61 ff.

6 The sacred spot of Gayā has up to modern times remained a place of pilgrimage. On the remarkable inscription dated 1813 Nirvāṇa, which according to Bhāgvanlāl INDRAJI'S doubtful reckoning answers to A. D. 1176, see Ind. Aut. of 1881. — Tār. 255.

Many emigrants from Magadha rejoined their brethren in the South, and founded colleges on a modest scale in Vijayanagara, Kalinga, and Konkan. The comparatively satisfactory condition of Buddhism in Dekkhan about that time is attested by the rich donations to the monastery at Dambal¹.

In Kashmir Buddhism was by most kings treated with great tolerance; those who were inimical to the Faith, like Ksemagupta (950-958) and the talented, but licentious Srī-Harşa (1088—1103) were equally ruthless in their dealings with other sects. The latter's successor rebuilt both monasteries and heathen temples 2. It was not before A. D. 1340, when Shah Mir got the power in hand, that Islām became predominant, and Buddhism vanished, except in Ladak.

In Bengal the Faith counted some adherents up to the 16th century. There is no reason to doubt the truth of a notice in Taranatha, that a Bengal prince in the middle of the 15th century rebuilt the ruined monasteries and the terrace of the Bodhi tree at Gaya. In Orissa the light of the Law blazed anew for a moment about in the middle of the 16th century under the Hindu ruler Mukunda-Deva Hariscandra until, owing to the conquest of the country by the Musulman governor of Bengal, it was extinguished3.

The sons of Sākya, driven away from Hindustān and Bengal, sought a refuge in Nepal. Here they found a friendly reception by their brethren in the Faith, and liberal protection by the Hindu rulers, whose tolerance extended likewise to Christians⁴.

Nepāl is a storehouse of medieval Buddhist literature, both sacred and profane; the country is studded with Stupas and other sanctuaries of ancient date⁵; the people, so far a they are no professors of Hinduism, worship Mahāyānist Bodhisattvas and Buddhas, and keep up the old formulas of the creed; but the Dharma has undergone profound modifications, and the Sangha has long since passed away. Nominally there is a distinction between Bhiksus, who are bound to practice celibacy, and Vajracaryas, married men who devote themselves to the active ministry of Buddhism. "But no one follows the rules of the class to which he nominally belongs. All the Nepálese Buddhists are married men, who pursue the business of the world, and seldom think of the injunctions of their religion. The Tantras and Dháranís, which ought to be read for their own salvation, they only read for the increase of their stipend and from a greedy desire of money"6.

The four philosophical systems known by the name of Svabhavika, Aiśvarika, Kārmika, and Yātnika, whose development seems to be peculiar to Nepāl, have only a slight tinge of Buddhism. They acknowledge, nominally, the Triratna; Buddha means with them "mind"; Dharma "matter", and Sangha the connection of the two former in the phenomenal world. In fact the Svābhāvikas are simply Cārvākas; the Aiśvarikas have much in common with the Naiyāyikas and theistic Mīmānsists; the Kārmikas and Yātnikas are upholders of the popular Indian views concerning daiva and purusākāra⁷. All these theories go back to remote times, but their superficial connection with the Buddhist Triad, and the curious interpretation of the meaning of Sangha render it probable that the systems have got their present shape in Nepāl.

FLEET Ind. Ant. X, 185.

² Rājat. IV, 188 ff. 506; VI, 171; 303; VII, 1092; 1241; VIII, 2416.

⁴ Λ noteworthy instance of tolerance was given by the Sivaite Prakāša-Malla in A. D. 1754. See Hodgson in JASB. XVII, 2, 226.

⁵ On the medieval character of Nepalese architecture see FERGUSSON Hist. Ind. Arch. 299 ff.

⁶ Hongson Ess. 52. Cp. Bhagvānlāl in Arch. Surv. of W. India, IX, p. 97. 7 For particulars see Hodgson op. c. 23; 41; 55; 73; and cp. Brhat-Samhitā I, 7.

LIST OF ABBREVIATED TITLES.

Ang. N. Ann. Mus. Guimet	Anguttara-Nikāya, ed. by R. Morris; I—IV. Lond. 1885—1888. Annales du Musée Guinet.
Āpast.	Aphorisms on the Sacred Law of the Hindus, ed. by G.
rinar.	Böller. Bombay 1868, 1871. Translat, by the same in SBE, II.
Arch. Surv.	Archaeological Survey, by Jas. Burgess.
Avad. Kalp.	Avadana-Kalpalata by Ksemendra. Ed. Bibl. Ind. Calc.
Avad. Sat.	Avadana-Çataka, cent légendes (bouddhiques) traduites du sans- crit par L. FEER (Ann. Mus. Guimet XVIII. Paris 1891).
Aşţ. P. Pāram.	Aṣṭasāhasrika-Prajbā-Pāramitā, ed. Bibl. Ind. Cale.
BARTH Inser. Camb.	Inscriptions sanscrites du Cambodge, par A. Barth. Paris 1885.
BARTH Rel. of India	The Religions of India, by A. BARTH; authorized transl. by J. Wood. Lond. 1882.
BEAL Cat.	A Catena of Buddhist Scriptures from the Chinese by SAMUEL BEAL, Lond. 1871.
BEAL Rom, Leg.	Romantic Legend of Sakya Buddha. From the Chinese by SAMUEL BEAL. Lond. 1875.
BEAL SBE. XIX	The Fo-Sho Hing-Tsau-King. A Life of Buddha by Aśvaghosha Bodhisattva, transl. from Sunskrit into Chinese by Dharmaraksha A. D. 420, and from Chinese into English by SAMUEL BEAL (Sacred Books of the East vol. XIX). Oxford 1883.
BEAL Tripit.	The Buddhist Tripitaka as it is known in China and Japan, by Samuel Beal. 1.ond. 1876.
Bhadrak.	Extracts from the Bhadrakalpavadana by S. D'OLDENBURG, in
	the Zapiski of 1894.
Bibl. Ind.	Bibliotheea Indica.
BIGANDET	The Life of legend of Gaudama the Buddha of the Burmese, by the Right Rev. P. BREANDET, 3d ed. Lond. 1880.
Bodhiv.	Maha-Bodhi-Vansa, ed. by S. A. Strong. Lond. 1891. (Another ed. by P. Somttra, iss. from the Lakrivikirana Press 1890).
Brahma-S.	Vedantadarsana with the Commentary of Sankara and the Tika of Govindananda. Ed. Bibl. Ind. Calc. 1863.
Baddhac.	The Buddhagarita of Asvaghosha, ed. by E. B. Cowell (in Anecdota Oxoniensia). Oxford 1893.
Buddhav.	Buddhavainsa, ed. by R. Morkis. Lond. 1882.
Bull. Rel. de l'Inde	Bulletin des Religious de l'Indc.
BURNOUF Intr.	Introduction à l'histoire du Buddhisme indien, par E. BURNOUF. Paris 1844 (reprint 1876).
BURNOUF Lot.	1.e Lotus de la bonne Loi, traduit du Sanscrit par E. Burnouf. Paris 1852.
Cariyā-P.	Cariyā-Piţaka, ed. by R. Morkis. Lond. 1882.
	Comptes Rendus des séances de l'Académie des Inscriptions et Belles lettres.
CHILDERS	A Dictionary of the Páli language by R. C. Chulders. Lond. 1872-1875.
COLEBROOKE Ess.	Essays on the Religion and Philosophy of the Hindus, by II. T. COLEROOKE. I and. 1858.
Corp. Inser.	Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum.
	Archaeological Survey of India. Reports by A. Cunningham.
CV.	Cullavagga (Vinaya Pitaka II); ed. by H. OLDENBERG, Lond. 1880.
Dharma-S.	Dharma-Sangraha, prepared for publication by Kenjiu Kasawara, and ed. by F. MAX MÜLLER and H. WENZEL (Ana-
757 1 - 75	lecta Oxonicasia Vol. I. Part V). Oxford 1885.
Dhātuk, P.	Dhātukathā Pakaraṇa and its Commentary, ed. by E. R. GOONERATNE. Lond. 1892.

Dhp.	Dhammapadam, ed. by V. FAUSBÖLL, with Latin translation. Copenhagen 1855 (Other translations by A. Weder in Indische Streifen, and by F. MAX MÜLLER in SBE. Vol. X, part 1).
Dh. Sangani Dīgha-N.	Dhamma-Saugani, ed. by Ed. Müller, Lond. 1885. Digha-Nikāya, ed. by T. W. Rhys Davids and J. Estein Carpenter. Vol. I. Lond. 1889.
Dīpav.	Dipavamsa, ed. by H. Oldenberg. Lond. 1879.
Divy.	Divyavadana, ed. by E. B. Cowell and R. A. Nell. Cambr. 1886.
DMG.	Zeitsehrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft.
Ep. Ind.	Epigraphia Indica.
Frankfurter Handb. Gantama	History of Indian and Eastern Architecture. Lond. 1876. Handbook of Pali, by O. Frankfurter. Lond. 1883. Gautama Dharmaśāstram. The Institutes of Gautama, ed. by A. F. Stenzler. Lond. 1876. Transl. by G. Bühler in SBE. Vol. II.
GGA.	Göttinger Gelehrte Anzeigen.
HARDY E. M. HARDY Legends.	Eastern Monachism, by R. Spence Hardy. Lond. 1860. The Legends and Theories of the Buddhists, by R. Spence
HARDY M. of B.	HARDY. Lond. 1866 (a second ed. 1881). A Manual of Buddhism, by R. Spence Hardy. Lond. 1860 (a second ed. 1880).
Hodgson Ess.	Essays on the Languages, Literature, and Religion of Nepál and Tibet. Lond. 1874 (reprint).
Ind. Ant.	Indian Antiquary.
Inscr. in Ceylon	Ancient Inscriptions in Ceylon, ed. by Ed. MULLER. Lond. 1883.
JA.	Journal Asiatique.
Jakschku Diet.	A Tibetan-English Dictionary by H. A. JAESCHKE, Lond. 1881.
JASB. Jāt.	Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal. The Jataka together with its commentary, ed. by V. FAUSBÖLL.
J	Lord 1875 seq. (in progress). Transl. of Vol. I, Buddhist Birth stories by F. W. Rhys Davids. Lond. 1886; another transl. by Chalmers 1895.
Jāt. Mālā	Jataka-Mala, ed. by H. Kern (Harvard Oriental Series I). Boston 1891. Translation by J. S. Spever (Sacred Books of the Buddhists). Lond. 1895.
JBB. RAS.	Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society.
JPTS.	Journal of the Pali Text Society, by T. W. RHYS DAVIDS.
JRAS.	Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland.
Kār. V. Kathāv. P. A.	Kāranda-Vyūha. Cale. 1873.
Kathav. 1. A.	Kathavatthu-ppakarana-Atthakatha, ed. by J. MINAYEF, in JPTS. of 1889.
Koeppen Rel. des B.	Die Religion des Buddha und ihre Entstehung, und die Lamaische Hierarchie und Kirche, von C. F. KOMPPEN.
Lal. V.	Berlin 1857. 1859. Lalita-Vistara, ed. by Rájendralát Mitra. (In Bib. Ind. Old
	series). A French transl. after the Tibetan version by Ph.
	E. Foucaux, Rgya.cher-rol-pa on Développement des Jeux.
	I, II. Paris 1847—1848; and Le Lalita Vistara traduit du
	Sanskrit (Ann. Mus. Guimet VI, 1884. XIX, 1892).
LASSEN Ind. Alt. Mahāv.	Indische Alterthumskunde, von C. Lassen. Bonn 1847 seq. Mahāvaṃsa, ed. by G. Turnour. Ceylon 1836. From Ch.
TIZ OCIAOL V .	XXXVII ed. by II. Semangala and Andris de Silva Batu-
	WANTUDAWA. Colombo 1877. Transl. titled: The Mahâ-
	vamsa, Part II, containing Chapters XXXIX to C. Transl.
37 ''1 37	by L. C. Whesimha, Mudallar. Colombo 1889.
Majjh. N. Manu.	Majjhima-Nikāya, I, ed. by V. TRENCKNER. Lond. 1887.
munu.	Manu-Samhitā, with the Comm. of Kullūka; ed. by Vidyānanda Vidyāsāgara. Cale. 1874.
MAX MÜLLER India.	India what can it teach us, by F. MAX MÜLLER. Lond. 1883.
Mhv.	Le Mahavastu, ed. by E. Senart. Paris, I, 1882. II, 1890.
Mil. P.	Milinda-Panho, ed. by V. Trenckner. Lond. 1880.
MINAYEE Recherches	Recherches sur le Bouddhisme par J. P. MINAVEF traduit du
	russe par R. H. Assier Dr Pompionan (Ann. Mus. Guimet, 1894). The Russian title is Новыя Изследовація о Буддузмъ.
Minaver Pratim.	Pratimoksa-Sūtra ed. by J. P. Minayer. St. Petersburg 1869.
MV.	Mahavagga (Vinaya Pitaka I), ed. by H. OLDENBERG, Lond, 1879.

Buddha; his Life, his Doctrine, his Order. Transl. from the OLDENBERG Buddha German by W. Hoffy. Lond. 1888. Paramattha Dipani, Part V. Commentary on the Therigatha. Ed. Par. Dip. by ED. MÜLLER. Lond. 1893. Peta-V. Peta-Vatthu, ed. by I. P. MINAYEF. Lond. 1889. Partially transl. by the same in Zapiski VI, 335. Puggala-Paññatti, ed. by R. Morris. Lond. 1883. Pugg. P. Rec. A Record of Buddhist Kingdoms; being an Account by the Chinese monk Fá-Ilien of his Travels in India and Ceylon (A. D. 399-414). By J. LEGGE. Oxford 1886. Revue de l'Histoire des Religions. Rev. Hist. Rel. Buddhism; being a Sketch of the Life and Teachings of Rhys Davids B. Gautama, the Buddha, by T. W. RHYS DAVIDS. Lond. 1878. ROCKHILI, J., of B. The Life of the Buddha and the Early History of his Order; by W. W. ROCKBILL. Lond. 1884. Saddh. Pund. Saddharma-Pundarika (French transl. by E. Burnour, Le Lotus de la bonne Loi; an English transl. in SBE, XXI). Saddh. S. Saddhamma-Samgalia, ed. by Nedimale Saddhamanda. Lond. 1890. Sam. Pas. Samanta-Pāsadikā, by Buddhaghosa (in Sutta-Vibhanga I, 283). Samyutta-Nikâya, ed. by Lifon FEER. Lond. 1884 seqq. Samy. N. Sarvad. Sang. Sarvadarsana-Sangraha, ed. Bibl. Ind. Sāsānav. Sasanavamsa and Sasanavamsa-Dīpa by Vimalasāra Thera. Colombo A. B. 2424 (Only known from quotations). Sacred Books of the East, transl. by various Oriental scholars and ed. by F. M.X. MÜLLER. Oxford.
SENART, Notes d'Epigraphie indienne. SBE, Senart, Not. Ep. Architectural Remains, Antradiapura. By J. G. SMITHER, Ceylon. Sutta-Nipata, ed. by V. Faushöll. Lond. 1884. Transl. by the same in SBE. Smither S. Nip. Sum. Vil. Sumangala-Vilasint, by Buddhagosa, ed. by T. W. Rhys DAVIDS and J. EASTLIN CARPENTER. S. Vibh. Sutta-Vibhanga (Vinaya Pijaka III. IV), ed. by H. Oldenberg. Lond. 1881-1882. Taranatha's Geschichte des Buddhismus in Indien, aus dem Tibetischen übersetzt von A. Schiebere. St. Petersb. 1869. Tar. Theragatha, ed. by H. OLDENBERG. Lond. 1883. Theragatha, ed. by R. Pischell Lond. 1883. Therag. Therig. Eine Tibetische Lebensbeschreibung Çâkyamuni's, des Be-gründers des Buddhathums, im Auszuge mitgetheilt von Tib. I. A. SCHIEFNER, St. Petersb. 1848.

Trans. 9th. Cong. of Or. Transactions of the minth Internation Congress of Orientalists. Lond. 1893. Udana, ed. by P. STEINTHAL. Lond. 1885. Versl. Meded. K. Akad. Verslagen en Mededeelingen der Koninklijke Akademie van Wetenschappen. Mid. Letterkunde. Amsterdam. Vim. V. Vimana-Vatthu, ed. by Gooneratne Mudaliyar. Lond. 1886. Vis. M. Visuddhi-Magga. Printed Colombo 1890 (in progress). Voyages des Pélerins Bouddhistes, III volumes (I. Histoire de Voy. la Vie de Hiouen Thsang et de ses voyages dans l'Inde. II. III. Mémoires sur les contrées occidentales) trad. par STANISLAUS JULIEN. Paris 1853-1858. Vyu. Mahāvyutpatti, ed. by I. P. MINAYEF, in his Буддизмъ. St. Petersburg 1887. WADDELL Buddh, of Tib. The Buddhism of Tibetan Lamaism, by L. A. WADDELL Lond. 1895. Washief B. Der Buddhismus, seine Dogmen, Geschichte und Literatur. (From the Russian). St. Petersb. 1860. A French transl. by COMME. Paris 1865. WILSON Works Works of the late HORACE HAYMAN WILSON. Lond. 1862 seq. WINDISCH Māra und B. Mara und Buddha, by E. Windisch. Leipzig 1895. WRIGHT Hist. of N. A history of Nepal, by D. WRIGHT. Cambr. 1877. Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes. Yājňavalkya-Dharmasastram, ed. A. F. Stenzler. Berlin 1849. WZ. Yājňav. Yoga-S. Pātañjala-daršanam, ed. by Jīvānanda Vidyāsagara. Cale. 1874. Zapiski Vostočnago Otděleniya Imperatorskago Russkago Ar-Zapiski eheologičeskago Obščestva.

CONTENTS.

		ī	Page :	Page
ſ.	Inf	troduction	-12	sequent sickness. Arrival at Kusi-
				nārā. Instructions to Ananda. Con-
		The sacred books	1	version of Subhadra. Parinirvāņa.
	2.	Literature subsidiary to the canon.		Cremation of the corpse. Partition
		Works on legendary and semi-	8	of the relics 41
	2	historical subjects. Religious poetry	0	
	٠.	Time of rise of Buddhism. Indian		III. The Law of the Buddha . 46—73
		thoughts and ideals at that time.	11	1. Fundamental principles 46
II.	L	ife of Buddha 12—	-46	2. The elements of existence. Kar-
			7	man. The road to Deliverance. 51
	ŀ.	Descent from Heaven. Conception.		3. Spiritual exercises 54
	_	Birth. Childhood. Adolescence.	13	4. Cosmical system. Classification of
	2.	Forebodings of the future. Flight		living beings 57
		from Kapilavastu. Renunciation		5. Arhats, Pratvekabuddhas, and their
		of the world	15	characteristics 60
	3.	Wandering life. Ascetism. Contest		6. Buddhas and their characteristics . 62
		with Māra. Triumph. Attainment	. 0	7. Bodhisattvas and their qualities . 65
		of Buddhahood	18	8. Morals
	4.	The first seven weeks of Buddha-		
		hood. Preaching of the Law and		IV. The Congregation. Modes of
		conversion of the five mendicant		worship
		friars. Other converts. Tempta-		
		tion by Mara. The three Kasya-		1. Indian monachism. Disciplinary
		pas. Sermon on burning. Meeting	1	and ascetic rules
		with Bimbisara. Conversion of,	113	2. Admission to the Sangha. No-
		Sariputra and Maudgalyayana	21	vices. Ordination
	5.	Visit to Kapilavastu. Ordination	110	3. Clothing and equipment. Lodging.
		of Rahula and Nanda. Buddha's	1	Food and medicaments 79
		return to Rajagrha. Conversion of	77	4. The Prātimokṣa. Disciplinary mea-
		Ananda and other Sākyas. Anā-		sures
	,	thapindika. Višākhā	26	5. Objects of worship. Relics 88
	6.	Āmrapālī. Jīvaka. Buddha's jour-	1	6. Sanctuaries of different kind. Stū-
		ney to Vaisālī. Dispute between	11 3	pas. Temples. Images . 91
		Sākyas and Koliyas. Death of	553	7. Bodhi trees. The throne of En-
		Suddhodana. Admission of nuns		lightenment. Sacred footprints and
		into the Order. Conversion of		spots. The Wheel 96
		Khemā	29	8. Holidays. Festivals. Quinquennial
	7.	The hercical teachers confounded.		Assembly. Annual Congress . 99
		Buddha goes to Heaven and ex-	27 6 6	V. Outlines of ecclesiastical hi-
		pounds the Abhidharma to Māyā.	414	
		Descent at Sāmkāsya. Ciñcā. Dis-		story 101—134
		sensions in the Congregation.		1. First general Council 101
		Buddha's stay in the wilderness.		2. Second general Council 103
		Return. Parable of the labourer.		3. Council of Pataliputra 110
		Further events. Punishment of Su-		4. Reign of Asoka
	0	prabuddha	32	5. Propagation of the Faith in foreign
	٥,	The Yaksa of Alavi. Appointment		countries
		of Ananda. Conversion of Anguli-		6. The period after Asoka down to
		māla. Murder of Sundari. Anā-	_	Kaniska
	_	thapindika's daughter	36	7. Kaniska. Council at Jalandhara.
	9.	Devadatta and Ajātasatru. Con-		Rise and growth of Mahāyānism.
		version of Ajātaśatru. Destruction	. 0	Schisms in Ceylon
		of the Śākyas	38	8. The four philosophical schools.
	U.	Events in the last year. Ajātašatru		Mahāyāna in the ascendency. De-
		and the Vrijans. Buddha leaves		cline of the Church in India 126
		Rājagrha. Journey to Pāṭaligrāma.		9. Simhalese ecclesiastical history
		Crossing of the Ganges. Amrapālī.		continued. Parākramabāhu I and
		Illness of Buddha. Stay at Vaisāli.		his successors. Tantrism in India.
		Death of Sāriputra and Mandgalyā-		The Buddhists expelled find a
		yana. Meal at Cunda's and sub-		refuge in Nepāl 132